

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Bone metastases in the era of targeted treatments: insights from molecular biology

Reference:

Dewulf Jonatan, Vangestel Christel, Verhoeven Yannick, Van Dam Peter André, Elvas Filipe, van den Wyngaert Tim, Clezardin Philippe.- Bone metastases in the era of targeted treatments: insights from molecular biology
The quarterly journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging - ISSN 1824-4785 - Turin, Edizioni minerva medica, 63:2(2019), p. 98-111
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.23736/S1824-4785.19.03203-5>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1629120151162165141>

TITLE:

Bone metastases in the era of targeted treatments: insights from molecular biology.

AUTHORS

Jonatan Dewulf¹, Christel Vangestel^{1,2}, Yannick Verhoeven³, Peter van Dam^{3,4}, Filipe Elvas¹, Tim Van den Wyngaert^{1,2,*}, Philippe Clézardin^{5,6}.

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Molecular Imaging Center Antwerp (MICA), Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium

² Department of Nuclear Medicine, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

³ Center for Oncological Research (CORE), University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium

⁴ Multidisciplinary Oncologic Center Antwerp (MOCA), Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

⁵ INSERM Laboratory Pathophysiology, Diagnosis & Treatments of Bone Diseases, Lyon, France

⁶ INSERM European Associated Laboratory Cancer and Bone Metastasis, University of Sheffield, Medical School, Sheffield, United Kingdom

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Tim Van den Wyngaert, MD, PhD

Department of Nuclear Medicine

Antwerp University Hospital

Wilrijkstraat 10

2650 Edegem - Belgium

Telephone: +32 3 821 35 68

Fax: +32 3 821 58 92

E-mail: tim.van.den.wyngaert@uza.be

ABSTRACT

Bone metastases remain a common feature of advanced cancers and are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Recent research has identified promising novel treatment targets to improve current treatment strategies for bone metastatic disease. This review summarizes the well-known and recently discovered molecular biology pathways in bone that govern normal physiological remodelling or drive the pathophysiological changes observed when bone metastases are present. In the rapidly changing world of targeted cancer treatments, it is important to recognize the specific treatment effects induced in bone by these agents and the potential impact on common imaging strategies. The osteoclastic targets (bisphosphonates, LGR4, RANKL, mTOR, MET-VEGFR, cathepsin K, Src, Dock 5) and the osteoblastic targets (Wnt and endothelin) are discussed, and the emerging field of osteo-immunity is introduced as potential future therapeutic target. Finally, a summary is provided of available trial data for agents that target these pathways and that have been assessed in patients. The ultimate goal of research into novel pathways and targets involved in the tumour-bone microenvironment is to tackle one of the great remaining unmet needs in oncology, that is finding a cure for bone metastatic disease.

KEY WORDS

Bone remodelling; bone metastases; treatment; pathophysiology

INTRODUCTION

Breakthroughs in oncology, including the use of more effective local and systemic treatment strategies have meaningfully improved patient outcomes for many cancer types, in particular when the tumour is still restricted to the primary site. However, despite these advances this outlook changes drastically when metastases develop. Bone metastases still are a common feature of advanced cancer such as lung, breast and prostate cancer, and remain generally incurable. In this review we summarize the major mechanisms that drive physiological bone remodelling and metastatic bone disease from a molecular biology point of view highlighting the current knowledge on the key pathways involved in these processes as we understand them today. In addition to these mechanistic insights, we evaluate the available clinical evidence for agents that target the discussed pathways.

THE VICIOUS CYCLE OF BONE METASTASIS

Bone is constantly being formed, broken down and renewed, with two specialized cell lines intricately working together to regulate and maintain bone mass. The osteoclast, which is derived from a hematopoietic lineage of cells, resorbs bone, while the osteoblast, whose origin is mesenchymal, synthesizes bone matrix.¹ The balance between the activities of both cell types is of extreme importance to maintain functional and healthy bone. Overactivation of osteoclasts results in fragile and brittle bone (e.g. osteoporosis), while lack of osteoclast function causes high bone density (e.g. osteopetrosis).

Bone resorption starts with the differentiation of osteoclastic hematopoietic precursors to mature osteoclasts that attach to the bone matrix. Podosomes, which contain actin and $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin, facilitate this adhesion and form a connection with the proteins osteopontin and vitronectin of the bone matrix. The Howship's lacuna is the region where bone resorption occurs and is closed-off where the cell adheres to bone, called the clear zones.² The resorptive surface of the osteoclasts excretes the enzyme cathepsin K, which digests the organic bone matrix (mostly consisting of collagen), and protons that acidify the environment to dissolve the inorganic compound (hydroxyapatite) to phosphate, calcium and water. This acidic milieu is created by osteoclast expressed carbonic anhydrase 2 enzyme, which is present in the cytoplasm of the cell and generates H_2CO_3 from H_2O and CO_2 . The spontaneous dissociation of carbonic acid results in the generation of a proton (H^+) and bicarbonate (HCO_3^-). While the proton is excreted at the resorptive surface by proton pump activity (H^+ -ATPase),

electroneutrality is preserved by a chloride channel that releases the bicarbonate on the basolateral membrane of the osteoclast preventing excess of bicarbonate to act as a limiting factor for the chemical reaction.³

Together with the dissolution of the inorganic bone matrix, the organic part of the bone is digested by cathepsin K, which is a cysteine protease that targets the abundance of cysteine residues present in the structure of collagen. The primary degradation products of collagen are subsequently enclosed in vesicles containing the enzyme tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP), which facilitates further degradation. These vesicles are then transported through the cytoplasm of the cell and released on the basolateral side.^{4, 5}

The physiological process of bone resorption by osteoclasts is then followed by new bone formation by osteoblasts. Eventually the osteoblast becomes entrapped within the newly formed mineralized bone matrix and differentiates into an osteocyte, which resides in a space called a lacuna. Osteocytes are by far the most abundant cells of the bone. They modulate bone turnover by regulating osteoblast and osteoclast functions through the secretion of RANKL, SOST and DKK-1 (see below). They also control calcium and phosphate homeostasis, and act as mechanosensors to control responses to mechanical loading of the skeleton.^{6, 7}

When metastatic tumour cells invade the bone microenvironment, this tightly controlled remodelling process becomes severely disturbed. The resulting dysregulation of bone homeostasis is termed the “vicious cycle” and starts with the secretion by the invading tumour cells of numerous proteins in the bone microenvironment (most importantly parathyroid hormone-related protein [PTHrP]) that promote osteoclast differentiation and activity, and thus bone degradation. In addition, these growth factors will not only increase the recruitment, differentiation and activation of osteoclasts, but will also severely impede osteoblast formation and activity, thereby disrupting crosstalk mechanisms between these bone cells. The result is a positive feedback mechanism allowing tumour cells to proliferate by the release of growth factors and ionized calcium as result of excessive bone resorption by osteoclasts. In addition to tumour progression, this process frequently exposes the patient to bone pain and skeletal complications such as fracture, spinal cord compression, and hypercalcemia. In reality, the vicious cycle consists of a vastly more complex network of mediators and interactions, with several drugs currently available that successfully target osteoclast formation and function to interrupt the vicious cycle and decrease skeletal complications in patients with metastatic bone disease as detailed in the following paragraphs.^{8, 9}

PHARMACOLOGICAL TARGETS OF OSTEOCLAST FUNCTION

An overview of the discussed osteoclast targets is presented in Figure 1.

Bisphosphonates

Mechanism of action

Bisphosphonates were the first class of drugs that could successfully inhibit bone resorption by selective inhibition of osteoclasts, as first published by Herbert Fleisch¹⁰ 50 years ago when they were still (incorrectly) referred to as diphosphonates. Two classes have subsequently been developed, with the older bisphosphonates (such as etidronate and clodronate) acting by reversing the pyrophosphorylytic reactions, which are catalysed by aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. This results in the generation of toxic non-hydrolysable bisphosphonate analogues of ATP (AppCp) which inhibit mitochondrial ADP/ATP translocase and induce osteoclast apoptosis.¹¹ However due to their low potency they have been superseded in clinical practice by newer generation bisphosphonates. Indeed, the current clinically used nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (N-BP) (e.g. pamidronate, risedronate, zoledronate) target the osteoclast farnesyl pyrophosphate synthetase (FPPS) and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase (GGPPS).¹² Once administered the N-BP, which has a high affinity for Ca²⁺ due to the two phosphonate groups, rapidly localizes to the bone mineral. When osteoclasts start resorbing this bisphosphonate saturated bone, the low pH in the Howship's lacuna protonates the phosphonate groups of the N-BP, releasing the N-BP from the bone mineral and dissolving them. The free bisphosphonate is then captured in vesicles and enters the osteoclast by pinocytosis. From the internalized vesicles the N-BP enters the cytosol after further protonation. In normal conditions FPPS and GGPPS, which are part of the mevalonate pathway, generate FPP and GPP. Both these compounds are important in the production of isoprenoids, which are lipids that are crucial for the post-translational prenylation of GTPases such as Ras and Rho. In addition, the accumulation of the substrates generates ApppI, a cytotoxic ATP analogue.¹³ The N-BP however binds to the pocket of the FPPS enzyme and induces a conformational change, inhibiting the prenylation of GTPases and altering their intracellular localization and function.¹⁴ While the mevalonate pathway is present in all cells of the human body, N-BP mainly affect osteoclasts because of their high affinity for bone mineral and the high endocytic activity of osteoclasts.^{15, 16} The local effect of bisphosphonates in the microenvironment can be prolonged, as these molecules can reattach to the bone after dissolution.¹⁷

Effect of bisphosphonates on tumour growth

Next to the effect on osteoclasts, multiple preclinical studies and some clinical trials have demonstrated the positive effect of N-BP administration on the incidence of bone metastases and tumour progression in bone.¹⁸ Taken together, evidence suggests the existence of direct and indirect anti-tumour effects of bisphosphonates and other bone modifying agents (Figure 2). First, indirect effects act through the inhibition of bone resorption, which reduces the release and local availability of tumour growth factors (e.g. TGF- β) from the resorbed bone matrix, interrupting the vicious cycle and making bone a less attractive environment for tumour cells.¹⁸ ¹⁹ In addition, compelling *in vivo* data has demonstrated the direct targeting by N-BPs of cancer promoting pathways, including angiogenesis. This is mediated by inhibition of FPPS activity which disturbs prenylation signalling and leads to inhibition of endothelial cell adhesion, survival and migration.¹⁸ N-BPs are also taken up by monocytes and dendritic cells, where inhibition of the mevalonate pathway triggers elevated levels of the phosphoantigen IPP, which is a strong activator of a specific subset of T lymphocytes ($\gamma\delta$ T cells).²⁰ Together with presentation of the IPP antigen by cancer cells, the subsequent activation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells leads to the release of interferon- γ and perforin, and cytotoxic tumour cell death. This immune system activating action of bisphosphonates has also been postulated to explain the flu-like symptoms that patients may experience after administration of these agents.

Preclinical data have also showed decreased tumour growth in bone in osteoclast deficient mice, suggesting a direct anti-tumour effect of N-BPs.²¹ Further evidence for a direct anti-tumour effect comes from the observation that the administration of the highly bone-seeking phosphonocarboxylate analogue of risedronate resulted in reduced skeletal tumour growth even at doses that did not inhibit osteolysis.^{22, 23} Furthermore, apoptosis was observed in a xenograft model of myeloma treated with clinically relevant doses of the N-BP zoledronic acid, where the presence of unprenylated Rap1A provided strong mechanistic evidence of inhibition of FPPS by zoledronic acid in these non-skeletal tumours.²⁴ Finally, tumour cell migration and invasion are also susceptible to N-BPs, with preliminary studies showing inhibited cellular invasion, which reduces metastatic outgrowth.¹⁸

Receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL) inhibitors

Mechanism of action

The receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL) is a type 2 transmembrane protein from the TNF superfamily that exists in a membrane bound and soluble form that are both bioactive. The soluble form is generated by proteolytic cleavage of the membrane bound

protein. In bone, RANKL is found on stromal/osteoblastic cells or exists in soluble form. It promotes the differentiation of osteoclastic precursor cells, activates mature osteoclasts and it prolongs osteoclast cell survival. The RANKL antagonist denosumab binds with high affinity to RANKL and blocks the binding with the RANK receptor and further downstream signaling. Osteoprotegerin (OPG) is the naturally occurring soluble decoy receptor for RANKL and binding with OPG results inhibition of RANKL-RANK signaling.²⁵

Effect of RANKL inhibition on tumour growth

Preclinical studies have shown that RANKL-RANK inhibition reduces tumour-induced bone lesions, including those with osteolytic, osteoblastic or mixed phenotypes. This supports the notion that osteoclastic activity is a requisite element for osteolytic and osteoblastic lesions. Preclinical studies showed an important effect in tumour growth reduction as a consequence of interrupting the vicious cycle. Also, additive effects are observed when RANKL blockade is administered together with other therapies.²⁶ More recently, the complex interplay of RANK/RANKL signalling and tumour immunology has generated a lot of interest. In particular, RANKL may be involved in another vicious cycle that involves T_{reg} lymphocytes and tumour associated macrophages (TAMS) that have a high RANK expression and in which RANKL can act as a chemoattractant for these cells. Conditional on other factors, both TAMS and T_{regs} have the potential to promote tissue invasion and metastasis, and enhance the tumour permissive properties of macrophages through RANK/RANKL signalling. The use of RANKL-targeted agents such as denosumab may therefore be a promising adjunct treatment strategy, especially in novel cancer immunotherapies.^{27, 28}

Leucine rich repeat containing G-protein coupled receptor 4 (LGR4)

The leucine rich repeat containing G-protein coupled receptor 4 (LGR4) was identified as a new receptor for RANKL. RANKL was already known as the sole ligand of the RANK receptor and induces osteoclast synthesis and function, as discussed previously.

LGR4 competes with RANK for binding RANKL, and inhibits osteoclast differentiation by suppressing RANK-TRAF6 signalling and activating G α_q Ca²⁺ induced inhibition of NFATC1 during osteoclastogenesis. Moreover, osteoclast apoptosis is induced through Fas expression, effectively making LGR4 a key negative feedback mechanism in the RANK-RANKL signalling pathway that negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption.²⁹

mTOR inhibitors

Mechanism of action

Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) is an important pathway in different malignancies and dysregulation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is a frequent observation in cancer.³⁰ Initiation of this pathway occurs through activation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) by growth factors. In cancer cells, upregulation or mutation of the RTK and/or their subunits p110 α , p110 β , p85 α , p85 β can occur. Additional activation is observed through the internal RAS and AKT (protein kinase B) pathways, whereas negative feedback mechanisms via PTEN and the TSC complex are frequently lost in malignancies. An important drug target in this pathway is the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), whose inhibition leads to a marked reduction in protein synthesis and cell growth. Two protein complexes of mTOR have been described (mTORC1 and mTORC2), and compounds that inhibit both complexes achieve superior inhibition of tumour cell survival, proliferation and metabolism in preclinical studies, compared to single complex inhibition alone.³¹

Working on a different target in the pathway are PI3K specific inhibitors, which can act on all or a specific subset of isoforms of PI3K. Normal activation of the PI3K pathway results in the activation of the RAS/AKT pathway promoting cell growth, differentiation, survival, proliferation and metabolism. The more specific inhibitors have a more narrow activity profile, a limitation that may be overcome by strict patient selection based on the analysis of mutational characteristics of the primary tumour or its metastases.

Compounds that inhibit both mTORC1/2 and PI3K inhibition have been generated as well, sharing structural domains belonging to the PI3K-related kinases superfamily (PIKK). This results in upstream and downstream AKT inhibition, avoiding the negative feedback activation loop, but at the cost of higher toxicity.

Drugs targeting AKT seem to be an interesting approach for tumours with loss of function mutations in the tumour suppressor PTEN, as PTEN is a downstream complex of PI3K required for signalling of the AKT target.³¹

Effect of the mTOR inhibitor everolimus on bone

The effect of the mTOR inhibitor everolimus on osteoclastic and osteoblastic cells was evaluated *in vitro*. Osteoclastic precursor cells showed decreased cell viability with increasing everolimus concentrations, but more pronounced negative effects were observed on osteoclast formation and in mature osteoclasts. In human osteoblastic precursor cells the activity also

decreased with incubation with everolimus. These findings established the importance of the mTOR pathway in bone biology. Interestingly, the RANK-RANKL signalling pathway for activating osteoclasts acts through mTOR signalling. This is supported by the observation that incubation with rapamycin, another mTOR inhibitor, results in an increase in OPG, an important decoy receptor for RANKL.^{32, 33}

Preclinical *in vivo* experiments with everolimus in a murine ovariectomized model showed an increase in bone mineral density and bone volume by 38% and 37%, respectively, in comparison with a control group. These results were confirmed by measurement of increased trabecular number and decreased trabecular separation. Additional histomorphology results showed a decrease in osteoclast number by 25% with treatment. Everolimus could even partly reverse the bone remodeling rate by 41.5% in the same murine models. When a preclinical model of bone metastases was used, everolimus reduced bone lesions by 45%, increased bone mineral density and bone formation rate >50%, and decreased the total number of osteoclasts by 43%.³⁴ All these data indicate a bone protective effect of everolimus.

MET and VEGFR2 inhibitors

Mechanism of action

Cabozantinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), inhibits the phosphorylation of the tyrosine kinase receptors of MET and VEGFR2, but also that of other receptors from the same family. The hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) is the only known ligand for the MET receptor and this signalling pathway is frequently dysregulated in different tumour types. Overexpression of both MET and VEGFR2 has been shown to induce tumorigenesis and metastases.³⁵

While treatment with selective VEGFR inhibitors has demonstrated superior clinical outcomes, tumour relapse or progression invariably occurs after some time.³⁶ The resistance mechanism to the VEGFR inhibitor treatment is possibly upregulation of tyrosine kinase receptors (MET and VEGFR) caused by treatment-induced hypoxia. In addition, crosstalk between different pathways exists, providing the rationale for the development of TKIs with dual or broad spectrum activity.

In bone, treatment of osteoclasts with HGF results in increased cytosolic Ca²⁺ and Src kinase activity, both crucial for regulating the activity of osteoclasts. However, the increase in cell activity is not specific to osteoclasts and could be seen in other cell lines as well (epithelial cells, fibroblasts). *In vitro* experiments showed increased motility (chemotactic migration) of osteoclasts as measured by the number of cells crossing a collagen filter. Increased DNA

replication was observed as well after stimulation with HGF and osteoclasts are able to secrete HGF themselves. The only effect observed on osteoblastic cells was stimulation of DNA synthesis.³⁷⁻³⁹

Effect of cabozantinib on bone metastases

Preclinical studies with cabozantinib in prostate cancer models have shown a better effect on osteoblastic metastases compared to osteolytic lesions. In particular cabozantinib reduced the size of osteoblastic lesions and reduced bone remodelling at the site of bone metastases. The effect of cabozantinib on osteoblast is biphasic, with induction of early osteoblast differentiation at low doses, and inhibition of osteoblast differentiation at higher doses, which may be due to the overall impact of cabozantinib on osteoblast viability. The reduction in bone resorption observed with cabozantinib treatment is primarily the result of a reduction in the numbers of osteoclasts, as opposed to the inhibition of the activity of individual mature osteoclasts.³⁹

Cathepsin K inhibitors

Mechanism of action

Cathepsin K, mentioned above, is an enzyme that breaks down the organic phase of bone. Inhibitors of cathepsin K interfere with the catalytic characteristics of the enzyme due to covalent binding with amino acids of the functional region of the enzyme. Cathepsin K has been suggested to not only inhibit bone resorption but also stimulate bone formation by reducing the degradation of growth factors.⁴⁰ Unfortunately, concerns about off-target inhibition of cathepsins are rising and have led to the withdrawal of one such inhibitor in a phase 3 clinical trial due to adverse skin effects.⁴¹

Effect of cathepsin K inhibition on bone metastases

Preclinical *in vivo* metastatic breast cancer models show reduced osteolytic bone lesion formation and progression, resulting in less bone destruction with the administration of a cathepsin K inhibitor. Breast cancer cells express cathepsin K, which feeds the seed and soil mechanism of the metastases theory. However the overall anti-tumour effect is dominantly due to the drug's antiresorptive effect. This hypothesis was confirmed since no effect was seen on soft tissue breast cancer tumours.⁴²

Src inhibitors

Src is a non-receptor tyrosine kinase that is expressed in all tissue cells, yet the effect of src knockout in mice was only evident by the osteopetrotic phenotype in bone, due to the lack of

osteoclast activity.⁴³ Not only do osteoclasts fail to form their functional resorptive membrane, but they also failed to migrate to the bone.⁴⁴

c-Src is involved in numerous osteoclast functions, it is important in the assembly and disassembly of the podosomes, which are crucial for the attachment of mature osteoclasts to the resorptive surface of the bone.⁴⁵ Src is also involved in the signalling cascade of RANK-RANKL, with a defect or absence in Src resulting in an interrupted pathway.⁴⁶ An additional mechanism of action in osteoclasts is the secretion of proteases and the regulation of vesicle transport. Importantly, other non-receptor kinases cannot replace the function of c-Src when it is lacking, highlighting its pivotal role in osteoclasts.⁴⁷

Dock5 inhibitors

Dock5 is a protein that is involved in intracellular signalling networks and acts as activator of small G proteins. The Dock5 inhibitor C21 inhibits the nucleotide guanine exchange on GTPase Rac1, predominantly by blocking the DHR2 catalytic and dimerization domain. Rac1 is needed for the formation of the osteoclast sealing zone and inhibition leads to a malfunction in the attachment of the osteoclast to the bone matrix.⁴⁸

Preclinical *in vivo* data showed no impairment of bone formation with the use of C21 in contrast to bisphosphonate treatment. Also, in models of bone metastatic cancer a reduced tumour burden in the bone and increased bone volume was demonstrated. Again, these effects can be largely attributed to the shutdown of the vicious cycle in the bone. C21 has potential for clinical use in osteoporosis and rheumatoid arthritis as well, as benefits in bone volume could be seen in specific models for these conditions.⁴⁹

PHARMACOLOGICAL TARGETS OF OSTEOBLAST FUNCTION

An overview of the discussed osteoblast targets is presented in Figure 3.

Wnt inhibitors

Mechanism of action

The Wnt (Wingless-related integration site) pathway typically mediates close-range signalling between cells involving numerous processes in the body, including tissue shaping, proliferation, and metabolism. Signalling can occur through a canonical Wnt pathway (β -catenin dependent) or a non-canonical Wnt pathway (β -catenin independent). Activation of the β -catenin dependent pathway, by binding of Wnts to the Frizzled (FZD) receptors and the low density lipoprotein receptor related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6) coreceptors, results in the recruitment

of dishevelled proteins (Dv1). This suppresses the β -catenin destruction complex and the stabilized β -catenin subsequently enters the cell nucleus. After binding to the TCF (T-cell factor) / LEF (lymphoid enhancer factor) transcription factor coactivator, the transcription of Wnt genes is initiated. The non-canonical pathway results in the activation of Wnt/mTOR (as described above), Wnt/STOP (stabilization of proteins, resulting in activation of cellular processes), Wnt/JNK (leading to transcriptional activation), Wnt/PCP (important in alignment of cell polarity across the tissue), Wnt/ Ca^{2+} (activation of canonical pathway or direct effect on transcription of Wnt genes) and Wnt/YAP/TAZ (activating transcription of Wnt genes).

Importantly, the Wnt pathway contributes to physiological bone mass regulation as well as many processes related to bone metastases (primary tumour dissemination, metastatic tumour dormancy, metastatic tumour outgrowth, tumour-induced osteogenesis and tumour-induced osteolysis). In the bone microenvironment the effects of Wnt on osteoblast function dominate, yet are complex and mediated via both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signalling. Activation of the Wnt pathway leads to bone formation, but the relative contribution of the canonical and non-canonical pathways to bone formation needs to be further elucidated. In contrast, in osteoclasts canonical signalling can either promote or inhibit osteoclast differentiation depending on the type of Wnt that serves as pathway activator, illustrating the complexity of the role of Wnts in bone and their potential role as target for therapeutic intervention.⁵⁰⁻⁵²

Regulation of the Wnt pathway

The endogenous Wnt inhibitors Dickkopf-1 protein (DKK-1) and Sclerostin (SOST) bind to the LRP5/6 coreceptor preventing further signalling. While this coreceptor is predominantly present in canonical pathways, it also has importance in some non-canonical signalling. Secreted frizzled-related proteins (SFR) and Wnt inhibitory factor 1 (WIF1) bind directly to the Wnts, preventing binding of the ligand with the Wnt receptor. Both canonical and non-canonical pathways can be inhibited in this way.

Effect of DKK-1 and SOST on bone metastases

In preclinical models of metastatic breast cancer, DKK-1 can inhibit the growth of lung metastases. However, it also results in a 10-fold increase in the occurrence of bone metastases, without affecting primary tumour growth. This illustrates the dual role of DKK-1 in the breast cancer metastatic process. A possible mechanism may involve inhibition of Wnt/ β -catenin signalling in osteoblasts resulting in a reduction of OPG secretion, the decoy receptor for RANKL. Without this decoy receptor RANKL can bind to RANK in an uninhibited way,

resulting in uncontrolled bone resorption due to increased osteoclast differentiation and function.⁵³

Sclerostin (SOST) expression occurs only on osteocytes and interfering with SOST produces effects that are limited to bone and does not affect tumour proliferation. Treatment with an anti-SOST antibody in a mouse model of multiple myeloma prevented myeloma induced bone loss, reduced osteolytic lesions, and increased bone strength and resistance to fracture. Furthermore, the use of the anti-SOST antibody showed a superior increase in bone strength in comparison to zoledronic acid.⁵⁴

Endothelin inhibitors

Mechanism of action

The endothelin family consists of the small peptides ET-1, ET-2 and ET-3 (21 amino acids). ET-1 and ET-2 bind to the ET_A and ET_B receptor, while ET-3 only binds to the ET_B receptor. In the bone microenvironment the activation of ET-1/ ET_A results in increased osteoblast numbers and function, and a reduction in osteoclast activation and motility, which results in matrix remodelling and bone deposition.⁵⁵ An increased expression of ET-1 and ET_A has been identified in renal, cervical, colon, lung, prostate, and ovarian tumours. Overall, activation of the ET pathway in cancer cells promotes tumour growth and progression, metastases, angiogenesis and proliferation.

Effect of ET_A antagonists on bone metastases

Preclinical *in vivo* data showed reduced osteoblastic bone metastases with treatment of an ET_A antagonist, but no effects on cancer-induced osteolytic lesions were observed.⁵⁵ The effect of the ET_A antagonist zibotentan on overall survival was evaluated in a randomized controlled phase 3 trial (*n*=594) enrolling men with castration-resistant prostate cancer and bone metastases who were pain-free or mildly symptomatic for pain. Disappointingly, no benefit on overall survival or any secondary endpoints was observed in this study.⁵⁶

THE EMERGING FIELD OF INNATE OSTEO-IMMUNITY AND BONE METASTASIS

The clinical observation of the sometimes long interval (up to decades) between time to primary cancer diagnosis and the occurrence of bone metastases has since long strengthened the hypothesis that disseminated tumour cells (DTC) can remain dormant by means of complex interactions with the bone microenvironment. The role of the innate immune system in this process has been intensively studied over the last years and these efforts may pave the way for

effective immune therapies that harbour the power of the patient's own immune system to identify and clear DTCs or even bone metastases. However, current immunotherapies have only shown limited efficacy in bone probably because of low tumour immunogenicity and tolerance, illustrating the need for a deeper understanding of local immune interactions in bone.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹

Role of osteoblasts in tumour promotion

Intriguingly, some tumours can impact bone even without the presence of metastases. Two different *in vivo* mouse models of lung cancer showed increased osteoclast activity in different locations in the bones, without the presence of any metastases.⁶⁰ Further experiments also showed an increase in the number and activity of osteoblasts and neutrophils infiltrating the tumour. This was dependent on osteocalcin-positive osteoblasts (Ocn⁺) and indicates an effect of osteoblasts on the tumour. Of note, these neutrophils were SiglecF^{high} (sialic acid binding immunoglobulin like lectin F) positive and express genes that promote amongst others angiogenesis, extracellular matrix remodelling, suppression of T-cell responses, tumour cell proliferation and growth.⁶⁰

Immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy

The activation of T-cells requires two stimulatory signals: tumour antigen presentation by dendritic cells or macrophages, and co-stimulatory CD28 signalling on the T-cell surface by the antigen presenting cell through the CD80-86 receptors (Figure 4).⁶¹ However, delivering the actual immune response after activation of the T-cell can be severely hampered by difficulties in infiltrating the site of bone metastasis. In addition, inhibitory cells trigger pathways after T-cell activation that can abrogate an effective anti-tumour immune response. For example, upregulated CTLA-4 can bind with CD80-86 with a higher affinity than CD28 due to homologous resemblance, preventing the co-stimulatory signal.⁶² Similarly, PD-1 is another immune checkpoint molecule that prevents over-action of the immune system by inducing apoptosis in the cells when it is activated by binding to its ligand. It is well-known that the PD-1 ligand is expressed by many cancer types and represents an important resistance pathway of tumour cells to immune mediated death. The recent clinical success of checkpoint inhibitor therapy in metastatic cancers (e.g. melanoma) using antibodies directed against CTLA-4 (e.g. ipilimumab) and PD-1 (e.g. pembrolizumab, nivolumab) receptors have shown the feasibility of these therapeutic approaches. Unfortunately not all patients respond to checkpoint inhibitor therapy, and responses are not always durable, suggesting that many other regulatory and escape pathways must exist. For this reason, combining multiple agents that

target different immune checkpoints or pathways appears an attractive strategy to overcome resistance.⁶³

For example, the anti-RANKL and anti-CTLA-4 antibodies have modest anti-metastatic effect when administered alone, but recent preclinical data suggests that RANKL blockade improves the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies against solid tumours and experimental metastases.⁶⁴ The same group also reported that RANKL blockade increases the anti-metastatic activity of antibodies targeting PD-1/PD-L1 in mouse models of melanoma, prostate and colon cancer.⁶⁵ While further clinical studies are required, a published case report of a woman with widespread bone metastatic melanoma achieving a remarkable response lasting 48 weeks with the combination treatment with denosumab (120 mg/ 4 weeks) and ipilimumab (3 mg/kg/ 3 weeks) appears to support these findings.⁶⁶

TARGETING THE BONE MATRIX AND THE MICROENVIRONMENT

Nerve growth factor (NGF)

Bone pain is a common symptom in advanced cancer and can be challenging to treat. The nerve growth factor (NGF) is a member of the neurotrophin family and is important in the survival and maintenance of sensory and sympathetic neurons. The main receptor is tropomyosin receptor kinase A (TrkA) and binding of the ligand activates further intracellular signalling pathways.⁶⁷ Immunohistochemical analysis has demonstrated expression of the TrkA receptor by the majority of sensory and sympathetic nerve fibers in the bone environment and therefore makes it a potential target for treating bone pain.⁶⁷

Indeed, *in vivo* preclinical breast cancer models have shown a significant reduction in induced pain and sprouting of TrkA+ and GAP43+ nerves after administration of an anti-NGF antibody, while normal nerve morphology was maintained. However, treatment with anti-NGF therapy did not impact disease progression or tumour induced bone remodelling.⁶⁸

Transforming growth factor β (TGF β)

TGF β (transforming growth factor β) is important in the normal regulation of bone remodelling and maintains the balance between osteoblastic and osteoclastic activity. Cancer-induced osteoclastic activity releases TGF β in the bone environment, which promotes the vicious cycle of tumour-induced bone destruction. It has been shown that increased concentrations of TGF β are associated with poor disease prognosis, suggesting that targeting TGF β may improve outcome.⁹

In preclinical mouse breast cancer models a decrease in tumour burden was observed in animals treated with an anti-TGF β antibody. Furthermore, a reduction in osteoclast activity, osteolytic lesions and levels of PTHrP (parathyroid hormone related peptide) were observed as well. In contrast, the number of osteoblasts increased during treatment, supporting the ability of this treatment to abrogate the vicious cycle.⁶⁹

CLINICAL RESULTS OF TARGETED PHARMACOLOGIC INTERVENTION IN BONE METASTASES

The previous paragraphs reviewed the different pathways and targets that are involved in normal and pathological bone remodelling and the interactions between bone and tumour cells (table 1). In the following sections a summary is provided of the main body of clinical evidence that provide the basis for the clinical use of agents that target these pathways.

Bisphosphonates

Prevention of skeletal related events (SRE) in bone metastatic disease

The benefits of bisphosphonate treatment has been evaluated in many malignancies, showing meaningful reductions in the risk of developing skeletal related events (SRE) in patients with multiple myeloma, or bone metastases from breast cancer, prostate cancer, and other solid tumours. While many bisphosphonates exist with some differences between their clinical use, zoledronic acid is probably the best studied molecule and carries the broadest label as it can be used for the prevention of SREs in all previously mentioned patient groups.

Prevention of disease progression and death

Based on tempting preclinical observations, zoledronic acid was also tested in an adjuvant setting in patients receiving curative treatment for breast cancer (ZO-FAST and ABCSG-12 trials). Intriguingly, in post-menopausal women or when combined with ovarian suppression, the addition of zoledronic acid to endocrine treatment improved disease outcomes.^{70, 71} In patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy after curative local treatment (AZURE trial), there was a benefit in overall survival after treatment with zoledronic acid (HR 0.85; CI 95% 0.72-1.01), but only in the subgroup of post-menopausal women.⁷² This suggests that the interplay between bone and cancer may be dependent on the endocrine milieu (and more particular estrogen levels), opening up an entirely new direction of research.

RANKL inhibitors

Prevention of skeletal related events (SRE) in bone metastatic disease

After the identification of RANKL as a potentially important therapeutic target in bone disease, the monoclonal anti-RANKL antibody denosumab was evaluated in a large number of clinical trials. In the setting of preventing SREs in bone metastatic disease denosumab has shown equal or superior efficacy compared to the bisphosphonate zoledronic acid. Firstly, a randomized control trial in advanced breast cancer patients (n=1026) comparing denosumab (120 mg SC/ 4 weeks) with zoledronic acid (4 mg IV/ 4 weeks) favoured denosumab, when comparing the time to first (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.71-0.95) and subsequent (HR 0.77; 95% CI 0.66-0.89) SRE.⁷³ Also, in metastatic prostate cancer patients (n=1904) denosumab prolonged (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.71-0.95) the time until first on study SRE compared with zoledronic acid.⁷⁴ Finally, in two randomized trials in multiple myeloma and solid tumours other than prostate and breast cancer, denosumab was found to be noninferior to zoledronic acid.^{75, 76}

Prevention of disease progression and death

Having proven efficacy in the bone metastatic setting, several clinical trials have studied the potential of denosumab to prevent or delay the occurrence of bone metastases. In a large phase 3 randomized placebo controlled trial in men with castration resistant prostate cancer and unfavourable PSA kinetics (n=1432), denosumab (120 mg/ 4 weeks) increased bone metastasis free survival (HR 0.85; 95% CI 0.73-0.98) and delayed the time to first bone metastasis (HR 0.84; 95% CI 0.71-0.98) compared to placebo. However, these positive results have not led to registration for this indication because of concerns of the risk of developing osteonecrosis of the jaw.⁷⁷ In postmenopausal women with breast cancer (n=3420) receiving adjuvant denosumab (60 mg SC/ 6 months) not only delayed the time to first clinical fracture (HR 0.50; 95% CI 0.39-0.65), but also improved disease-free survival (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.69-0.98) compared with placebo, mirroring the results seen with zoledronic acid in this setting.^{78, 79} The D-CARE study recruited women with early stage breast cancer and randomized between denosumab (120 mg SC/ 3-4 weeks 6 times + 120 mg SC/ 3 months for 54 months) and placebo given as adjuvant therapy together with chemotherapy. No benefit in the time to first bone metastases could be demonstrated with denosumab (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.66-1.02), even though some secondary endpoints favoured the denosumab arm.⁸⁰

In lung cancer, a post hoc analysis of patients with NSCLC (non-small-cell lung carcinoma) in a phase 3 randomized trial comparing zoledronic acid with denosumab, showed a survival benefit (HR 0.80; 95% CI 0.67-0.95) for the treatment arm with denosumab.⁸¹ In addition, an

improvement in overall survival was also observed in patients with visceral metastases favouring the denosumab arm (HR 0.79; 95% CI 0.63–0.98).⁸¹ These data suggest that RANK/RANKL inhibition may improve survival unrelated to a better control of bone disease. However, the recent prospective SPLENDOUR trial could not show any improvement in OS or PFS by adding denosumab to standard first-line therapy in patients with metastatic NSCLC.⁸²

mTOR inhibitors

Adding the mTOR inhibitor everolimus to the aromatase inhibitor exemestane in patients with advanced breast cancer improves outcomes compared to exemestane treatment alone. Interestingly, analyses of the profiles of bone turnover markers (e.g. bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, amino-terminal propeptide of type 1 collagen, and C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type 1 collagen) during treatment showed profound beneficial effects on disease progression in bone.⁸³ However, no further clinical development was performed specifically looking at the effects of everolimus on bone metastases in other cancers.

MET and VEGFR2 inhibitors

The results of an early phase II trial with cabozantinib in advanced prostate cancer looked extremely promising. Indeed, in a randomized controlled trial in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients (n=171) a prolonged progression free survival in the cabozantinib (100 mg/day) treatment arm was seen compared to placebo (HR 0.12; p<0.001).⁸⁴ Unfortunately these results could not be confirmed in the pivotal phase 3 trial (COMET-1) (n=1028) showing similar overall survival with cabozantinib or placebo (HR 0.90; 95% CI 0.76-1.06). Intriguingly, spectacular bone scan responses were seen with cabozantinib treatment (42% vs 3%, p= 0.001) as well as benefit in radiographic progression-free survival (HR 0.48; 95% CI 0.40-0.57).⁸⁵

These conflicting results highlight the crucial importance of understanding the mechanism of action of novel targeted agents in order to correctly interpret the results of imaging techniques including bone scintigraphy using (99mTc)-bisphosphonates. Indeed, the highly specific effects of these treatments can lead to unexpected behaviour. Given the observed discrepancies between scintigraphic and clinical response, it was hypothesized that cabozantinib could interfere with local bone metabolism and uncouple the tumour and bone interaction. This was indeed confirmed in an elegant preclinical study where fractures were imaged with ¹⁸F-NaF-PET/CT and ^{99m}Tc-medronate scintigraphy after 7 days of treatment with cabozantinib. Even though no fracture healing was observed by that time, there was a greatly reduced uptake of

the radiopharmaceutical probably by direct inhibition of osteoblast function, even though the mechanism of action needs further elucidation.⁸⁶

Cathepsin K inhibitors

The available clinical data suggest that odanacatib has a similar efficacy to bisphosphonates in osteoporosis to increase bone mineral density and decrease the risk of fragility fractures.⁸⁷ However, concerns over an increased risk for cardiovascular events have led to the discontinuation of the clinical development of this molecule.

Src inhibitors

While dasatinib has been shown to have effects on bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer, this molecule has not been further studied in this setting. Indeed, in a small trial (n=25) patients were treated with dasatinib 100 mg daily and zoledronic acid 4mg IV at the start of the study. Partial response in bone was seen in 23% and the clinical benefit rate was 36%.⁸⁸

Activin A inhibitors

Activin A is a regulator of bone remodelling and promotes osteoclast development and differentiation. Sotatercept is a decoy receptor that binds activin A with very high affinity preventing the continued loss of bone in myeloma patients with osteolytic lesions. In an early-phase clinical trial in multiple myeloma patients (n=30) sotatercept treatment resulted in an increase in bone alkaline phosphatase levels, suggesting increased osteoblastic activity. Nevertheless, these initial results need to be interpreted cautiously.⁸⁹

CHALLENGES IN BONE METASTASES IMAGING AND TREATMENT RESPONSE

As discussed in the previous paragraphs, the mechanisms driving bone metastatic disease are numerous and complex. Progress in understanding these regulatory pathways has paved the way to new therapeutic targets in use today and many others still being actively researched. However, this mechanistic complexity also challenges the imaging methods used to assess response to these novel treatment candidates, as illustrated by the case of cabozantinib and bone scintigraphy. Together with historical insights regarding the specificity of imaging findings and the impact of confounding phenomena such as flare reaction when assessing response highlight the importance of continued validation of our techniques when new treatments are introduced. Currently, no single imaging technique is consistently superior for the assessment of metastatic bone disease across all tumour types and clinical scenarios. As will be discussed in the next papers in this special issue, both improvements in hardware and

the development of new radiotracers will be required to solve the current hurdles in assessing metastatic bone disease.

CONCLUSIONS

Our understanding of the pathways driving bone remodelling in health and disease has increased considerably over the last decades, and new fields of study (e.g. osteo-immunology) are only on the verge of being discovered. These breakthroughs have already translated into meaningful new treatments for patients with bone metastatic cancer. However, important challenges remain before this condition will become curable. This is also mirrored by the challenges introduced by novel targeted agents on assessing response in bone using nuclear medicine imaging methods, which will benefit from the development of new radiotracers and techniques.

REFERENCES

1. Wlodarski KH. Properties and origin of osteoblasts. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1990(252):276-93.
2. Duong LT, Lakkakorpi P, Nakamura I, Rodan GA. Integrins and signaling in osteoclast function. *Matrix Biol.* 2000;19(2):97-105.
3. Silver IA, Murrills RJ, Etherington DJ. Microelectrode studies on the acid microenvironment beneath adherent macrophages and osteoclasts. *Exp Cell Res.* 1988;175(2):266-76.
4. Tolar J, Teitelbaum SL, Orchard PJ. Mechanisms of disease: Osteopetrosis. *New Engl J Med.* 2004;351(27):2839-49.
5. Blair HC. How the osteoclast degrades bone. *Bioessays.* 1998;20(10):837-46.
6. Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. *Endocr Rev.* 2013;34(5):658-90.
7. Almeida M, Laurent MR, Dubois V, Claessens F, O'Brien CA, Bouillon R, et al. Estrogens and Androgens in Skeletal Physiology and Pathophysiology. *Physiol Rev.* 2017;97(1):135-87.
8. Weilbaecher KN, Guise TA, McCauley LK. Cancer to bone: a fatal attraction. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2011;11(6):411-25.

9. Mundy GR. Metastasis to bone: causes, consequences and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002;2(8):584-93.
10. Fleisch H, Russell RG, Francis MD. Diphosphonates inhibit hydroxyapatite dissolution in vitro and bone resorption in tissue culture and in vivo. *Science*. 1969;165(3899):1262-4.
11. Frith JC, Monkkonen J, Auriola S, Monkkonen H, Rogers MJ. The molecular mechanism of action of the antiresorptive and antiinflammatory drug clodronate - Evidence for the formation in vivo of a metabolite that inhibits bone resorption and causes osteoclast and macrophage apoptosis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2001;44(9):2201-10.
12. Fisher JE, Rogers MJ, Halasy JM, Luckman SP, Hughes DE, Masarachia PJ, et al. Alendronate mechanism of action: geranylgeraniol, an intermediate in the mevalonate pathway, prevents inhibition of osteoclast formation, bone resorption, and kinase activation in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96(1):133-8.
13. Mönkkönen H AS, Lehenkari P, Kellinsalmi M, Hassinen IE, Vepsäläinen J,. A new endogenous ATP analog (AppplI) inhibits the mitochondrial adenine nucleotide translocase (ANT) and is responsible for the apoptosis induced by nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2006;147.
14. Rogers MJ. New insights into the molecular mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2003;9(32).
15. Coxon FP, Thompson K, Roelofs AJ, Ebetino FH, Rogers MJ. Visualizing mineral binding and uptake of bisphosphonate by osteoclasts and non-resorbing cells. *Bone*. 2008;42(5):848-60.
16. Kavanagh KL. The molecular mechanism of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates as antiosteoporosis drugs. *The National Academy of Sciences of the USA*. 2006;103(20).
17. Nancollas GH, Tang R, Phipps RJ, Henneman Z, Gulde S, Wu W, et al. Novel insights into actions of bisphosphonates on bone: Differences in interactions with hydroxyapatite. *Bone*. 2006;38(5):617-27.
18. Stresing V, Daubine F, Benzaid I, Monkkonen H, Clezardin P. Bisphosphonates in cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett*. 2007;257(1):16-35.

19. van der Pluijm G, Que I, Sijmons B, Buijs JT, Lowik CW, Wetterwald A, et al. Interference with the microenvironmental support impairs the de novo formation of bone metastases in vivo. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65(17):7682-90.
20. Morita CT JC, Sarikonda G, Wang H. Nonpeptide antigens, presentation mechanisms, and immunological memory of human Vgamma2Vdelta2 T cells: discriminating friend from foe through the recognition of prenyl pyrophosphate antigens. *Immunol Rev.* 2007;215.
21. Hirbe AC, Roelofs AJ, Floyd DH, Deng H, Becker SN, Lanigan LG, et al. The bisphosphonate zoledronic acid decreases tumor growth in bone in mice with defective osteoclasts. *Bone.* 2009;44(5):908-16.
22. Clezardin P. Bisphosphonates' antitumor activity: an unravelled side of a multifaceted drug class. *Bone.* 2011;48(1):71-9.
23. Fournier PG DF, Lundy MW, Rogers MJ, Ebetino FH, Clezardin P. Lowering bone mineral affinity of bisphosphonates as a therapeutic strategy to optimize skeletal tumor growth inhibition in vivo. *Cancer res.* 2008;68.
24. Guenther A GS, Tiemann M, Burger R, Bakker F, Green JR. The bisphosphonate zoledronic acid has antimyeloma activity in vivo by inhibition of protein prenylation. *Int J Cancer.* 2010;126.
25. Lacey DL, Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Kostenuik PJ, Dougall WC, Sullivan JK, et al. Bench to bedside: elucidation of the OPG-RANK-RANKL pathway and the development of denosumab. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2012;11(5):401-19.
26. Dougall WC. Molecular pathways: osteoclast-dependent and osteoclast-independent roles of the RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway in tumorigenesis and metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18(2):326-35.
27. van Dam PA, Verhoeven Y, Jacobs J, Wouters A, Tjalma W, Lardon F, et al. RANK-RANKL Signaling in Cancer of the Uterine Cervix: A Review. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(9).
28. van Dam PA, Verhoeven Y, Trinh XB, Wouters A, Lardon F, Prenen H, et al. RANK/RANKL signaling inhibition may improve the effectiveness of checkpoint blockade in cancer treatment. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2019;133:85-91.

29. Luo J, Yang Z, Ma Y, Yue Z, Lin H, Qu G, et al. LGR4 is a receptor for RANKL and negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. *Nat Med*. 2016;22(5):539-46.
30. Weigelt B, Downward J. Genomic Determinants of PI3K Pathway Inhibitor Response in Cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2012;2:109.
31. Dienstmann R, Rodon J, Serra V, Tabernero J. Picking the point of inhibition: a comparative review of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2014;13(5):1021-31.
32. Glantschnig H FJ, Wesolowski G, Rodan GA, Reszka AA. M-CSF, TNF α and RANK ligand promote osteoclast survival by signaling through mTOR/S6 kinase. *Cell Death Differ*. 2003;10.
33. Mogi M, Kondo A. Down-regulation of mTOR leads to up-regulation of osteoprotegerin in bone marrow cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2009;384(1):82-6.
34. Browne AJ, Kubasch ML, Gobel A, Hadji P, Chen D, Rauner M, et al. Concurrent antitumor and bone-protective effects of everolimus in osteotropic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2017;19(1):92.
35. Rong S, Segal S, Anver M, Resau JH, Vande Woude GF. Invasiveness and metastasis of NIH 3T3 cells induced by Met-hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor autocrine stimulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1994;91(11):4731-5.
36. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, Cartwright T, Hainsworth J, Heim W, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(23):2335-42.
37. Yakes FM, Chen J, Tan J, Yamaguchi K, Shi Y, Yu P, et al. Cabozantinib (XL184), a novel MET and VEGFR2 inhibitor, simultaneously suppresses metastasis, angiogenesis, and tumor growth. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2011;10(12):2298-308.
38. Grano M, Galimi F, Zambonin G, Colucci S, Cottone E, Zallone AZ, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor is a coupling factor for osteoclasts and osteoblasts in vitro. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1996;93(15):7644-8.

39. Dai J, Zhang H, Karatsinides A, Keller JM, Kozloff KM, Aftab DT, et al. Cabozantinib inhibits prostate cancer growth and prevents tumor-induced bone lesions. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014;20(3):617-30.
40. Xiang A, Kanematsu M, Kumar S, Yamashita D, Kaise T, Kikkawa H, et al. Changes in micro-CT 3D bone parameters reflect effects of a potent cathepsin K inhibitor (SB-553484) on bone resorption and cortical bone formation in ovariectomized mice. *Bone.* 2007;40(5):1231-7.
41. Stoch SA, Wagner JA. Cathepsin K inhibitors: a novel target for osteoporosis therapy. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;83(1):172-6.
42. Le Gall C, Bonnelye E, Clezardin P. Cathepsin K inhibitors as treatment of bone metastasis. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care.* 2008;2(3):218-22.
43. Frattini A, Orchard PJ, Sobacchi C, Giliani S, Abinun M, Mattsson JP, et al. Defects in TCIRG1 subunit of the vacuolar proton pump are responsible for a subset of human autosomal recessive osteopetrosis. *Nat Genet.* 2000;25(3):343-6.
44. Lowe C, Yoneda T, Boyce BF, Chen H, Mundy GR, Soriano P. Osteopetrosis in Src-deficient mice is due to an autonomous defect of osteoclasts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 1993;90(10):4485-9.
45. Miyazaki T, Sanjay A, Neff L, Tanaka S, Horne WC, Baron R. Src kinase activity is essential for osteoclast function. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279(17):17660-6.
46. Horne WC, Sanjay A, Bruzzaniti A, Baron R. The role(s) of Src kinase and Cbl proteins in the regulation of osteoclast differentiation and function. *Immunol Rev.* 2005;208:106-25.
47. Rucci N, Susa M, Teti A. Inhibition of protein kinase c-Src as a therapeutic approach for cancer and bone metastases. *Anti-Cancer Agent Me.* 2008;8(3):342-9.
48. Vives V, Laurin M, Cres G, Larrousse P, Morichaud Z, Noel D, et al. The Rac1 Exchange Factor Dock5 Is Essential for Bone Resorption by Osteoclasts. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2011;26(5):1099-110.
49. Vives V, Cres G, Richard C, Busson M, Ferrandez Y, Planson AG, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of Dock5 prevents osteolysis by affecting osteoclast podosome organization while preserving bone formation. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:6218.

50. Li X, Yang J, Bao M, Zeng K, Fu S, Wang C, et al. Wnt signaling in bone metastasis: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Life Sci.* 2018;208:33-45.
51. Park HW, Kim YC, Yu B, Moroishi T, Mo JS, Plouffe SW, et al. Alternative Wnt Signaling Activates YAP/TAZ. *Cell.* 2015;162(4):780-94.
52. Lerner UH, Ohlsson C. The WNT system: background and its role in bone. *J Intern Med.* 2015;277(6):630-49.
53. Zhuang X, Zhang H, Li X, Li X, Cong M, Peng F, et al. Differential effects on lung and bone metastasis of breast cancer by Wnt signalling inhibitor DKK1. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2017;19(10):1274-85.
54. McDonald MM, Reagan MR, Youlten SE, Mohanty ST, Seckinger A, Terry RL, et al. Inhibiting the osteocyte-specific protein sclerostin increases bone mass and fracture resistance in multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 2017;129(26):3452-64.
55. Nelson J, Bagnato A, Battistini B, Nisen P. The endothelin axis: emerging role in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2003;3(2):110-6.
56. Nelson JB, Fizazi K, Miller K, Higano C, Moul JW, Akaza H, et al. Phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled study of zibotentan (ZD4054) in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer metastatic to bone. *Cancer.* 2012;118(22):5709-18.
57. Capietto AH, Faccio R. Immune regulation of bone metastasis. *Bonekey Rep.* 2014;3:600.
58. Rautela J, Baschuk N, Slaney CY, Jayathilleke KM, Xiao K, Bidwell BN, et al. Loss of Host Type-I IFN Signaling Accelerates Metastasis and Impairs NK-cell Antitumor Function in Multiple Models of Breast Cancer. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2015;3(11):1207-17.
59. Pasero C, Gravis G, Granjeaud S, Guerin M, Thomassin-Piana J, Rocchi P, et al. Highly effective NK cells are associated with good prognosis in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2015;6(16):14360-73.
60. Engblom C, Pfirschke C, Zilionis R, Da Silva Martins J, Bos SA, Courties G, et al. Osteoblasts remotely supply lung tumors with cancer-promoting SiglecF(high) neutrophils. *Science.* 2017;358(6367).

61. Greenwald RJ, Freeman GJ, Sharpe AH. The B7 family revisited. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2005;23:515-48.
62. Krummel MF, Allison JP. Cd28 and Ctla-4 Have Opposing Effects on the Response of T-Cells to Stimulation. *Journal of Experimental Medicine.* 1995;182(2):459-65.
63. Sharma P, Allison JP. The future of immune checkpoint therapy. *Science.* 2015;348(6230):56-61.
64. Ahern E, Harjunpaa H, Barkauskas D, Allen S, Takeda K, Yagita H, et al. Co-administration of RANKL and CTLA4 Antibodies Enhances Lymphocyte-Mediated Antitumor Immunity in Mice. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(19):5789-801.
65. Ahern E, Harjunpaa H, O'Donnell JS, Allen S, Dougall WC, Teng MWL, et al. RANKL blockade improves efficacy of PD1-PD-L1 blockade or dual PD1-PD-L1 and CTLA4 blockade in mouse models of cancer. *Oncoimmunology.* 2018;7(6):e1431088.
66. Smyth MJ, Yagita H, McArthur GA. Combination Anti-CTLA-4 and Anti-RANKL in Metastatic Melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(12):e104-6.
67. Castaneda-Corral G, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Bloom AP, Taylor RN, Mantyh WG, Kaczmarek MJ, et al. The majority of myelinated and unmyelinated sensory nerve fibers that innervate bone express the tropomyosin receptor kinase A. *Neuroscience.* 2011;178:196-207.
68. Bloom AP, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Taylor RN, Castaneda-Corral G, Kaczmarek MJ, Freeman KT, et al. Breast cancer-induced bone remodeling, skeletal pain, and sprouting of sensory nerve fibers. *J Pain.* 2011;12(6):698-711.
69. Biswas S, Nyman JS, Alvarez J, Chakrabarti A, Ayres A, Sterling J, et al. Anti-transforming growth factor ss antibody treatment rescues bone loss and prevents breast cancer metastasis to bone. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(11):e27090.
70. Eidtmann H, de Boer R, Bundred N, Llombart-Cussac A, Davidson N, Neven P, et al. Efficacy of zoledronic acid in postmenopausal women with early breast cancer receiving adjuvant letrozole: 36-month results of the ZO-FAST Study. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(11):2188-94.
71. Gnant M, Mlineritsch B, Stoeger H, Luschin-Ebengreuth G, Knauer M, Moik M, et al. Zoledronic acid combined with adjuvant endocrine therapy of tamoxifen versus anastrozol plus

ovarian function suppression in premenopausal early breast cancer: final analysis of the Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group Trial 12. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(2):313-20.

72. Coleman RE, Marshall H, Cameron D, Dodwell D, Burkinshaw R, Keane M, et al. Breast-cancer adjuvant therapy with zoledronic acid. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(15):1396-405.

73. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, Steger GG, Tonkin K, de Boer RH, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(35):5132-9.

74. Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, Damião R, Brown J, Karsh L, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomised, double-blind study. *The Lancet.* 2011;377(9768):813-22.

75. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, Hirsh V, Hungria V, Prausova J, et al. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(9):1125-32.

76. Raje N, Terpos E, Willenbacher W, Shimizu K, Garcia-Sanz R, Durie B, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid in bone disease treatment of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: an international, double-blind, double-dummy, randomised, controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19(3):370-81.

77. Smith MR, Saad F, Oudard S, Shore N, Fizazi K, Sieber P, et al. Denosumab and bone metastasis-free survival in men with nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: exploratory analyses by baseline prostate-specific antigen doubling time. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(30):3800-6.

78. Gnant M, Pfeiler G, Dubsy PC, Hubalek M, Greil R, Jakesz R, et al. Adjuvant denosumab in breast cancer (ABC SG-18): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet.* 2015;386(9992):433-43.

79. Gnant M, Pfeiler G, Steger GG, Egle D, Greil R, Fitzal F, et al. Adjuvant denosumab in postmenopausal patients with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer (ABC SG-18): disease-free survival results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2019;20(3):339-51.

80. Coleman RE, Finkelstein D, Barrios CH, Martin M, Iwata H, Glaspy JA, et al. Adjuvant denosumab in early breast cancer: First results from the international multicenter randomized phase III placebo controlled D-CARE study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2018;36(15).
81. Scagliotti GV, Hirsh V, Siena S, Henry DH, Woll PJ, Manegold C, et al. Overall survival improvement in patients with lung cancer and bone metastases treated with denosumab versus zoledronic acid: subgroup analysis from a randomized phase 3 study. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2012;7(12):1823-9.
82. S. Peters SJD, B. Hasan, N. Reinmuth, M. Majem, K.G. Tournoy, M.T. Mark, M. Pless, M. Cobo, D. Rodriguez-Abreu, L. Falchero, B. Massutí, L. Coate, R. von Moos, C.C. Zielinski, E. De Maio, M. O'Brien, H. Roschitzki-Voser, U. Dafni, R.A. Stahel. A randomised phase III trial evaluating the addition of denosumab to standard first-line treatment in advanced NSCLC – the ETOP and EORTC SPLENDOUR. ESMO 2018 Congress; 21 October 20182018.
83. Gnant M, Baselga J, Rugo HS, Noguchi S, Burris HA, Piccart M, et al. Effect of everolimus on bone marker levels and progressive disease in bone in BOLERO-2. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2013;105(9):654-63.
84. Smith DC, Smith MR, Sweeney C, Elfiky AA, Logothetis C, Corn PG, et al. Cabozantinib in patients with advanced prostate cancer: results of a phase II randomized discontinuation trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(4):412-9.
85. Smith M, De Bono J, Sternberg C, Le Moulec S, Oudard S, De Giorgi U, et al. Phase III Study of Cabozantinib in Previously Treated Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: COMET-1. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(25):3005-13.
86. Michael G. Doran DES, John Wongvipat, David Ulmert, Brett S. Carver, Charles L. Sawyers, and Michael J. Evans. Cabozantinib Resolves Bone Scans in Tumor-Naïve Mice Harboring Skeletal Injuries. *Mol Imaging*. 2014;13.
87. Jensen AB, Wynne C, Ramirez G, He W, Song Y, Berd Y, et al. The cathepsin K inhibitor odanacatib suppresses bone resorption in women with breast cancer and established bone metastases: results of a 4-week, double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2010;10(6):452-8.

88. Mitri Z, Nanda R, Blackwell K, Costelloe CM, Hood I, Wei C, et al. TBCRC-010: Phase I/II Study of Dasatinib in Combination with Zoledronic Acid for the Treatment of Breast Cancer Bone Metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2016;22(23):5706-12.
89. Abdulkadyrov KM, Salogub GN, Khuazheva NK, Sherman ML, Laadem A, Barger R, et al. Sotatercept in patients with osteolytic lesions of multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* 2014;165(6):814-23.

NOTES

Conflicts of interest

The authors certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

Funding

No funding was received to prepare this work.

Author's contribution

JD and TVdW wrote the manuscript. CV, FE, YV, and PvD revised the final draft. PC outlined the content, provided relevant literature, and revised earlier versions of the review.

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of pharmacological targets in bone metastases.

Target	Blocking strategies
Osteoclast function	
Bisphosphonates	Small molecules
RANKL	Antibodies
mTOR	Small molecules
MET and VEGFR2	Tyrosine kinase inhibitors
Cathepsin K	Small molecules
Src	Tyrosine kinase inhibitors
LGR4	Antibodies
Dock5	Small molecules
Osteoblast function	
Wnt	Small molecules, antibodies
Endothelin	Small molecules, antibodies
Innate osteo-immunity	
CTLA-4	Antibodies
PD-1	Antibodies
PD-1 ligand	Antibodies
Bone matrix and micro-environment	
Nerve growth factor	Antibodies
Transforming growth factor β	Antibodies

TITLES OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Summary of actionable targets in osteoclasts to inhibit bone resorption. (Figures creative commons attribution 3.0)

Figure 2: Direct and indirect effects of bone modifying agents in the bone tumour microenvironment. While indirect inhibition of tumour growth is mainly attributed to the interruption of the vicious cycle of bone resorption and growth factor release, direct anti-tumour effects through multiple mechanisms have been described for specific agents, including bisphosphonates (BP) (in particular zoledronic acid [Z]) and denosumab (D). (Figures creative commons attribution 3.0)

Figure 3: Summary of actionable targets in osteoblasts to promote bone formation. (Figures creative commons attribution 3.0)

Figure 4: Overview of the complex interactions between immune cells, osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and tumour cells in the bone microenvironment and the targets for immunotherapies in cancer treatment. (Figures creative commons attribution 3.0)