

**This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:**

Adolescent sexting research : the challenges ahead

**Reference:**

Van Ouytsel Joris, Walrave Michel, Ponnet Koen.- Adolescent sexting research : the challenges ahead  
JAMA pediatrics / American Medical Association - ISSN 2168-6203 - Chicago, Amer medical assoc, 172:5(2018), p. 405-406  
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2018.0013>  
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1513890151162165141>

## **Adolescent Sexting Research – The Challenges Ahead**

Joris Van Ouytsel <sup>a</sup>, PhD, Michel Walrave <sup>a</sup>, PhD, Koen Ponnet <sup>a,b</sup>, PhD

Affiliations: <sup>a</sup> Department of Communication Studies, University of Antwerp, Sint-Jacobsstraat 2, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium; and <sup>b</sup> Department of Communication Studies, Ghent University, Korte Meer 11, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Address correspondence to: Joris Van Ouytsel, Sint-Jacobsstraat 2, 2000 Antwerp – Belgium, joris.vanouytsel@uantwerpen.be, +32 – 32655279

Short title: Adolescent Sexting Research – The Challenges Ahead

Revision date: 29 December 2017

Funding source: The work of Joris Van Ouytsel is supported by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO-Aspirant). The contents, preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication were in no way influenced by the Research Foundation – Flanders.

Financial disclosure: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations: none

Word Count: 1230

### Contributor's Statement

Dr. Van Ouytsel wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. Dr. Walrave and Dr. Ponnet reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects

Sexting is a relatively commonplace behavior among adolescents. A study in southeast Texas found that 27.6% of 964 teenagers have sent self-made sexually explicit photographs of themselves<sup>1</sup>. For some teens, sexting may be a normal part of their sexual development and experimentation<sup>3</sup>. It remains, however, also an important public health issue, as the behavior comes with a risk for reputational damage and has been associated with other risk-taking behaviors such as substance use, risky sexual behaviors and (cyber)bullying<sup>1,2</sup>. The field research has substantially grown over the past years. A search in the Web of Science index for the keyword ‘sexting’ shows that in 2013 there was a total of 74 published articles on the topic. By October 2017, this body of research has grown to 273 publications. Despite the boom of research activity surrounding sexting, critical aspects of the behavior have remained unexplored. In order to deepen our understanding of adolescents’ sexting behavior and its associated risks, and to inspire education and awareness-raising initiatives, sexting research needs to focus on addressing the limitations of prior research. These issues, as well as paths for further research, are highlighted in this primer.

## Measurement and design

Some studies define sexting as the sending of sexually explicit text messages and pictures, while others focus on the sending and/or receiving of self-made sexually explicit pictures. Progress in sexting research is therefore hindered by a lack of consistency in the international literature regarding how to measure the behavior<sup>3</sup>. The field would greatly benefit from a consistent conceptualization of these behaviors across studies, which would enable a better comparison of results<sup>3</sup>.

Almost all sexting studies employ a cross-sectional design. The field would greatly benefit from longitudinal designs that could help identify the causes and consequences of engaging in these behaviors, as well as capture changes in people’s attitudes and behaviors.

Moreover, longitudinal designs would also enable us to investigate whether teenagers who send sexting images, remain engaged in the behavior as (young) adults, and how this is related other (risk) behaviors.

Another limitation within the field is the lack of innovative research designs or datasets. Almost all studies on sexting rely on self-reporting measures. Participants might underreport their behaviors. This could especially be the case for problematic cases of sexting (such as sexting under pressure or leaked sexting images). Future studies could find other ways to understand adolescents' sexting experiences, for instance, by analyzing data from police reports, online fora, or reports from hotlines that provide youth with free advice. This could provide insight into adolescents' actual experiences and the contexts in which sexting takes place. Another example could be the use of vignettes during surveys or individual interviews, in which adolescents could report how they would respond or react in certain situations (e.g., when a sexting photograph was forwarded).

### Research populations

One of the main frontiers in the field of sexting research is the need to focus on the experience of youth who identify as a sexual minority like LGBTQ teens. The romantic experiences, and by extension, the sexting experiences for sexual minority youth might differ from those who identify as heterosexual. LGBTQ youth might have limited opportunities to engage in romantic relationships or might face social disapproval in doing so. It is often hypothesized that non-heterosexual youth rely, therefore, more heavily on the Internet for social contact and sexual exploration than do heterosexual youth. Previous research found that sexual minority youth are also at a higher risk for online victimization such as cyberbullying<sup>4</sup> and online harassment<sup>5</sup>. There is very little empirical research on the sexting experiences of LGBTQ youth and the thresholds and consequences they possibly experience. Future studies

could focus both quantitatively and qualitatively on how sexual minority youth experience their romantic relationships online and engage in sexting, as it might pose challenges that are specific to this group.

Sexting research is also mostly limited to the experiences of late adolescents (i.e., college students) or older adolescents in the last years of secondary school. Future research should take into account the experiences of younger adolescents, such as those in middle school, as the context in which sexting takes place among this age group might significantly differ from that of other age groups. To the best of our knowledge, only 3 studies have thus far focused on younger adolescents (i.e., middle school youth)<sup>2,6,7</sup>. In a sample of at-risk seventh grade students (12–14 years old), Houck et al.<sup>6</sup> found that engagement in sexting was associated with engagement in a variety of other sexual behaviors. In a sample of middle school students (10–15 years old), Rice et al.<sup>2</sup> found that engagement in sexting is associated with sexual activity and unprotected sex. Using a broad definition of sexting (i.e., having sent or posted a sexual message of any kind on the Internet), Ševčíková<sup>7</sup> found that engagement in sexting among adolescents was associated with emotional problems and alcohol use, but that the associations were smaller among older adolescents (15–16 years old) than younger adolescents (11–14 years old). The results of these studies suggest that sexting among younger adolescents might be symptomatic of underlying emotional problems and engagement in other types of risky behavior. The differences between sexting correlates among younger and older age groups warrant further investigation into the experiences of these vulnerable youth and the related health consequences.

Research on sexting focuses almost exclusively on the adolescents' and young adults' experiences of sexting. Future studies on adolescent sexting could expand their scope by investigating how important adults in adolescents' lives, such as parents, teachers, school counselors, health counselors, or school nurses, deal with their children or students'

confrontations with problematic forms of sexting. There is currently a lack of research that investigates how these important adults communicate with teenagers about sexting and the related risks, and how they react when sexting incidents occur (e.g., (cyber)bullying, (cyber) dating abuse, slut-shaming). One of the next frontiers in sexting research will be, therefore, to further investigate how adults help teenagers navigate sexting-related issues.

#### Need to focus on non-consensual forms sexting

A final frontier consists of the need of sexting research to focus on the context in which it takes place. Research on youth sexting has almost exclusively focused on the sending and receiving of sexting messages. There is, for instance, a lack of research that focuses on the motives and characteristics of individuals who ask others for sexting pictures, who pressure others to engage in sexting, or those who engage in the non-consensual sharing of sexting pictures (so-called secondary sexting). In getting a more complete picture of the different contexts in which sexting occurs, a challenge for future research will be investigating the characteristics of youth who pressure others to engage in sexting and the context in which the non-consensual sharing of sexting takes place. This would help in designing prevention efforts to target youth who might engage in abusive forms of sexting behavior.

#### Conclusion

The field of sexting research is still expanding. Although the pioneering research in the past years has contributed to a better understanding of the nature of sexting among adolescents, much about the nature of these issues remains to be explored. A focused research agenda, which addressed the limitations outlined in this primer, will further help to minimize

the associated health risks and help young people digitally explore their romantic relationships and sexuality in safe and healthy ways.

## References

1. Temple JR, Paul JA, van den Berg P, Le VD, McElhany A, Temple BW. Teen sexting and its association with sexual behaviors. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2012;166(9): 828-833.
2. Rice E, Gibbs J, Winetrobe H, et al. Sexting and Sexual Behavior Among Middle School Students. *Pediatrics.* 2014; 134(1): e21-e28.
3. Barrense-Dias Y, Berchtold A, Surís J-C, Akre C. Sexting and the Definition Issue. *Journal of Adolescent Health.* 2017; 61(5): 544-554.
4. Schneider SK, O'Donnell L, Stueve A, Coulter RW. Cyberbullying, school bullying, and psychological distress: A regional census of high school students. *Journal Information.* 2012;102(1): 171–177.
5. Priebe G, Svedin CG. Online or off-line victimisation and psychological well-being: a comparison of sexual-minority and heterosexual youth. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry.* 2012;21(10):569-582.
6. Houck CD, Barker D, Rizzo C, Hancock E, Norton A, Brown LK. Sexting and Sexual Behavior in At-Risk Adolescents. *Pediatrics.* 2014;133(2):e276-e282.
7. Ševčíková A. Girls' and boys' experience with teen sexting in early and late adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence.* 2016;51:156-162.