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Abstract

About six years ago, semitoric systems on 4-dimensional manifolds were classified by Pelayo & Vũ Ngọc by means of five invariants. A standard example of such a system is the coupled spin-oscillator on $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Calculations of three of the five semitoric invariants of this system (namely the number of focus-focus singularities, the generalised semitoric polygon, and the height invariant) already appeared in the literature, but the so-called twisting index was not yet computed and, of the so-called Taylor series invariant, only the linear terms were known.

In the present paper, we complete the list of invariants for the coupled spin-oscillator by calculating higher order terms of the Taylor series invariant and by computing the twisting index. Moreover, we prove that the Taylor series invariant has certain symmetry properties that make the even powers in one of the variables vanish and allow us to show superintegrability of the coupled spin-oscillator on the zero energy level.

1 Introduction

Completely integrable Hamiltonian systems have been instrumental in the development of modern dynamical systems. Even though they are very special systems in the class of all Hamiltonian systems, many fundamental examples with great physical importance are of this type. Presently we are nowhere close to a global classification theory of integrable systems. However, such a classification is possible if we add certain additional restrictions, foremost on the type of singularities that the systems are allowed to have. A classical case is that of toric systems, where only elliptic singularities appear.
Semitoric systems, which in addition allow for so-called focus-focus singularities, have been recently classified in dimension 4 \cite{PVun09}. Together with certain further assumptions, a semitoric system is characterised by a list of five invariants (see below), such that two systems are equivalent when their invariants agree. Computing these invariants for important examples is an ongoing programme and the best understood example is the spin-oscillator \cite{PVun12}, which is a special case of the Jaynes-Cumings model \cite{BCD09} describing the interaction of light and matter. One of the five invariants of a semitoric system is the Taylor series invariant, which captures the behaviour of the system near the separatrix of a focus-focus point. This invariant is notoriously difficult to compute, and up to now nonlinear terms of this invariant have only been computed for the spherical pendulum \cite{Dul13}. The spherical pendulum is however not in the class of semitoric system for which the global classification \cite{PVun09} applies, since it fails to satisfy certain compactness requirements.

The goal of this paper is to compute some nonlinear terms of the Taylor series invariant of the spin-oscillator and also the so-called twisting-index invariant, making it the first semitoric system for which the complete list of invariants is known.

Setting and conventions

Throughout the paper, let \((M, \omega)\) be a 4-dimensional connected symplectic manifold.

To any smooth function \(f : M \to \mathbb{R}\), the Hamiltonian vector field \(\mathcal{X}_f\) is associated via \(\omega(\mathcal{X}_f, \cdot) = -df\). The flow of the Hamiltonian vector field is called the Hamiltonian flow. If \(f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}\) are two smooth functions, their Poisson bracket is defined by \(\{f, g\} := \omega(\mathcal{X}_f, \mathcal{X}_g) = -df(X_g) = dg(X_f)\). The functions \(f\) and \(g\) are said to Poisson-commute if \(\{f, g\} = 0\), which means that each function is constant along the Hamiltonian flow lines of the other one.

Let \((L, H) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2\) be a pair of smooth functions. We say that the triple \((M, \omega, (L, H))\) is a completely integrable system with two degrees of freedom if the functions \(L\) and \(H\) Poisson-commute and their differentials \(dL, dH\) are almost everywhere linearly independent. Therefore the momentum map \(F := (L, H) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2\) induces a fibration on \(M\).

The points where the differentials \(dL, dH\) fail to be linearly independent, i.e., \(dF\) has not maximal rank, are called critical points or singularities. Noncritical points are called regular. Compact connected fibres consisting entirely of regular points are called regular and form so-called Liouville tori where the behaviour of the system is simple as described by the Arnold-Liouville theorem (see \cite{Arn63}). As a consequence, distinguishing symplectic-dynamical properties of the system must be encoded in the singular fibres, i.e., fibres containing at least one singularity.
The singularities of completely integrable systems in $2n$ dimensions have been characterised by Eliasson [Eli84, Eli90] and Miranda & Zung [MZ06] by means of normal forms. In particular, if we restrict us to the 4-dimensional case and to non-degenerate singularities (see [BF04] or [Vey78] for a precise definition), given a singularity $m \in M$, there exist local symplectic coordinates $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ centered at $m$ and functions $(Q_1, Q_2)$ satisfying \[ \{L, Q_i\} = \{H, Q_i\} = 0 \] for $i = 1, 2$ of the following types:

- **a) Elliptic component:** $Q_i(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_i^2 + y_i^2)/2$.
- **b) Hyperbolic component:** $Q_i(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = x_i y_i$.
- **c) Focus-focus components (always come in pairs):**
  \[ Q_1(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1. \]
- **d) Regular component:** $Q_i(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = y_i$.

where the case a), b), and d) can be mixed to obtain two functions $Q_1$ and $Q_2$.

A **semitoric system** is a four-dimensional completely integrable system $(M, \omega, (L, H))$ with two degrees of freedom where all singularities are non-degenerate, have no hyperbolic components and the map $L$ induces a faithful Hamiltonian $\mathbb{S}^1$-action on $M$ and is proper (i.e., the preimage by $L$ of a compact set is compact in $M$). In particular, the Hamiltonian flow of $L$ is $2\pi$-periodic.

Excluding hyperbolic components, the singularities of semitoric systems can only be the combinations **elliptic-elliptic**, **regular-elliptic** (often called **transversally elliptic**) or **focus-focus** type depending on whether they have two elliptic components, one elliptic component and one regular component, or coupled focus-focus components respectively.

Semitoric systems appear often in physics and have attracted the attention of mathematicians in the last years, see [PVuN11a] for an overview. Pelayo & Vũ Ngọc [PVuN09, PVuN11b] have recently given a symplectic classification of semitoric systems in terms of the following five symplectic invariants:

1. The number of **focus-focus** singularities, denoted by $n_{FF}$.

2. **Polygon invariant:** an equivalence class of labelled collections of rational convex polygons and vertical lines crossing them, cf. section 2.3 for more details.

3. **Height invariant:** $n_{FF}$ numbers corresponding to the height of the focus-focus critical values in the rational convex polygons, cf. section 2.4 for more details.

4. **Taylor series invariant:** a collection of $n_{FF}$ formal Taylor series in two variables describing the foliation around each focus-focus singular fiber,
(5) *Twisting-index invariant:* \( n_{\text{FF}} \) integers measuring the twisting of the system around singularities, cf. section 2.5 for more details.

Two semitoric systems \((M_1, \omega_1, (L_1, H_1))\) and \((M_2, \omega_2, (L_2, H_2))\) are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a symplectomorphism \( \varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \) such that \( \varphi^*(L_2, H_2) = (L_1, f(L_1, H_1)) \), where \( f \) is some smooth function such that \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial H_1} > 0 \). The importance of the symplectic classification lies in the fact that two semitoric systems are isomorphic if and only if their five symplectic invariants coincide. Moreover, given an ‘admissible’ list of invariants, the corresponding semitoric system can be constructed.

Probably the simplest example of a non-compact semitoric systems is the coupled spin-oscillator, consisting of the coupling of a classical spin on the 2-sphere \( S^2 \) with a harmonic oscillator in the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), as studied by Pelayo & Vũ Ngọc in [PVuN12]. It is a simplification of the Jaynes-Cummings model, see [BCD09] for a recent study.

Consider \( M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \) and let \((x, y, z)\) be Cartesian coordinates on the unit sphere \( S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \((u, v)\) Cartesian coordinates on the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Endow \( M \) with the symplectic form \( \omega = \lambda \omega_{S^2} \oplus \mu \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2} \), where \( \omega_{S^2} \) and \( \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2} \) are the standard symplectic structures on \( S^2 \) and \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) respectively and \( \lambda, \mu > 0 \) are positive constants. The *spin-oscillator* is a 4-dimensional Hamiltonian integrable system \((M, \omega, (L, H))\), where the momentum map \( F = (L, H) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) is given by

\[
L(x, y, z, u, v) := \mu \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2} + \lambda (z - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad H(x, y, z, u, v) := \frac{zu + yu}{2}.
\]

The map \( L \) is the momentum map for the simultaneous rotation of the sphere around its vertical axis and the plane around the origin. The system has exactly one focus-focus singularity, one elliptic-elliptic singularity and two one-parameter families of transversally elliptic singularities.

**Main results**

The symplectic invariants of the spin-oscillators have been explicitly calculated by Pelayo & Vũ Ngọc in [PVuN12] except for the Taylor series invariant, which is only given up to linear order, and the twisting-index invariant. The main result of the present paper is the following:

**Theorem A.** Let \( l \) be the value of the integral \( L \) and \( j \) be the value of Eliasson’s \( Q_1 \)
function. The leading order terms of the Taylor series invariant of the spin-oscillator are
\[
S(l, j) = \frac{\pi}{2} l + (5 \log 2 + \log \lambda) j + \frac{1}{4\lambda} lj - \frac{1}{768\lambda^2} j(39l^2 + 34j^2) + \frac{1}{1536\lambda^3} j(34lj^2 + 23l^3) \\
- \frac{1}{2621440\lambda^4} j(13505l^4 + 30620l^2j^2 + 10727j^4) + ..., \]
and \(\partial S/\partial j\) is an even function of \(j\).

Theorem A is restated and proven in Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.7. The second author of the present paper calculated the Taylor series invariant for the spherical pendulum in [Dul13]. The spherical pendulum is a completely integrable system which also has a simple focus-focus point, but it is in fact a generalised semitoric system, since the angular momentum integral fails to be proper (see [PRVuN13] for details on generalised semitoric systems). In this paper, we apply similar ideas to calculate the higher order terms of the Taylor series invariant of the coupled spin-oscillators, which is a semitoric system.

To our knowledge this is the first time that the symplectic Taylor series invariant has been explicitly calculated with its higher order terms for a semitoric system. Once the Taylor series invariant has been computed it is straightforward to obtain series expansion for derived dynamical quantities like the reduced period, the rotation number, and the twist, i.e. the derivative of the rotation number with respect to circular action at constant energy, as has been done in [Dul13] for the spherical pendulum.

Due to a discrete symmetry of the spin-oscillator we are also able to show the following:

**Theorem B.** The twist of the spin-oscillator vanishes on the energy surface \(H = 0\).

Theorem B is restated in Theorem 6.3. We show that this implies that there is an additional integral, which we give explicitly. As a consequence, the spin-oscillator is super-integrable on the energy surface \(H = 0\).

Up to our knowledge, the twisting index has never been computed explicitly anywhere in the literature. For the coupled spin-oscillator, we obtain the following:

**Theorem C.** The twisting-index invariant of the coupled spin-oscillator system consists of the association of indices \(k_1\) to each of the weighted polygons of the polygon invariant as represented in Figure 1.

Theorem C is restated more precisely and proven in Theorem 7.1. The twisting-index invariant has a stronger meaning for systems with more than one focus-focus singularity, but is defined for systems with just one focus-focus singularity, too. Semitoric systems with precisely one focus-focus singularity are for instance coupled spin-oscillators and coupled angular momenta. Very recently, an explicit family of compact semitoric systems admitting two focus-focus singularities was found by Hohloch & Palmer [HP].
Figure 1: Representation of the twisting-index invariant of the coupled spin-oscillator. It consists of the association of the index $k_1$ to each of the weighted polygons of the polygon invariant.

Structure of the paper

In section 2, we briefly recall the definition of the semitoric invariants. In section 3, we rewrite the coupled spin-oscillator in suitable local coordinates, recall some definitions and properties of elliptic integrals and compute the action integral of the system. In section 4, we compute the Taylor series invariant. In section 5, we define and calculate the period and rotation number. In section 6, we study the twist and prove superintegrability on the energy surface $H = 0$. In section 7, we compute the twisting index.

Figures

Figures 2 and 3 have been made with Xfig and Figures 1, 4 and 5 have been made with Mathematica.
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2 Semitoric invariants

In this section, we briefly recall the definition of the semitoric invariants. We start by the Taylor series invariant, since it is the only semi-global invariant, i.e. the only one that exclusively depends on the characteristics of the system in a neighbourhood of the critical fibre and therefore can be defined in more general classes of systems (see [PRVuN13]). After that we continue with the number of focus-focus points invariant, the polygon invariant, the height invariant and the twisting-index invariant. These last four invariants depend on the properties of the whole system and therefore are said to be global. For more details on the definitions we refer to Pelayo & Vũ Ngọc in [PVuN09] and [PVuN11b].

In the whole section, let \((M, \omega, F := (L, H))\) be a semitoric system.

2.1 The definition of the Taylor series invariant

Let \(m \in M\) be a singularity of focus-focus type and assume, adding a constant to \(F\) if needed, that \(F(m) = 0\). Assume further that \(m\) is the only critical point of the singular fibre \(F^{-1}(m)\), which is a generic condition (see [PVuN09]). Denote by \(\mathcal{F}\) the associated singular foliation by the components of \(F\). The neighbourhood of the critical point \(m\) can be described using the normal forms by Eliasson [Eli84, Eli90] and Miranda & Zung [MZ06], as mentioned in the introduction. There exist local symplectic coordinates \((x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)\) around \(m\) in which the foliation \(\mathcal{F}\) is given by the connected components of the function \(Q := (Q_1, Q_2)\) with

\[
Q_1(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) := x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \quad Q_2(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) := x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1. \tag{2.1}
\]

Then there is a local diffeomorphism \(\phi\) of \(\mathbb{R}^2\) such that \(Q = \phi \circ F\). We can use this diffeomorphism to extend \(Q\) to a global momentum map \(\Phi := \phi \circ F\) for the whole foliation, which agrees with \(Q\) on the neighbourhood of \(m\). Define \(\Phi := (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)\) and \(\Lambda_z := \Phi^{-1}(z)\), so that the singular fibre of \(m\) is \(\Lambda_0\). From the form (2.1) we can see that close to the critical point, the \(\Phi_2\)-orbits must be \(2\pi\)-periodic for any point of a non-trivial trajectory generated by \(\Phi_1\).
To define the symplectic invariant we need to work with the period lattice. Let us write $z$ as a complex variable $z = (z_1, z_2) = z_1 + iz_2$. Consider the fibre $\Lambda_z$ of a regular value $z$ and take a point $a \in \Lambda_z$. From $a$ we may follow the Hamiltonian flow generated by $\Phi_1$ until we reach again the $\Phi_2$-orbit that passes through $a$. Once we reach this point, we may come back to $a$ following the Hamiltonian flow generated by $\Phi_2$. Define $\tau_1(z) > 0$ as the time needed for the first displacement and $\tau_2(z) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ the time for the second displacement. Define also

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1(z) &= \tau_1(z) + \Re(\log z) \\
\sigma_2(z) &= \tau_2(z) - \Im(\log z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\log$ is a fixed determination of the complex logarithm and $\Re$ and $\Im$ represent the real and imaginary parts respectively. Vũ Ngọc proved in [VuN03] that $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ extend to smooth single-valued functions around 0 and that the differential 1-form

$$
\sigma := \sigma_1dz_1 + \sigma_2dz_2
$$

is closed. Then we come to the definition of the Taylor series invariant:

**Definition 2.1** (From [VuN03]). Let $S$ be the unique smooth function defined around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
\d S = \sigma \\
S(0) = 0,
\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the one form given by (2.3). The Taylor series of $S$ at $(0,0)$ is denoted by $(S)^\infty$. We say that $(S)^\infty$ is the Taylor series invariant of $(M,\omega,F)$ at the focus-focus point $m$.

When it comes to the computation of the Taylor series invariant, a particularly useful fact is that the function $S$ is related to the action $A$ of the system. Let $\varpi$ be a semiglobal primitive of the symplectic form $\omega$; $\gamma_z \subset \Lambda_z$ the trajectory used in the definition of the $\tau_i$
and \( \mathcal{A}(z) := \oint_{\gamma(z)} \varpi \), then
\[
S(z) = \mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{A}(0) + \Re(z \log z - z). \tag{2.5}
\]

In these terms, \( S(z) \) can be seen as a regularised or desingularised area (\[PVuN11a\]).

**Remark 2.2.** Besides the construction that we have seen in terms of the periods of the torus action on fibres close to the critical fibre, the Taylor series invariant admits other interpretations:

- On a regular fibre \( \Lambda_z \), the first component of the system generates a \( 2\pi \)-periodic flow but the second generates an arbitrary flow that turns indefinitely around the focus-focus singularity. As \( z \to 0 \), the time \( \tau_1(z) \) that the flow of the second component needs to perform a loop grows at a logarithmic rate up to a certain ‘error term’. This error term is given by the symplectic invariant \( (S)^\infty \).

- From a symplectic point of view, \( (S)^\infty \) is also the germ of the (local) generating function of the (singular) Lagrangian fibration induced by \((L, H) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2\).

### 2.2 The number of focus-focus points invariant

The number \( n_{\text{FF}} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) of singularities of focus-focus type is a symplectic invariant of the semitoric system.

### 2.3 The definition of the polygon invariant

Let \( B := F(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the image of the momentum map and let us denote by \( c_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n_{\text{FF}} \) the critical values corresponding to the \( n_{\text{FF}} \) focus-focus singularities. We can assume that they are all different and moreover none of them lie on the same vertical line, i.e. \( \pi_1(c_i) \neq \pi_1(c_j) \) for any \( i \neq j \), where \( \pi_k : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) are the canonical projections onto the \( k \)-th coordinate, \( i = 1, 2 \). This assumption is generic [VuN07]. The set of regular values of \( F \) is then \( B_* := \text{Int}(B) \backslash \{c_1, \ldots, c_{n_{\text{FF}}}\} \).

The plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) has a standard affine structure given by its standard orientation and by setting the origin at \((0,0)\). \( B \) has a natural affine structure induced by \( F \). Let \( \text{Aff}(2, \mathbb{Z}) := \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the group of integral-affine transformations of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( J \subset \text{Aff}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) the subgroup of transformations consisting of vertical translations composed with a transformation \( T^k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \), where
\[
T^k := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.6}
\]
The transformations in $J$ leave vertical lines invariant. Let us denote the vertical lines by $b_\lambda := \{(\lambda, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $t^n_{b_\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as the transformation consisting on the identity on the half-space left of $b_\lambda$ and $T^n$ on the half-space right of $b_\lambda$. Let $\lambda_i := \pi_1(c_i)$ be the first components of the critical values, where $i = 1, \ldots, n_{FF}$. For every $n_{FF}$-tuple $\vec{n} := (n_1, \ldots, n_{n_{FF}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{FF}}$ we can associate the transformation $t_{\vec{n}} := t^{n_1}_{b_{\lambda_1}} \circ \ldots \circ t^{n_{n_{FF}}}_{b_{\lambda_{n_{FF}}}}$, which is piecewise affine since every $t^{n_i}_{b_{\lambda_i}}$ is piecewise affine. Further, given a sign $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, +1\}$, let $b^\epsilon_{\lambda_i} \subset b_{\lambda_i}$ be the half-line that starts in $c_i$ and extends upwards if $\epsilon_i = +1$ or downwards if $\epsilon_i = -1$. We write $b^\epsilon := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{FF}} b^\epsilon_{\lambda_i}$.

We say that a subset $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a **convex polygon** if it is the intersection of closed half-planes, possibly infinite, such that on each compact subset of $\Delta$ there are at most a finite number of corner points. If furthermore the vertices can take rational coordinates, we say that $\Delta$ is **rational**. We denote the space of rational convex polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\text{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the set of vertical lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\text{Vert}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{b_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

**Theorem 2.3** ([VuN07], Theorem 3.8). For $\vec{\epsilon} \in \{-1, +1\}^{n_{FF}}$ there exists a homeomorphism $f = f_{\vec{\epsilon}} : B \to \mathbb{R}^2$, modulo a left composition by a transformation in $J$, such that:

- $f|_{(B \setminus b^\vec{\epsilon})}$ is a diffeomorphism into the image $\Delta := f(B)$ of $f$.
- $\Delta$ is a rational convex polygon.
- $f|_{(B \setminus b^\vec{\epsilon})}$ is affine, i.e. it sends the integral affine structure of $B_r$ to the standard affine structure of $\mathbb{R}^2$.
- $f$ preserves $L$, i.e. $f(l, h) = (f^{(1)}(l, h), f^{(2)}(l, h)) = (l, f^{(2)}(l, h))$.

We see that the definitions of $f$ and $\Delta$ are unique up to two choices:

- The $m_{f}$-tuple $\vec{\epsilon}$. A different choice $\vec{\epsilon}'$ changes $f$ by $f' = t_{\vec{u}} \circ f$ and $\Delta$ by $\Delta' = t_{\vec{u}}(\Delta)$, where $u_i = (\epsilon_i - \epsilon'_i)/2$.
- A left composition by an element of $J$, which corresponds to a different initial set of action variables ([VuN07], step 2, proof of Theorem 3.8).

In order to take into account this freedom when constructing the polygon invariant, we must first define the weighted polygons.
Definition 2.4. A weighted polygon of complexity $s$ is a triple of the form

$$\Delta_{\text{weight}} = (\Delta, (b_{\lambda_j})_{j=1}^{s}, (\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^{s})$$

where $s$ is a non-negative integer and:

- $\Delta \in \text{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2)$;
- $b_{\lambda_j} \in \text{Vert}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$;
- $\epsilon_j \in \{-1, +1\}$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$;
- $\min_{s \in \Delta} \pi_1(s) < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s < \max_{s \in \Delta} \pi_1(s)$.

We denote by $W_{\text{Polyg}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of all weighted polygons of complexity $s$.

Now also define two groups:

- $G_s := \{-1, +1\}^s$ for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\mathcal{G} := \{T^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $T^k$ is the matrix in (2.6).

The group $G_s \times \mathcal{G}$ acts then on $W_{\text{Polyg}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as

$$(\epsilon_j^{\ast}_{j=1}^{s}, T^k) \cdot (\Delta, (b_{\lambda_j})_{j=1}^{s}, (\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^{s}) = (t_{\mathcal{G}}(T^k(\Delta)), (b_{\lambda})_{j=1}^{s}, (\epsilon'_j \epsilon_j)_{j=1}^{s}),$$

where as before $u_i = (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_i)/2$. The orbit of this action will be the polygon invariant.

Definition 2.5. Let $(M, \omega, (L, H))$ be a semitoric integrable system with $n_{\text{FF}}$ focus-focus singularities. Let $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n_{\text{FF}}} \in \{-1, +1\}$ be a choice of signs and $b_{\lambda_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \ldots, b_{\lambda_{n_{\text{FF}}}}^{\epsilon_{n_{\text{FF}}}}$ the corresponding vertical half-lines. From Theorem 2.3 we obtain a homeomorphism $f$ with image $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, a rational convex polygon. The symplectic polygon invariant of the system $(M, \omega, (L, H))$ is then the $(G_{n_{\text{FF}}} \times \mathcal{G})$-orbit

$$(G_{n_{\text{FF}}} \times \mathcal{G}) \cdot (\Delta, (b_{\lambda_j})_{j=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}}, (\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}}) \in W_{\text{Polyg}}(\mathbb{R}^2)/(G_{n_{\text{FF}}} \times \mathcal{G}).$$

Remark 2.6. For semitoric systems with one single focus-focus point, $n_{\text{FF}} = 1$, the group $G_{n_{\text{FF}}} \times \mathcal{G} = \{-1, +1\} \times \mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The symplectic polygon invariant consists thus in this case of a collection of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ weighted polygons, i.e., rational complex polygons together with the specification of the line $b_{\lambda_1}$ and the corresponding sign choice $\epsilon_1 \in \{-1, +1\}$.

2.4 The definition of the height invariant

Let $B := F(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the image of the momentum map and $f : B \to \mathbb{R}^2$ one of the possible homeomorphisms of Theorem 2.3. The map $\mu : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\mu(x) = f(B(x)),$$
\( \mu := f \circ F = f \circ (L, H) \) is called generalised toric momentum map for the semitoric system \((M, \omega, (L, H))\), whose image \( \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) is a rational convex polygon.

Let now \( m_1, \ldots, m_{n_{\text{FF}}} \in M \) be the \( n_{\text{FF}} \) singularities of focus-focus type and \( c_i = F(m_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) their corresponding critical values, for \( i = 1, \ldots, n_{\text{FF}} \), so that \( b_{\lambda_i} \) is the vertical line through \( c_i \). For each \( i \) we can define the number

\[
h_i := \mu(m_i) - \min_{s \in \Delta \cap b_{\lambda_i}} \pi_2(s),
\]

where \( \pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) is the canonical projection onto the second coordinate. The number \( h_i \) corresponds to the vertical height of \( \mu(m_i) \) inside the polygon \( \Delta \) and is independent of the choice of \( f \) \cite{PVuN09}. The height invariant of the semitoric system \((M, \omega, (L, H))\) is then the \( n_{\text{FF}} \)-tuple \( (h_i)_{i=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}} \).

The height invariant admits a more geometrical interpretation too. Let \( Y_i = L^{-1}(c_i) \subseteq M \) and split it into two submanifolds: \( Y_i^+ := Y_i \cap \{ p \in M : H(p) > H(m_i) \} \) and \( Y_i^- := Y_i \cap \{ p \in M : H(p) < H(m_i) \} \). Then \( h_i \) is the symplectic volume of \( Y_i^- \), i.e., the real volume divided by \( 2\pi \), and the \( n_{\text{FF}} \)-tuple \( (h_i)_{i=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}} \) is the height invariant of the system.

### 2.5 The definition of the twisting-index invariant

Let \( m_1, \ldots, m_{n_{\text{FF}}} \in M \) be the focus-focus singularities and \( c_1, \ldots, c_{n_{\text{FF}}} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) the corresponding critical values of the semitoric system. Let us also pick a representative \( \Delta_{\text{weight}} = (\Delta_i(b_{\lambda_i})_{i=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}}, (c_j)_{j=1}^{n_{\text{FF}}}) \) of the symplectic polygon invariant, with corresponding generalised momentum map \( \mu : M \to \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Fix now \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\text{FF}}\} \) and consider a neighbourhood \( W := W_i \subset M \) of the critical fibre. We take symplectic coordinates \( (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \) and consider the local diffeomorphism \( \phi \) of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the global map \( \Phi = \phi \circ F \) as in \( \S 2.1 \). Since the Hamiltonian flow of \( \Phi_2 \) is \( 2\pi \)-periodic, the second component \( \Phi_2 \) must coincide with \( L \), up to a sign. Applying the symplectic transformation \( (x_1, y_1) \mapsto (-x_1, -y_1) \) on the definition of the local symplectic coordinates if needed, we can assume that on \( W \) we have \( \Phi_2 = L \), so \( \phi \) is of the form \( \phi(l, h) = (\phi_1(l, h), l) \).

Let us write \( V := F(W) \), so that \( F^{-1}(V) \) is a neighbourhood of the singular fibre \( F^{-1}(m_i) \), and consider the restriction of the map \( \Phi \) to this neighbourhood, which for simplicity we will also denote by \( \Phi \). Then close to any regular torus we have the \( 2\pi \)-periodic Hamiltonian vector field

\[
2\pi \mathcal{X}_p := (\tau_1 \circ \Phi)\mathcal{X}_{\Phi_1} + (\tau_2 \circ \Phi)\mathcal{X}_L,
\]

where \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) are the functions defined in \( \S 2.2 \). This vector field is smooth on \( F^{-1}(V \setminus b_{\lambda_i}) \).
On the one hand, there exists a unique smooth function $H_p : F^{-1}(V \setminus b_\lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$ whose Hamiltonian vector field is $\mathcal{X}_{H_p}$ and satisfies $\lim_{m \to m_i} H_p = 0$ (Lemma 5.6 of [PVuN09]). The momentum map $\nu = (L, H_p)$ is called the privileged momentum map for $(L, H)$ around the focus-focus critical value $c_i$.

On the other hand, the generalised momentum map $\mu$ has the components $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (L, \mu_2)$. The relation between $\mu$ and $\nu$ is given by

$$\mu = T^k \nu \quad \text{on} \quad F^{-1}(V),$$

where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called the twisting index of $\Delta_{\text{weight}}$ at the focus-focus critical value $c_i$. If we apply a global transformation $T^r \in G$, i.e., if we pick another representative of the polygon invariant, $\nu$ remains unchanged while $\mu$ transforms into $T^r \mu$. As a consequence, under such transformation all twisting indices change by $k_i \to k_i + r$.

Consider the space $W_{\text{Polyg}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ of all weighted polygons of complexity $s$ with their corresponding twisting indices. The group action of $G_n \times G$ on $W_{\text{Polyg}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ is defined as follows:

$$\left((\epsilon_j^s)_{j=1}^n, T^k \right) \ast (\Delta, (b_{\lambda_j})_{j=1}^n, (\epsilon_j^s)_{j=1}^n, (k_i^s)_{i=1}^n) := (t_k(T^k(\Delta)), (b_{\lambda_j^s})_{j=1}^n, (\epsilon_j^s)_{j=1}^n, (k_i^s + k_i^s)_{i=1}^n).$$

This allows to define the twisting-index invariant.

**Definition 2.7.** The twisting-index invariant of $(M, \omega, (L, H))$ is the $(G_{n_{FF}} \times G)$-orbit of weighted polygons labelled by the twisting indices at the focus-focus singularities of the system given by

$$(G_{n_{FF}} \times G) \ast (\Delta, (b_{\lambda_j})_{j=1}^{n_{FF}}, (\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^{n_{FF}}, (k_i)_{i=1}^{n_{FF}}) \in (W_{\text{Polyg}}n_{FF}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}^{n_{FF}})/(G_{n_{FF}} \times G).$$

**Remark 2.8.** For semitoric systems with one single focus-focus point, $n_{FF} = 1$, the polygon invariant is described in Remark 2.6. The twisting-index invariant is then completely determined by associating an integer index $k_1$ to one of the weighted polygons of the polygon invariant, or alternatively by finding a weighted polygon with index $k_1 = 0$, since then the associated integer index to the rest of the polygons can be reconstructed by knowing that $G_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not act on the index and $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts by addition.

3 Local coordinates, elliptic integrals, and action

3.1 The spin-oscillator in various coordinates

Let $\lambda, \mu > 0$ be positive constants. Consider the product manifold $M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with symplectic form $\omega = \lambda \omega_{S^2} \oplus \mu \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, where $\omega_{S^2}$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ are the standard symplectic structures on $S^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2$ respectively. Let $(x, y, z)$ be Cartesian coordinates on the unit sphere.
$\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $(u,v)$ Cartesian coordinates on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. A **coupled spin-oscillator** is a 4-dimensional Hamiltonian integrable system $(M, \omega, (L,H))$, where the smooth map $F = (L,H) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$L(x,y,z,u,v) := \mu \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2} + \lambda (z - 1) \text{ and } H(x,y,z,u,v) := \frac{xy + yv}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Coupled spin-oscillators are completely integrable systems, i.e. the Poisson bracket $\{L,H\}$ vanishes and the system is of semitoric type [PVuN12]. The only existing focus-focus singularity is at the point $m := (0,0,1,0,0)$, so we have $n_{FF} = 1$. We have shifted the value of $L$ by $\lambda$ so that we have $(L,H)(m) = (0,0)$. The system (3.1) induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $M$. This foliation has some discrete symmetries. For example, the transformations

$$T_1 : x \mapsto -x, \quad y \mapsto -y, \quad H \mapsto -H$$

$$T_2 : u \mapsto -u, \quad v \mapsto -v, \quad H \mapsto -H \quad (3.2)$$

leave the symplectic form $\omega$ and the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ unchanged. The group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $M$ thus by symplectic transformations. Since the Taylor series invariant is an invariant of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, it must remain unchanged by these transformations.

In order to reduce the system by the $\mathbb{S}^1$-action, we define symplectic coordinates so that we can express the functions $L,H$ in a simpler way:

$$z := \pm \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}, \quad \rho := \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2},$$

$$\theta := \arctan \left( \frac{y}{x} \right), \quad \varphi := \arctan \left( \frac{u}{v} \right).$$

In these coordinates the functions $L$ and $H$ become

$$L(z,\theta,\rho,\varphi) = \mu \rho + \lambda (z - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad H(z,\theta,\rho,\varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{\rho(1 - z^2) \cos(\theta - \varphi)}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

The symplectic form in these coordinates is $\omega = \lambda d\theta \wedge dz \oplus \mu d\varphi \wedge d\rho$. We now perform a linear coordinate change, given by

$$q_1 := \theta, \quad q_2 := \varphi - \theta,$$

$$p_1 := \mu \rho + \lambda(z - 1), \quad p_2 := \mu \rho.$$
It is important to see that the Hamiltonian function $H$ is independent of $q_1$, which means that $p_1$ is a constant along the flow of $H$. More precisely, $L = p_1$ is the total angular momentum of the system and generates the global $S^1$-action corresponding to simultaneous rotation of the sphere about the vertical axes and of the plane about the origin. From the coordinate expressions we see that $p_1 \geq -2\lambda$ and $p_2$ must satisfy the inequalities $p_2 \geq 0$, $p_2 \geq p_1$ and $p_2 \leq p_1 + 2\lambda$. Under these circumstances, the argument of the square root is non-negative and $H$ is well-defined.

### 3.2 Elliptic integrals

Elliptic integrals appear often in different areas of mathematics and physics, such as classical mechanics or complex function theory. In this section we review some basic definitions and properties of elliptic integrals that we use throughout the paper. For a more detailed discussion, see for example [Sie88a], [Sie88b] and [Bli66].

Let $z, w$ be two variables, which might either be real or complex, $R(z, w)$ be a rational function and $P(z)$ a polynomial of degree three or four. Integrals of the form

$$N(x) := \int_c^x R(z, \sqrt{P(z)}) \, dz,$$

where $c$ is a constant, are called elliptic integrals. Except in special situations, such as $R(z, w)$ depending only on even powers of $w$ or $P(z)$ having repeated roots, elliptic integrals cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions. It is however possible to express them in terms of integrals of rational functions and the three Legendre canonical forms:

$$F(x; k) := \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)}},$$

$$E(x; k) := \int_0^x \frac{\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \, dt,$$

$$\Pi(n; x; k) := \int_0^x \frac{dt}{(1-nt^2)\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)}}.$$

The functions $F(x; k)$, $E(x; k)$ and $\Pi(n; x; k)$ are called incomplete integral of first, second and third kind respectively. The number $k$ is called the (elliptic) modulus or excentricity, $n$ is said to be the characteristic and $x$ sometimes simply receives the name of argument.

$F(x; k)$ is finite for all real or complex values of $x$, including infinity. $E(x; k)$ has a simple pole of order one at $x = \infty$ and $\Pi(n; x; k)$ is logarithmically infinite for $x^2 = \frac{1}{n}$.
For the particular case \( x = 1 \), the integrals are said to be \textit{complete}. More precisely, \( K(k) := F(1; k) \) is the \textit{complete elliptic integral of first kind}, \( E(k) := E(1; k) \) is the \textit{complete elliptic integral of second kind} and \( \Pi(n, k) := \Pi(n; 1; k) \) is the \textit{complete elliptic integral of third kind}.

For most applications in classical mechanics, the variables \( z \) and \( w \) of (3.4) are real. However, it is often convenient to allow them to take complex values. In this case, the elliptic curve

\[
\Gamma := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w^2 = P(z)\}
\]

will be a \textit{Riemann surface}, i.e. a one-dimensional complex manifold. Using complex function theory it can be shown that for the elliptic case \( \deg P = 3 \) or 4 the surface \( \Gamma \) is homeomorphic to a torus. More generally, if \( n = \deg P \), then \( \Gamma \) will be a compact surface of genus \( \frac{n-2}{2} \) if \( n \) is even or genus \( \frac{n-1}{2} \) if \( n \) is odd, assuming that the curve is non-singular.

Restricting ourselves to the elliptic case, \( \Gamma \) is homeomorphic to a torus \( T^2 = S^1 \times S^1 \). This means that the rank of its first homology group is 2, generated by two independent non-contractible cycles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) (see Figure 3). When computing the integral of action on regular fibres close to the singular fibre containing the focus-focus point, we will refer to the cycle \( \alpha \) as the ‘imaginary’ or ‘vanishing’ cycle, since it becomes arbitrarily small as we approach the singular fibre, and \( \beta \) as the ‘real’ cycle, since it corresponds to the real elliptic curve obtained by considering the values of the variables \( z, w \) of \( \Gamma \) to be real.

Figure 3: The elliptic curve \( \Gamma \) with the imaginary cycle \( \alpha \) (blue colour) and the real cycle \( \beta \) (red colour).

### 3.3 The action integral

Let \( l \) and \( h \) be the values of the functions \( L \) and \( H \), which are conserved quantities of the system. Since \( p_1 = l \) is a first integral of the system, we can perform symplectic reduction
on the level \( L = l \) for any \( l \geq -2\lambda \) and consider the reduced system

\[
H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) := \sqrt{-p_2(p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2\lambda)} \frac{\cos(q_2)}{2\lambda^2}.
\]

(3.5)
depending only on coordinates \((q_2, p_2)\). Note that the function \( H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) \) is even with respect to \( q_2 \), i.e. \( H_{\text{red}}(-q_2, p_2) = H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) \). The phase space is the finite cylinder given by

\[
\max\{0, l\} \leq p_2 \leq l + 2\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad -\pi \leq q_2 \leq \pi.
\]

(3.6)
This reduced system is defined on an orbifold that is obtained by symplectic reduction of the symmetry induced by the global action. The coordinates \((q_2, p_2)\) are a system of global singular coordinates on the orbifold. For \( l \neq 0 \) the reduced phase space is in fact a smooth manifold, while for \( l = 0 \) it has a single conical singularity. This can best be seen by considering the Hilbert invariants of the action of the flow of \( L \), which are \( \rho_1 = u^2 + v^2 \), \( \rho_2 = x^2 + y^2 \), \( \rho_3 = ux + vy \), \( \rho_4 = uy - vx \) and \( z \). It is easy to check that their Poisson bracket closes, and has two Casimirs \( C_1 = \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 + \lambda(z - 1) - l = 0 \) and \( C_2 = \rho_2 + z^2 - 1 = 0 \). Eliminating \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) using these Casimirs gives a Poisson structure on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with coordinates \((\rho_3, \rho_4, z)\) with Casimir \( C_3 = \rho_3^2 + \rho_4^2 - 2(l - \lambda(z - 1))(1 - z^2) = 0 \). The zero-level of \( C_3 \) defines the reduced phase space, which is singular for \( l = 0 \). Introducing local coordinates \( p_2 = l - \lambda(z - 1) \) and \( q_2 = \arctan(\pi_3/\pi_4) \) defines local coordinates almost everywhere on the orbifold \( C_3 = 0 \). The final expression for the action \( I \) derived in the following measures area on this orbifold.

We are now interested in finding action-angle variables for the reduced system. Computing action integrals is in principle straightforward, but we always hope that the resulting integrals are (complete) Abelian integrals. Using the standard expression \( \oint p \, dq \) to compute the action in our case would require to solve a cubic equation. The simple trick to instead integrate \( \oint q \, dp \) is obvious, but does not lead to an Abelian integral. Similar to the case of the Kovalevskaya top \cite{DRV98}, by using integration by parts the integral can be turned into an Abelian integral, in the present case in fact a complete elliptic integral. We start by defining

\[
I(l, h) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint q_2 \, dp_2,
\]

(3.7)
where the integration is performed along the curve \( H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) = h \) and the symbol \( \oint \) denotes that we are calculating the area enclosed by the curve. In \( I(l, h) \), \( q_2 = q_2(p_2; l, h) \) is thought as a function of \( p_2 \) depending on the parameters \( l \) and \( h \), found from \( H_{\text{red}} \)

\[
q_2(p_2; l, h) = \pm \arccos \left( \frac{\lambda \sqrt{2\mu h}}{\sqrt{-p_2(p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2\lambda)}} \right).
\]

(3.8)
Since \( H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) = H_{\text{red}}(-q_2, p_2) \) we can restrict the integral to the positive values of \( q_2 \) and then multiply by 2. In Figure 4 we can see a figure of the level curves of \( H_{\text{red}} \).
Figure 4: Representation of some level sets of the function $H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2)$ with parameters $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 1$, $l = 0$. The continuous lines correspond to positive values of the function and the dashed lines to negative ones. The dotted line is the level set of value 0.

**Remark 3.1.** The function $H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2)$ presents also the symmetry

$$H_{\text{red}}(q_2 + \pi, p_2) = H_{\text{red}}(q_2 - \pi, p_2) = -H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2) \quad (3.9)$$

which combined with the symmetry with respect to $q_2 = 0$ leads to

$$H_{\text{red}}(\pi - q_2, p_2) = H_{\text{red}}(q_2 - \pi, p_2) = -H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2).$$

In other words, $H_{\text{red}}(q_2, p_2)$ is antisymmetric with respect to the line $q_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. This symmetry is visible in Figure 4. If $I$ is the action corresponding to a certain value $h$ of $H_{\text{red}}$, then the action $I'$ corresponding to $-h$ will be

$$I' = \frac{\text{Vol}_l}{2\pi} - I, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\text{Vol}_l := 2\pi(2\lambda + \min\{0, l\})$ is the volume of the phase space $\{3.6\}$. For this reason from now on we will assume without loss of generality that $h > 0$.

**Remark 3.2.** The transformations $T_1, T_2$ defined in $\{3.2\}$ act on the angles $\theta, \varphi$ respectively by subtracting $\pi$ if the angles are positive or adding $\pi$ if they are negative, so as to always have angular values in $[-\pi, \pi]$. In a similar fashion, they both act on $q_2$ the same way: they subtract or add $\pi$ if $q_2$ is positive or negative respectively. From $\{3.9\}$ we see that this changes also the sign of $H_{\text{red}}$. Following the previous remark, $T_1$ and $T_2$ take $I$ to $I'$ and vice versa.
The integral (3.7) can be expressed as an integral of elliptic type and therefore can be calculated in terms of Legendre’s standard elliptic integrals. We will use the notations, definitions and results concerning elliptic integrals from section 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3.** The action integral of the reduced spin-oscillator is given by

\[
2\pi I = c_1 K(k) + c_2 \Pi(n_2, k) + c_3 \Pi(n_3, k),
\]

where \( K \) and \( \Pi \) are Legendre’s complete elliptic integrals of first and third kind respectively. The elliptic modulus and the characteristics are

\[
k^2 = \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}, \quad n_2 = \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - l}, \quad n_3 = \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - l - 2\lambda},
\]

the coefficients are

\[
c_1 = -\frac{3\lambda\sqrt{2\mu}}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \quad c_2 = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{2\mu}}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \frac{1}{\zeta_3 - l}, \quad c_3 = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{2\mu}}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \frac{1}{\zeta_3 - l - 2\lambda}
\]

and \( \zeta_1 \leq \zeta_2 \leq \zeta_3 \) are the roots of

\[
P(p_2) = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2\mu} p_2 (p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2\lambda) - 4h^2.
\]

In order to make the computations easier to follow, we will make use of the following notation.

**Notation.** We introduce the following notation for scaled variables

\[
p_1 := \frac{1}{\lambda} p_1, \quad p_2 := \frac{1}{\lambda} p_2,
\]

(3.11)

Together with the corresponding scaled functions

\[
\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{\lambda} L, \quad \mathcal{H} := \frac{\mu}{\lambda} H, \quad \mathcal{I} := \frac{1}{\lambda} I
\]

(3.12)

And function values

\[
I := \frac{1}{\lambda} I, \quad \hbar := \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}} h.
\]

(3.13)

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** In the scaled notation (3.11)-(3.13) the integral (3.7) becomes

\[
\mathcal{I}(I, \hbar) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \arccos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \hbar}{\sqrt{-p_2(p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2)}} \right) dp_2.
\]

(3.14)
Integrating by parts using \( \frac{d}{dt} \arccos(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \), we can rewrite (3.14) as

\[
\mathcal{I}(l, h) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \frac{h (l^2 - 4p_2^2 + 3p_2^2 + 2l - 4p_2)}{\sqrt{2(l - p_2)(l - p_2 + 2)}} \, \frac{dp_2}{w}
\]

\[
= -\frac{h}{\pi} \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \left( 3 + \frac{l}{(p_2 - l)} + \frac{l + 2}{(p_2 - l - 2)} \right) \, \frac{dp_2}{w}.
\] (3.15)

This integral is an elliptic integral defined on the elliptic curve

\[
\Gamma_{l, h} := \{(p_2, w) : w^2 = \mathcal{P}(p_2)\}, \quad \mathcal{P}(p_2) := -2p_2(p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2) - 4h^2.
\] (3.16)

The roots of \( \mathcal{P} \) are denoted by \( \tilde{\zeta}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 3 \) and they satisfy \( \tilde{\zeta}_1 \leq \min\{0, l\} \) and \( \max\{0, l\} \leq \tilde{\zeta}_2 \leq \tilde{\zeta}_3 \leq l + 2 \). The integration takes place between the two roots that lie in the physical phase space, namely \( \tilde{\zeta}_2 \) and \( \tilde{\zeta}_3 \).

We observe that the last two terms in (3.15) are poles and lead to elliptic integrals of third kind. Their residues, which in this case coincide with the value of the poles, are actions scaled by the global factor \( \frac{1}{2\pi} \). The first term corresponds to the \( S^1 \)-action \( l \), and the 2 in the second term, or \( 2\lambda \) if we revert the scaling, corresponds to the symplectic area of the sphere \( S^2 \).

We can decompose (3.15) into an integral of the form

\[
\mathcal{N}_A := \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \frac{dp_2}{w} = \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \frac{dp_2}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}(p_2)}}
\]

and two integrals of the form

\[
\mathcal{N}_{B, \gamma} := \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \frac{1}{p_2 - \gamma} \, \frac{dp_2}{w} = \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_2}^{\tilde{\zeta}_3} \frac{dp_2}{(p_2 - \gamma) \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(p_2)}},
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a constant. We can rewrite \( \mathcal{N}_A, \mathcal{N}_{B, \gamma} \) in terms of Legendre’s standard form by performing a change of integration variable

\[
x := \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - p_2}{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_2}}
\] (3.17)

and defining

\[
x^2 := \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_2}{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}, \quad n_\gamma := \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_2}{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \gamma}.
\] (3.18)
We obtain \( N_A \) as a complete elliptic integral of first kind
\[
N_A = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - \xi^2 x^2)}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}} K(\xi) \tag{3.19}
\]
and \( N_{B,\gamma} \) as a complete integral of third kind
\[
N_{B,\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \gamma)\sqrt{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1 - n_{\gamma} x^2)\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - \xi^2 x^2)}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \gamma)\sqrt{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}} \Pi(n_{\gamma}, \xi). \tag{3.20}
\]
We combine now (3.15) with (3.19) and (3.20) and transform back to the unscaled variables \( p_2, l, h \). This way we obtain the desired result.

We now want to understand the behaviour of the elliptic integral \( I(l, h) \) around the focus-focus critical value \((0, 0)\). The integral \( I(l, h) \) in (3.15) can either be understood as a real elliptic integral or as the real \( \beta \) cycle of a complex elliptic integral. In unscaled coordinates we can thus write
\[
I(l, h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\beta} -h \left( 3 + \frac{l}{(p_2 - l)} + \frac{l + 2\lambda}{(p_2 - l - 2\lambda)} \right) \frac{dp_2}{w},
\]
defined on
\[
\Gamma_{l,h} := \{(p_2, w) : w^2 = P(p_2)\}, \quad P(p_2) = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2 \mu} p_2 (p_2 - l) (p_2 - l - 2\lambda) - 4h^2.
\]
It is known from complex analysis that when expanding an elliptic integral as a series, the integral along the imaginary or vanishing \( \alpha \) cycle appears in front of a logarithmic term. To this end, it is convenient to define the ‘imaginary action’: the elliptic integral of the action but this time integrated along the imaginary \( \alpha \) cycle. In unscaled coordinates it takes the form
\[
J(l, h) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\alpha} -h \left( 3 + \frac{l}{(p_2 - l)} + \frac{l + 2\lambda}{(p_2 - l - 2\lambda)} \right) \frac{dp_2}{w}, \tag{3.21}
\]
where \( i \) is the imaginary unit. We denote by \( \mathcal{J} := \frac{1}{2} J \) its scaled counterpart. Here the \( \alpha \) cycle needs to be defined using a cycle in the original coordinates, and because of the transformation \( p_2 = u^2 + v^2 \) the original cycle is wrapped around twice.
Lemma 3.4. The series expansion of the action integral \(I(l, h)\) of the spin-oscillator as a function of the angular momentum value \(l\) and the energy value \(h\) is

\[
2\pi I(l, h) = 2\lambda \pi + \frac{\pi}{2} l + l \arctan \left( \frac{l}{2\sqrt{\lambda \mu h}} \right) + J(l, h) \log \frac{32\lambda}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4\lambda \mu h^2}}
\]

\[
- 2\sqrt{\lambda \mu h} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{2\lambda} lh + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{384\lambda^2(l^2 + 4\lambda \mu h^2)} h \left( 63l^4 + 412\lambda \mu l^2 h^2 + 544\lambda^2 \mu^2 h^4 \right) \quad (3.22)
\]

\[
- \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{3072\lambda^3(l^2 + 4\lambda \mu h^2)^2} lh (185l^6 + 2668\lambda \mu l^4 h^2 + 12176\lambda^2 \mu^2 l^2 h^4 + 18112\lambda^3 \mu^3 h^6) + ... 
\]

where the scaled imaginary action \(J(l, h)\) has the expansion

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}} J(l, h) = -2h + \frac{1}{4\lambda} lh - \frac{1}{128\lambda^2} h(9l^2 + 20\lambda \mu h^2) + \frac{5}{1024\lambda^3} lh \left( 5l^2 + 28\lambda \mu h^2 \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{7}{131072\lambda^4} h \left( 175l^4 + 1800\lambda \mu l^2 h^2 + 1584\lambda^2 \mu^2 l^2 h^4 \right) + ... \tag{3.23}
\]

Proof. The procedure is similar to the one used by Dullin [Dul13]. The idea is to expand Legendre’s elliptic integrals in series in the singular limit of the modulus \(k \rightarrow 1\) and then substitute the modulus and the parameters with their series expansions around the focus-focus critical value \((l, h) = (0, 0)\). To do so, we set \(l \mapsto l\varepsilon\) and \(h \mapsto h\varepsilon\) and we compute the Taylor expansion in \(\varepsilon\). We start with the roots of \(\mathcal{P}(p_2)\):

\[
\tilde{\zeta}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( l - \sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} h^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3),
\]

\[
\tilde{\zeta}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( l + \sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} h^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3),
\]

\[
\tilde{\zeta}_3 = 2 + l\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)
\]

and from here we can calculate the modulus and the parameters

\[
\varepsilon^2 = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}}{2} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{8} \left( l^2 + 4h^2 + \frac{l^3 + 6h^2 l}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3),
\]

\[
n_2 = 1 + \frac{l - \sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}}{4} \varepsilon - \frac{1}{8} \left( 1 - \frac{l}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3),
\]

\[
n_3 = -\frac{4}{h^2 \varepsilon^2} + \frac{-3l + \sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}}{h^2 \varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0).
\]
Both \( n_2 \) and \( n_3 \) belong to the so-called circular case, i.e., when either \( k^2 < n < 1 \) or \( n < 0 \), cf. Cayley \[Cay61\]. This means that we can rewrite the complete elliptic integral of third kind in terms of Heuman’s lambda function \( \Lambda_0 \) defined by

\[
\Lambda_0(\vartheta, k) := \frac{2}{\pi} \left( E(k) F(\vartheta, k') + K(k) E(\vartheta, k') - K(k) F(\vartheta, k') \right),
\]

where \( E \) is the elliptic integral of second kind, \( F \) is the incomplete elliptic integral of first kind and \( k' = \sqrt{1 - k^2} \) (see for more details \[AS92, BF54\]). More precisely, \( n_2 \) belongs to the positive circular case \( (k^2 < n < 1) \), where

\[
\Pi(n, k) = K(k) + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{(1 - n)(n - k)} \left( 1 - \Lambda_0(\vartheta, k) \right), \quad \vartheta = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{1 - n}{n - k}}
\]

and \( n_3 \) belongs to the negative circular case \( (n < 0) \), where

\[
\Pi(n, k) = \frac{1}{1 - n} K(k) + \frac{\pi n}{2} \sqrt{(1 - n)(n - k)} \left( 1 - \Lambda_0(\vartheta, k) \right), \quad \vartheta = \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{-n}}.
\]

Finally we expand the functions

\[
K(k) = \frac{1}{4} (k^2 - 1) - \frac{21}{128} (k^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{185}{1536} (k^2 - 1)^3 + \ldots
\]

\[
+ \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} (k^2 - 1) - \frac{9}{128} (k^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{25}{512} (k^2 - 1)^3 + \ldots \right) \log \left( \frac{1 - k^2}{16} \right)
\]

\[
\Lambda_0(\vartheta, k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \vartheta + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} (k^2 - 1) - \left( \frac{13}{32\pi} + \frac{3 \sin^2 \vartheta}{16\pi} \right) (k^2 - 1)^2 + \ldots \right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} (k^2 - 1) - \left( \frac{3}{16\pi} + \frac{\sin^2 \vartheta}{8\pi} \right) (k^2 - 1)^2 + \ldots \right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \log \left( \frac{1 - k^2}{16} \right).
\]

Substituting the modulus and the angles we eventually obtain

\[
2\pi \mathfrak{I}(l, h) = 2\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} l + l \arctan \left( \frac{1}{2h} \right) + \mathfrak{I}(l, h) \log \frac{32}{l^2 + 4h^2}
\]

\[
- 2h - \frac{1}{2} h l + \frac{1}{384(l^2 + 4h^2)^2} h (63t^4 + 412t^2h^2 + 544h^4)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{3072(l^2 + 4h^2)^2} l h (185t^6 + 2668t^4h^2 + 12176t^2h^4 + 18112h^6) + \ldots
\]

(3.25)

where the scaled imaginary action \( \mathfrak{I}(l, h) \) has the expansion

\[
\mathfrak{I}(l, h) = -2h + \frac{1}{4} l h - \frac{1}{128} h (9t^2 + 20h^2) + \frac{5}{1024} l h (5t^2 + 28h^2)
\]

\[
- \frac{7}{131072} h (175t^4 + 1800t^2h^2 + 1584h^4) + \ldots
\]

(3.26)

Reverting the scaling of variables we obtain \( 3.22 \). \( \Box \)
4 Calculation of the Taylor series invariant

We want to extract the symplectic invariant from the expression \((2.5)\). In the previous section we have computed the action as a function of \(h\) and \(l\). In order to eliminate \(h\) the Birkhoff normal form needs to be computed, which allows to express \(h\) as a function of \(j\) and \(l\). Here \(j\) and \(l\) are the semi-global extensions of \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\), respectively, as defined in \(§2.1\).

The observation that the Birkhoff normal form can be computed by inversion of an elliptic integral was first made in [Dul13]. This integral is defined on the same curve \(Γ\), but computed along a different cycle. In fact it is the imaginary action \(J(l,h)\) that we have already defined. Here we are going to compute the imaginary action \(J(l,h)\) by direct expansion and using residue calculus. Combining this with Lemma \(3.4\), we will obtain the desired result.

**Lemma 4.1.** The Birkhoff normal form of the focus-focus point of the spin-oscillator is

\[
\sqrt{\lambda \mu} B(j,l) = -\frac{1}{2} j - \frac{1}{16\lambda} j^2 l + \frac{5}{512\lambda^2} j \left(j^2 + l^2\right) - \frac{1}{4096\lambda^3} j \left(15j^2 l + 11l^3\right) + \frac{1}{524288\lambda^4} j \left(393j^4 + 990j^2 l^2 + 469l^4\right) + \ldots
\]

\(\lambda = \sqrt{2}\) (4.1)

**Proof.** We want to obtain the energy \(h\) as a function of the value of the imaginary action \(j\) and the value of the angular momentum \(l\). We will express this result as a Taylor series in \(j\) and \(l\). This is a modification of the classical Birkhoff normal form adapted to focus-focus points. We start by calculating the expansion of \(J(h,l)\) around the origin and afterwards we invert it, forgetting the dependence on \(l\). Following [Dul13], we can obtain the series expansion of \(J(h,l)\) either from \((3.26)\), the coefficient of the logarithmic term in Lemma \(3.4\), or directly from the definition \((3.21)\). It is obviously faster to just use the first option but we will briefly illustrate the second option in order to get a better insight into the problem.

The imaginary \(\alpha\) cycle vanishes when we approach the singular fibre. By the residue theorem of complex analysis, this means that only the values of the integrand close to \((l,h) = (0,0)\) matter. So we can expand the integrand of \((3.21)\) by writing it in scaled coordinates and making again the substitutions \(h \mapsto h\varepsilon\), \(l \mapsto l\varepsilon\), which leaves

\[
\frac{\sqrt{2}h(3p_2 - 4)}{p_2(2 - p_2)^{3/2}} \varepsilon - \frac{\sqrt{2}h(5p_2^2 - 11p_2 + 8)}{p_2^2(2 - p_2)^{5/2}} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{2h^3(3p_2^2 - 10p_2 + 8) + hl^2(-14p_2^3 + 44p_2^2 - 65p_2 + 36)}{\sqrt{2}p_2^3(2 - p_2)^{7/2}} \varepsilon^3 + \ldots
\]

(4.2)
\( J \) is the residue of the integrand at the pole \( p_2 = 0 \). So by calculating the residue of (4.2) at the origin, we recover (3.23). Once we have the series expansion of \( J(l, h) \) we only need to invert it regarding \( l \) as a constant. In other words, we fix the values of \( j = J(l, h) \) and \( l \), and then solve term by term to get \( h \) as a function of \( j \) and \( l \). This inversion gives us

\[
\mathfrak{B}(j, l) = -\frac{1}{2}j - \frac{1}{16}l + \frac{5}{512}j\left(j^2 + l^2\right) - \frac{1}{4096}j \left(15j^2l + 11l^3\right) + \frac{j(393j^4 + 990j^2l^2 + 469l^4)}{524288} + \ldots
\]  

(4.3)

and reverting the scaling of variables we obtain (4.1).

**Remark 4.2.** The dependence of the imaginary action \( J(l, h) \) on \( h \) comes from a global linear factor \( h \) and through nonlinear dependence on \( h^2 \) as we see in (3.21), so \( J \) is an odd function of \( h \): \( J(l, -h) = -J(l, h) \). This is also reflected in the normal form \( B \). This property is kept when we do the inversion, so we have \( B(-j, l) = -B(j, l) \).

We define \( z := j + il \), where \( i \) is the imaginary unit. In section 2.1 we saw that the area integral

\[ A(z) = 2\pi I(l, B(j, l)) \]

satisfies

\[ A(z) = A_0 - \Re(z \log z - z) + S(l, j). \]

**Theorem 4.3.** The series expansion of the area integral \( A(z) \) of the spin-oscillator as a function of the value \( j \) of the imaginary action and \( l \) of the angular momentum, where \( z = j + il \), is

\[ A(z) = 2\pi \lambda - j \log |z| + j + l \arg(z) + S(j, l) \]  

(4.4)

where the Taylor series invariant \( S(j, l) \) is

\[
S(j, l) = (5\log 2 + \log \lambda)j + \frac{\pi}{2}l + \frac{1}{4\lambda}jl - \frac{1}{768\lambda^2}j(34j^2 + 39l^2) + \frac{1}{1536\lambda^3}j(23l^3 + 34lj^2) - \frac{1}{2621440\lambda^4}j(10727j^4 + 30620j^2l^2 + 13505l^4) + \ldots
\]  

(4.5)

**Proof.** We only need to substitute the normal form from Lemma 4.1 into the action expansion that we have found in Lemma 3.4.

It is somehow amazing that even though in the action expansion in Lemma 3.4 rational functions and square roots appear, they all suitably combine into a polynomial in \( j \) and \( l \) as predicted by the theory. A few remarks are in order:

**Remark 4.4.** For the particular case \( \lambda = \mu = 1 \), the first-order terms in this expansion were already calculated in [PVaN12] and [BD15]. They coincide with the ones in (4.5).
Remark 4.5. We see that the constant $\mu$ does not appear in the Taylor series invariant, because the action $l$ corresponding to the coordinates $(q_1, p_1)$ is already scaled. In other words, since the Euclidean plane is non-compact and both the plane and the rotation action are isotropic, the coordinates scale in a natural way so that the constant $\mu$ plays no role. The sphere is compact, so $\lambda$ must play a role, but it is nothing else than a simple rescaling. The only special term is $\log \lambda$ in the first-order part, but it comes from scaling the term $\log |z|$ in $A(z)$.

Remark 4.6. The value of the constant $A_0$ corresponds, up to a factor $2\pi$, to the height invariant defined in [2.4]

In the case of the coupled spin-oscillator, the focus-focus singularity has the critical value $c = (0, 0)$. If $H = h = 0$, it means that $q_2$ is independent of $p_2$, taking the value $\pi/2$ when $p_2$ goes from $\zeta_2 = 0$ to $\zeta_3 = 2\lambda$ and $-\pi/2$ in the opposite direction. Therefore $A_0 = A(0,0)$ is given by

$$A_0 = \oint q_2 \, dp_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{2\lambda} dp_2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{2\lambda}^{0} dp_2 = \pi(2\lambda - 0) = 2\pi \lambda,$$

which essentially is the area of a rectangle. It coincides with

$$2\pi h_1 = 2\pi \text{Vol}(Y^-) = 2\pi \text{Vol}(Y^+) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)(2\lambda - 0) = 2\pi \lambda,$$

where $\text{Vol}$ denotes the symplectic volume, since $H$ will be positive for half of the possible values of $q_2$ and negative for the rest.

The symmetry of the foliation with respect to the transformations $T_1, T_2$ has the following effect on the symplectic invariant $S(j,l)$:

**Theorem 4.7.** The Taylor series invariant $S(j,l)$ of the spin-oscillator has only odd powers of $j$.

**Proof.** The invariant $S(j,l)$ is a property of the foliation $F$ induced by $(L, H)$. The foliation $F$ is invariant under the symplectic transformations $T_1, T_2$ of $M$ defined in [3.2]. These transformations act on the functions as

$$L \mapsto L \quad H \mapsto -H \quad J \mapsto -J \quad B \mapsto -B$$

and on the variables as

$$l \mapsto l \quad h \mapsto -h \quad j \mapsto -j.$$

From [4.4] we see that the symplectic invariant is given by

$$S(j,l) = A(z) - 2\pi \lambda + j \log |z| - j - l \arg(z).$$
Let us assume $l > 0$ for simplicity. Now apply $T_1$ or $T_2$ to \((4.6)\). We know that $j$ will change sign, $\text{arg}(z)$ will become $-\pi - \text{arg}(z)$ and from \((3.10)\) we see that $\mathcal{A}(z)$ will transform into $4\pi \lambda - \mathcal{A}(z)$. So we obtain

$$S(-j,l) = 4\pi \lambda - \mathcal{A}(z) - 2\pi \lambda - j \log |z| + j + l\pi + l \text{arg}(z)
$$

$$= -S(j,l) + l\pi.$$

Thus we obtain $S(j,l) - \frac{\pi}{2}l = -S(-j,l) + \frac{\pi}{2}l$, i.e. $S(j,l) - \frac{\pi}{2}l$ is an odd function of $j$, which implies that the Taylor series of $S(j,l)$ cannot have terms with even powers of $j$.

\[\square\]

5 Period and rotation number

Using the same techniques we can calculate the expansions of other dynamical quantities of interest, such as the period $T$ and the rotation number $W$. Consider a regular fibre of the semitoric system $(M,\omega, (L,H))$ defined by \((3.1)\), which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 = S^1 \times S^1$. Consider also the reduction of the system by the $S^1$-action induced by $L$, with fibres diffeomorphic to $S^1$. Then the reduced period $T$ essentially refers to the period of the reduced system and the rotation number $W$ is the quotient of the two rotation frequencies on the 2-torus fibre.

Let $I(l,h)$ be the action integral of the reduced system. The period is defined as

$$T(l,h) := 2\pi \frac{\partial I}{\partial h}(l,h) \quad (5.1)$$

and we denote its scaled counterpart by $\tilde{T} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}} T$. It is also useful to know the expression of the period as a function of the action values $j$ and $l$, which we will denote by $\hat{T}(j,l) := T(l,B(j,l))$.

**Lemma 5.1.** The period $T(l,h)$ has the expansion

$$T(l,h) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\mu}{l^2 + 4\lambda\mu h^2}} \left[ -1 + \frac{l^3 + 6\lambda\mu l^2 h}{4\lambda l^2 + 4\lambda\mu h^2} + \frac{256\lambda^2}{216l^6 + 364l^4h^2 + 1680l^2h^4 + 2496h^6} \right]
\pi \left[ \frac{185l^9 + 6084\lambda\mu l^7 h^2 + 54288\lambda^2\mu^2 l^5 h^4 + 193728\lambda^3\mu^3 l^3 h^6 + 244224\lambda^4\mu^4 l h^8}{(l^2 + 4\lambda\mu h^2)^3} \right]
$$

$$+ \frac{T^\alpha(l,h)}{2\pi\sqrt{\lambda\mu}} \log \frac{32\lambda}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4\lambda\mu h^2}}, \quad (5.2)$$

around the focus-focus point, where

$$T^\alpha(l,h) = -1 + \frac{l}{4\lambda} - \frac{3}{256\lambda^2}(3l^2 + 20\lambda\mu h^2) + \frac{5}{2048\lambda^3}(5l^3 + 84\lambda\mu lh^2)
$$

$$- \frac{35}{262144\lambda^4}(35l^4 + 1080\lambda\mu l^2 h^2 + 1584\lambda^2\mu^2 h^4) + ...$$
Proof. There are two ways to calculate the period. The first and simplest is to apply the definition (5.1) to the series expansion (3.22) of \( I(l, h) \), which leads to the desired result (5.2).

The second way, however, can give us a better insight of the meaning of the period expansion. Let us switch to scaled coordinates. Using expression (3.14) we have

\[
\mathcal{I}(l, h) = 2\pi \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial h} (l, h) = \oint \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \arccos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} h}{\sqrt{-p_2(p_2-1)(p_2-1-2)}} \right) \, dp_2 = -2 \oint \frac{dp_2}{w},
\]

where \( w \) is as in (3.16). The period is therefore an elliptic integral over the same elliptic curve \( \Gamma_{l,h} \) as \( \mathcal{I}(l, h) \). We finally have

\[
\mathcal{I}(l, h) = -4 \int_{\hat{\zeta}_2}^{\hat{\zeta}_1} \frac{dp_2}{w} = -4N_A = \frac{-4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\hat{\zeta}_3 - \hat{\zeta}_1}} K(\ell),
\]

where in the last step we used (3.19). Using the expansions of the proof of Lemma 3.4 we obtain

\[
\mathcal{I}(l, h) = -\frac{1}{4} l^3 + 6lh^2 + \frac{1}{256} \frac{21l^6 + 364l^4h^2 + 1680l^2h^4 + 2496h^6}{(l^2 + 4h^2)^2} - \frac{1}{6144} \frac{185l^9 + 6084l^7h^2 + 54288l^5h^4 + 193728l^3h^6 + 244224lh^8}{(l^2 + 4h^2)^3} + \ldots
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mathcal{I}^\alpha(l, h)}{2\pi} \log \frac{32}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4h^2}},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{I}^\alpha(l, h) = -1 + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{256} (3l^2 + 20h^2) - \frac{5}{2048} (5l^3 + 84lh^2) - \frac{35}{262144} (35l^4 + 1080l^2h^2 + 1584h^4) + \ldots
\]

Reverting the scaling of variables we obtain (5.2).

By substituting \( h \) by the modified Birkhoff normal form of Lemma 4.1 we obtain the series expansion of \( T(j, l) \). 

\[ \Box \]

By substituting \( h \) by the modified Birkhoff normal form of Lemma 4.1 we obtain the series expansion of \( T(j, l) \).
Corollary 5.2. The period \( \hat{T}(j, l) \) as a function of the values \( j \) of the imaginary action and \( l \) of the angular momentum has for \( z = j + il \) the expansion

\[
\hat{T}(j, l) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{2\lambda} l + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{128\lambda^2} (34j^2 + 21l^2) - \frac{5\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{3072\lambda^3} l(120j^2 + 37l^2) + ... \\
+ (\log |z| - \log(32\lambda)) \left( 2\sqrt{\lambda \mu} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{4\lambda} l + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{128\lambda^2} (15j^2 + 9l^2) - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu}}{1024\lambda^3} l(75j^2 + 25l^2) + ... \right)
\]

around the focus-focus point.

Similarly, we define the rotation number as

\[
W(l, h) := -\frac{\partial I}{\partial l}(l, h)
\]
and its scaled counterpart as \( W : = W \).

We also denote the rotation number as a function of the action values \( j \) and \( l \) by \( \hat{W}(j, l) := W(l, B(j, l)) \).

Lemma 5.3. The rotation number \( W(l, h) \) has the expansion

\[
2\pi W(l, h) = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda \mu} h} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu} 3l^2 + 8\lambda \mu h^2}{4\lambda l^2 + 4\lambda \mu h^2} \\
- \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu} lh(51l^4 + 392\lambda \mu l^2 h^2 + 816\lambda^2 \mu^2 h^4)}{128\lambda^2} \\
+ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda \mu} h(315l^8 + 4434\lambda \mu l^6 h^2 + 22872\lambda^2 \mu^2 l^4 h^4 + 49248\lambda^3 \mu^3 l^2 h^6 + 36224\lambda^4 \mu^4 h^8)}{1536\lambda^3} \\
+ W^\alpha(l, h) \log \frac{32\lambda}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4\lambda \mu h^2}}
\]

around the focus-focus point, where

\[
W^\alpha(l, h) = -\frac{h}{4\lambda} + \frac{9lh}{64\lambda^2} - \frac{5h}{1024\lambda^3} (15l^2 + 28\lambda \mu h^2) + \frac{175lh}{32768\lambda^4} (7l^2 + 36\lambda \mu h^2) \\
- \frac{63h}{1048576\lambda^5} (315l^4 + 3080\lambda \mu l^2 h^2 + 2288\lambda^2 \mu^2 h^4) + ...
\]

Proof. The proof of this Lemma is completely analogous to the one of Lemma 5.1. We can either apply the definition \((5.4)\) directly to the series expansion \((3.22)\) of \( I(l, h) \), which leads to the result \((5.5)\), or work out the explicit integrals in order to get an idea of the structure of the rotation number. Switching to scaled variables and using expression
we have

\[ 2\pi \mathcal{W}(l, h) = -\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial l}(l, h) = -\oint \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \arccos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \ h}{\sqrt{-p_2(p_2 - l)(p_2 - l - 2)}} \right) \, dp_2 \]

\[ = h \int \tilde{\zeta}_3 \left( \frac{1}{p_2 - l} + \frac{1}{p_2 - l - 2} \right) \, dp_2 = 2 \left( \mathcal{N}_{B,1} + \mathcal{N}_{B,1+2} \right). \]

By substituting now (3.20) we obtain

\[ 2\pi \mathcal{W}(l, h) = \frac{2 \sqrt{2} h}{\sqrt{\tilde{\zeta}_3 - \tilde{\zeta}_1}} \left( \frac{1}{(\tilde{\zeta}_3 - l)} \Pi(n_i, t) + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\zeta}_3 - l - 2)} \Pi(n_{i+2}, t) \right). \]

Using the expansions of the proof of Lemma 3.4 we get

\[ 2\pi \mathcal{W}(l, h) = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{2h} \right) + 3h - \frac{h^3}{4} + \frac{h}{128} \left( \frac{h}{h^2 + 4h^2} \right)^2 + ... \]

\[ + \frac{1}{1536} \left( 3154h^8 + 44341h^6 + 228721h^5 + 492481h^7 + 36224h^9 \right) + ... \]

\[ + 2\mathcal{W}^a(l, h) \log \frac{32}{h^2 + 4h^2}, \]  

(5.6)

where

\[ 2\mathcal{W}^a(l, h) = -\frac{h}{4} + \frac{9h}{64} - \frac{5h}{1024} (15l^2 + 28h^2) + \frac{175lh}{32768} (7l^2 + 36h^2) \]

\[ - \frac{63h}{1048576} (3154h^4 + 30801h^2 + 2288h^4) + ... \]

Reverting the scaling of variables we obtain (5.5).

By substituting \( h \) by the modified Birkhoff normal form of Lemma 4.1 we obtain the series expansion of \( \hat{W}(j, l) \).

**Corollary 5.4.** The rotation number \( \hat{W}(j, l) \) as a function of the values \( j \) of the imaginary action and \( l \) of the angular momentum has, for \( z = j + il \), the expansion

\[ 2\pi \hat{W}(j, l) = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z) - \frac{j}{4\lambda} + \frac{17jl}{128\lambda^2} - \frac{7}{61444\lambda^3} j(34j^2 + 57l^2) + ... \]

\[ - (\log |z| - \log(32\lambda)) \left( \frac{j}{8\lambda} - \frac{7jl}{128\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{1024\lambda^3} j(15j^2 + 26l^2) + ... \right) \]  

(5.7)

around the focus-focus point.
Dullin & Vũ Ngọc [DVuN04] showed that the rotation number must have the form
\[ 2\pi \hat{W}(j, l) = -A(j, l) \Re(\log z) - \Im(\log z) + \varsigma(j, l) \]
where \( A(j, l) \) is the smooth function
\[ A(j, l) = \frac{\partial B}{\partial j}(j, l) \]
and \( \varsigma(j, l) \) is a smooth and single-valued function near the origin. Comparing with (5.7), we see that it has the expected form, where
\[ A(j, l) = \frac{\partial B}{\partial j}(j, l) = \frac{j}{8\lambda} - \frac{7jl}{128\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{1024\lambda^3}(15j^3 + 26jl^2) - \frac{1}{65536\lambda^4}(1005j^3 l + 791jl^3) + ... \]
and
\[ \varsigma(j, l) = (\log 32\lambda)A(j, l) - \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{j}{4\lambda} + \frac{17jl}{128\lambda^2} - \frac{7}{6144\lambda^3}(34j^3 + 57jl^2) + ... \]

6 Vanishing twist and superintegrability

The variation of the rotation number with respect to the angular momentum is the twist
\[ T(l, h) := \frac{\partial W}{\partial l}(l, h) \]
and we set \( \hat{T}(j, l) := T(l, B(j, l)) \). The twist around focus-focus points has been studied for example in [D05], [DVuN04]. In the second paper it is shown that, when the focus-focus point is loxodromic, i.e., the Hessian of the function \( H \) has four complex eigenvalues with non-zero real and imaginary parts, then there exist a regular torus with vanishing twist for each value of \( h \) close to the critical one and all other tori with the same \( h \) have non-vanishing twist. However, it was not clear what the behaviour would be in other situations.

In our case though, the eigenvalues of the Hessian of the Hamiltonian function \( H \) at the fixed point are \( \pm 1 \), thus real. We have the following result:

**Lemma 6.1.** The twist \( T(l, h) \) has the expansion
\[ 2\pi \frac{T(l, h)}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\lambda h^2}} = \frac{2h}{l^2 + 4\lambda h^2} + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \frac{lh(l^2 + 12\lambda h^2)}{(l^2 + 4\lambda h^2)^2} \]
\[ - \frac{h}{128\lambda^2} \frac{69l^6 + 772\lambda m^4 l^2 + 2544\lambda^2 m^2 l^4 h^4 + 3264\lambda^3 m^3 l^6}{(l^2 + 4\lambda h^2)^3} + ... \]
\[ + \left( \frac{9h}{64\lambda^2} - \frac{75lh}{512\lambda^3} + \frac{525h}{32768\lambda^4}(Tl^2 + 12\lambda h^2 + ...) \right) \log \frac{32\lambda}{\sqrt{l^2 + 4\lambda h^2}} \]
around the focus-focus point.

Proof. Directly from (5.5) and (6.1).

Corollary 6.2. The twist \( \hat{T}(j, l) \) as a function of the values \( j \) of the imaginary action and \( l \) of the angular momentum has the expansion

\[
2\pi \hat{T}(j, l) = \frac{1}{|z|^2} \left( -j - \frac{jl}{4\lambda} + \frac{1}{128\lambda^2} j(23j^2 + 35l^2) - \frac{1}{128\lambda^3} jl(26j^2 + 27l^2) + ... \\
+ (\log |z| - \log(32\lambda)) \left( \frac{1}{128\lambda^2} j(9l^2 + 9j^2) - \frac{1}{512\lambda^3} jl(33j^2 + 33l^2) + ... \right) \right),
\]

where \( z = j + il \).

We see that the expansion of the twist is an odd function of \( j \). More precisely,

Theorem 6.3. The twist of the spin-oscillator vanishes when the Hamiltonian vanishes, i.e. \( \mathcal{T} = 0 \) on \( H^{-1}(0) \).

Proof. It is more convenient to work with the twist \( \hat{T}(j, l) \) as a function of the values \( j \) of the imaginary action and \( l \) of the angular momentum. It can be obtained directly from the action integral \( A(z) \) via

\[
2\pi \hat{T}(j, l) = 2\pi \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial l}(j, l) = -\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial l^2}(j, l).
\]

Let us now look at the structure of \( A(z) \) in (4.4). The first term, \( 2\pi \lambda \), is a constant and therefore vanishes after the derivative. The second term, \( -j \log |z| \), is odd in \( j \) and therefore, after taking two derivatives with respect to \( l \), it will still be odd in \( j \). More precisely,

\[
-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial l^2} (-j \log |z|) = j \frac{j^2 - l^2}{|z|^4}.
\]

The third term, \( j \), vanishes after taking the derivative. The fourth term, \( l \arg(z) \), is odd in \( j \) and it remains so after two derivatives:

\[
-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial l^2} (l \arg(z)) = -\frac{2j^3}{|z|^4}.
\]

From the proof of Theorem 4.7 we see that the last term, \( S(j, l) \), is odd in \( j \) up to a linear factor in \( l \) that vanishes after differentiating twice. This means that \( \hat{T}(j, l) \) is an odd function of \( j \) and in particular, it vanishes if \( j = 0 \). Since \( J \) vanishes when \( h = 0 \) we conclude that \( \mathcal{T}(l, 0) = 0 \), which is what we wanted to see.
The fact that the twist vanishes at $h = 0$ for all values of $l$ is thus a consequence of the discrete symmetry of the foliation. A possible way to interpret this property is in terms of superintegrability (see [Pas05] for an overview). When $h = 0$, a new conserved quantity appears given by

$$K(x, y, z, u, v) := \arctan \left( \frac{v}{u} \right),$$

so our system has three first integrals, namely $(L, H, K)$. Applying Mischenko-Fomenko’s theorem of ‘noncommutative integrability’ ([Nek72], [MF78]) — the analog of Liouville-Arnold’s theorem for superintegrable systems — we obtain a fibration in terms of 1-dimensional tori.

**Lemma 6.4.** If the Hamiltonian vanishes, $H = 0$, then the spin-oscillator has a third constant of motion, $K(x, y, z, u, v) = \arctan \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)$.

**Proof.** The symplectic form of the system (3.1) is $\omega = \lambda \omega_{S2} \oplus \mu \omega_{R2}$. Therefore,

$$\{H, K\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \frac{\partial K}{\partial v} \{u, v\} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial v} \frac{\partial K}{\partial u} \{v, u\} = \frac{x}{2} \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2} + \frac{y}{2} \frac{v}{u^2 + v^2} \frac{(-1)}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{H}{u^2 + v^2}$$

and consequently $\{H, K\} = 0$ if $H = 0$. \hfill \square

Obviously, $K$ and $L$ are not in involution. More precisely,

$$\{L, K\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \frac{\partial K}{\partial v} \{u, v\} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial v} \frac{\partial K}{\partial u} \{v, u\} = \mu u \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2} + \mu v \frac{v}{u^2 + v^2} \frac{(-1)}{v} = 1.$$

## 7 Calculation of the twisting-index invariant

The coupled spin-oscillator (3.1) is a semitoric system with one focus-focus singularity located at $m = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. As mentioned in Remark 2.8, in this case the twisting-index invariant is completely specified by finding a representative of the polygon invariant with index $k_1 = 0$. From there, the indices for the rest of the polygons in the equivalence class can be reconstructed by knowing that $G_1 = \{-1, +1\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not act on the index and that $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts by addition.

More precisely, the action of $G_1 \times \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ on $W\text{Polyg}_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$(\epsilon'_1, T^k_1) \star (\Delta, b_{\lambda_1}, \epsilon_1, k_1) = (t_u(\Delta), b_{\lambda_1}, \epsilon'_1 \epsilon_1, k_1 + k'_1),$$

where $u = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon'_1)/2$. As a consequence, an orbit of this action is completely specified by finding a weighted polynomial $(\Delta, b_{\lambda_1}, \epsilon_1)$ with index $k_1 = 0$. The rest of the elements of the orbit can be calculated from (7.1).
Theorem 7.1. The twisting-index invariant of the coupled spin-oscillator system is the orbit generated by the action of $G_1 \times G$ on the element $(\Delta, b_{\lambda_1}, \epsilon_1, k_1)$, where $\Delta$ is the polygon depicted in Figure 5, $b_{\lambda_1} = \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\epsilon_1 = +1$ and $k_1 = 0$.

Proof. Let $W \subseteq M$ be a neighbourhood of the focus-focus singularity $m = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$ and $V := F(W)$. Let us also make the choice $\epsilon_1 = +1$. We also know $F(m) = (L, H)(m) = (0, 0)$, so in particular $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $b_{\lambda_1} = b_0^{+1}$. In section §2.5 we have seen that there is a unique smooth function $H_p : F^{-1}(V \setminus b_0^{+1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ that extends to a continuous function on $F(V)$, satisfies $\lim_{q \to m} H_p(q) = 0$ and whose Hamiltonian vector field coincides with the vector field $X_p$ from (2.7). In other words, $H_p$ must be of the form $\phi \circ \Phi$, where $f = f(z)$ is a function whose derivatives are $\partial_i f = \tau_i/2\pi$, $i = 1, 2$, the functions $\tau_i$ are as in (2.2) and $\Phi = \phi \circ F$ as in §2.5. This implies that $f$ must be of the form

$$2\pi f(z) = S(z) - \Re(z \log z - z) + \text{const.}$$

Comparing with (2.5) and (4.4) we see that $f(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi}(A(z) - A_0)$ and $\phi(l, h) := \phi(J(l, h), l)$ have the desired form, thus by uniqueness, we must have $H_p = f \circ \phi \circ F$, which can be defined continuously in all $M$. The privileged momentum map will then be $\nu = (L, H_p)$, and its image, which we denote by $\Delta$, can be seen in Figure 5.

It is a polygon with vertices at $(-2, -1)$ and $(0, 1)$. The first vertex corresponds to the elliptic-elliptic singularity. The inner point $(0, 0)$ is the image of the focus-focus singularity. The polygon $\Delta$, together with $b_0^{+1}$ and $\epsilon_1 = +1$, is a weighted polygon of the polygon invariant, so it is also the image of a momentum map $\mu : M \to \Delta$. For this momentum map we will have $\mu = \nu$ and using (2.8) we conclude that $k_1 = 0$. The association of $k_1 = 0$ to the polygon in Figure 5 completely determines the twisting-index invariant. \hfill \Box

Figure 5: Image of the privileged momentum map of the coupled spin oscillator for the case. It is also a weighted polygon $\Delta$ of the polygon invariant. The image of the elliptic-elliptic singularity is $(-2\lambda, -\lambda)$ and the image of the focus-focus singularity is at $(0, 0)$. 34
The polygon symplectic invariant of the coupled spin-oscillator has been calculated by Pelayo & Vu Ngoc in Figure 5 of [PVuN12]. We see that it coincides with the one in Figure 5 except for a horizontal translation by $\lambda$ and a vertical translation by $\lambda$. The horizontal translation is caused by our definition of the function $L$ in (3.1) which differs from theirs by $\lambda$ since we work with $(L, H)(m) = (0, 0)$. The vertical translation is due to the requirement that $H_p$ tends to 0 as we approach the focus-focus singularity $m$, which amounts to subtracting $A_0$ in the definition of the function $f$ of the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Once we have a weighted polygon of the polygon invariant with the associated twisting index $k_1 = 0$, the twisting-index invariant is completely determined, i.e., we can calculate the index associated to all other weighted polygons of the polygon invariant. In Figure 1 some of them are displayed.
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