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The Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee: What the Radiologist Needs to Know

The anterolateral ligament (ALL) was recently identified as a distinct component of the anterolateral capsule of the human knee joint with consistent origin and insertion sites. Biomechanical studies revealed that the current association between the pivot shift and an injured anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) should be loosened and that the rotational component of the pivot shift is significantly affected by the ALL. This may change the clinical approach toward ACL-injured patients presenting with anterolateral rotatory instability (ALRI), the most common instability pattern after ACL rupture. Radiologists should be aware of the importance of the ALL to ACL injuries. They should not overlook pathology of the anterolateral knee structures, including the ALL, when reviewing MR images of the ACL-deficient knee. In this article, the current knowledge regarding the anatomy, biomechanical function, and imaging appearance of the ALL of the knee is discussed with emphasis on the clinical implications of these findings.
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The Story Behind

Even with the most modern anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction techniques and in cases without technical errors that feature appropriate tunnel positioning, restoration of rotational stability remains challenging.1 Persistent anterolateral rotational instability (ALRI) as revealed by a positive pivot shift test result has been reported in 10 to 30% of patients after ACL reconstruction.1,2 The importance of the anterolateral structures to ACL injuries is not a new finding. Several studies have shown that they work in synergy with the ACL.3 Historically, isolated lateral extra-articular tenodesis (LET) was the standard procedure to treat ALRI in ACL-deficient knees (► Fig. 1). However, because of poor clinical results of LET, these procedures were largely abandoned with the introduction of intra-articular techniques.1,3,4 Previous studies have mentioned the existence of a capsuloligamentous structure connecting the femur with the tibia at the anterolateral aspect of the knee joint, but information on the precise anatomy and function of this structure has always been vague and confusing.5-9 Already in 1879, the French surgeon Paul Segond described a remarkably constant avulsion fracture at the proximolateral tibia as a result of forced internal tibial rotation applied to cadaveric human knees10 (► Fig. 2). However, the anatomical structure responsible for the avulsion of this bony flake from the lateral tibia was not studied in detail. The Segond fracture then became largely neglected in the literature. In 1979, exactly 100 years after the first description of the fracture by Segond, Woods et al correlated the Segond fracture to the presence of significant knee instability and concomitant ACL rupture.11 At the same time, Jack Hughston published his findings on
rotatory knee instability patterns and described a “mid-third lateral capsular ligament.” It was thought to play an important role in the ALRI pattern of the knee, but further anatomical characterization was not provided. Further descriptive articles referenced a ligamentous structure along the anterolateral aspect of the knee that may be responsible for the Segond fracture. A relationship of this structure with the iliotibial band (ITB) or lateral collateral ligament (LCL) was often described (“capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB” by Terry et al, “retrograde tract fibers” by Lobenhoffer et al, and “anterior oblique band of the LCL” by Campos et al). In a detailed anatomical study of the ITB, Terry et al in 1986, and later on, Vieira et al in 2007, introduced the term “anterolateral ligament (ALL)” to describe the “capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB.” More recently, in 2012, Vincent et al provided a detailed anatomical description of the ALL. However, it remains unclear whether they found the ALL to be part of the ITB or not. The publication by Claes et al in 2013, was the first to provide a detailed anatomical description of the ALL as a distinct component of the anterolateral capsule.

Anatomy of the ALL

The ALL runs at the anterolateral aspect of the knee originating from the lateral femoral epicondyle and inserting on the proximal aspect of the anterolateral tibia posterior (21 mm) to the Gerdy tubercle. Exact anatomical descriptions slightly vary in the literature, which is likely the reason for the variability in reported incidence of the ALL, ranging between 80% and 100%. Claes et al described the femoral attachment site as anterior and distal to the insertion of the LCL, whereas Dodds et al found that the femoral insertion site was proximal and posterior to the lateral epicondyle. Caterine et al found the femoral insertion site to be located either anterior-distal or posterior-proximal to the insertion site of the LCL. In all the studies, the femoral insertion site of the ALL was found to be posterior and proximal to the origin of the popliteus tendon. In contrast, Vincent et al described the origin of the ALL to be on the lateral femoral condyle, just anterior to the popliteus tendon insertion and blending with its fibers. These inconsistent findings may be attributed to difficulties in separating the femoral insertion sites of the ALL and LCL or to the existence of anatomical variants. A cadaveric knee dissection at our institution found the origin of the ALL to be posterior and proximal to the origin of the LCL (Fig. 3). Given the fact that the femoral origins of the ALL and LCL are so closely associated, the term “lateral collateral ligament complex” was proposed. In this view, the ALL can be regarded as the lateral counterpart of the deep medial collateral ligament.

The ALL further shows an oblique intracapsular course in layer 3 according to the classification by Seebacher et al and is clearly distinguishable from the remainder of the lateral capsule. It has a strong connection with the periphery of the body of the lateral meniscus by way of its meniscofemoral and meniscotibial components. In between the ALL and the body of the lateral meniscus, the lateral inferior geniculate
artery and vein are invariably found. Distally, the ALL inserts approximately midway between the center of the Gerdy tubercle and the anterior margin of the fibular head, proximal and anterior to the anterior arm of the short head of the biceps femoris tibial attachment. It thus forms a capsuloligamentous insertional fold, termed the “lateral tibial recess.” There is no connection at all with the distal ITB.

The mean length of the ALL is 41 mm measured in 90 degrees of flexion and 38 mm in extension, illustrating some tensioning of the ligament during flexion. The mean width of the femoral origin measures 8 mm, slightly narrows near the level of the joint line (7 mm), and then broadens further distally, inserting to the proximal tibia with a width of 11 mm. The average distance between the proximal edge of the lateral tibia and the ALL tibial insertion site is 6 to 9 mm. The mean thickness of the ALL at the level of the joint line is 1.3 mm.

### Imaging of the ALL

Milch et al. were the first to report the radiologic aspect of the Segond fracture. He described the radiologic findings as an “avulsion of the ITB at its insertion behind Gerdy’s tubercle.” Recently, a direct link between the ALL tibial attachment and Segond fracture was found, and thus the latter should be seen as the tip of the iceberg, as it were, for lesions of the ALL. As the tip of the iceberg, as it were, for lesions of the ALL. Segond fracture was found, and thus the latter should be seen as the tip of the iceberg, as it were, for lesions of the ALL.

On MRI, the ALL is best identified in the coronal plane. Proton density-weighted sequences and T2-weighted sequences with fat saturation are superior to T1-weighted sequences in assessing the ALL. The normal ALL can be followed as a continuous band of low signal intensity from the femoral epicondyle to the anterolateral tibia. A discrete proximal origin of the ALL is difficult to discern due to its close association with the LCL, and at this level, the ALL arises anterior to the LCL origin. As it continues distally, deep to the LCL, it is possible that a positive pivot shift sign may be observed in some patients with an intact ACL but with (unrecognized) damage to the ALL. The results of these studies yield new insights for diagnosing and treating ALRI, the most common clinical instability pattern after ACL rupture, previously attributed to (posterolateral bundle) injuries of the ACL. Thus it has become clear that preoperative judgment of the ALL may be important. However, clinical testing of rotational laxity is subjective, and arthroscopy only may provide indirect evidence of ALL injury. It seems obvious that the ability of MRI to detect the ALL and its eventual injuries needs our attention.

### Biomechanics of the ALL

In 2007, Monaco et al. compared double-bundle reconstructions and single-bundle associated with LET and concluded that patients with LET exhibited less rotational instability than did those with double-bundle reconstruction. Later on, in 2012, these researchers studied the function of the anterolateral capsule with the pivot shift test, and they showed that damage to this structure significantly increased rotator knee instability. The mean length of the ALL is 41 mm measured in 90 degrees of flexion and 38 mm in extension, illustrating some tensioning of the ligament during flexion. The mean width of the femoral origin measures 8 mm, slightly narrows near the level of the joint line (7 mm), and then broadens further distally, inserting to the proximal tibia with a width of 11 mm. The average distance between the proximal edge of the lateral tibia and the ALL tibial insertion site is 6 to 9 mm. The mean thickness of the ALL at the level of the joint line is 1.3 mm.

With the recent identification of the ALL, several studies have investigated its biomechanical function. These studies revealed that the ALL is an important stabilizer against internal tibial rotation and controls anterolateral laxity, especially at deep flexion angles (35–90 degrees). In contrast, the restraining effect of both the native and reconstructed ACL on tibial rotation is negligible. Thus an ALL injury significantly affects the pivot shift in the ACL-deficient knee. Moreover, it is possible that a positive pivot shift sign may be observed in some patients with an intact ACL but with (unrecognized) damage to the ALL. The results of these studies yield new insights for diagnosing and treating ALRI, the most common clinical instability pattern after ACL rupture, previously attributed to (posterolateral bundle) injuries of the ACL. Thus it has become clear that preoperative judgment of the ALL may be important. However, clinical testing of rotational laxity is subjective, and arthroscopy only may provide indirect evidence of ALL injury. It seems obvious that the ability of MRI to detect the ALL and its eventual injuries needs our attention.

### Anatomy of the ALL

(Fig. 3) Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament (ALL). (a) Lateral view of a right knee during dissection. The ALL is denoted in relationship to surrounding structures. With the iliotibial band reflected (curved arrow), the ALL (arrowheads) is clearly distinguishable originating at the lateral femoral epicondyle and inserting to the anterolateral tibia (asterisk). Note fibers at the origin of the ALL to be posterior and proximal to the origin of the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) (double arrow) and the popliteus tendon (arrow). Also note biceps femoris (black box) attaching to the fibular head. (b) Volume computed tomography image with anatomical drawings of the lateral supporting structures. Note close relation of ALL and LCL origin. The ALL (asterisk) inserts midway between the Gerdy tubercle and the fibular head. Popliteus tendon is colored in red.
demonstrates attachments of the ALL to the lateral meniscus, separating the ligament in meniscofemoral and meniscotibial portions. The lateral inferior geniculate artery and vein, in between the ALL bifurcation point and the body of the lateral meniscus, can be used as an anatomical reference point and may be helpful to better characterize the ligament.

Several studies have evaluated the ability of MRI to identify the ALL in the uninjured knee. These have reported detection rates of the ALL ranging between 72% and 93%. It is possible that the articular distension associated with an acute knee injury would make it much easier to visualize the ALL on MRI.

Fig. 4  MR appearance of the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL). (a) Coronal intermediate-weighted image (WI) with fat suppression (fs) shows the normal ALL (small arrows) originating at the lateral femoral epicondyle (asterisk) and inserting to the anterolateral tibia. Note firm attachments of the ALL to the body of the lateral meniscus, proximally and distally from the lateral inferior geniculate vessels (arrowhead). Popliteus tendon, large arrow. (b) Axial fs intermediate-WI shows ALL (arrow) anterior to the lateral collateral ligament (curved arrow), and deep to the iliotibial band (arrowhead). Popliteus tendon, asterisk.

Fig. 5  MR appearance of the abnormal anterolateral ligament (ALL). (a) Coronal fat suppression (fs) intermediate-weighted image shows proximal lesion (arrowhead). Distal part of the ALL is intact (arrow). (b) Distal lesion of the ALL (arrowhead). (c) Bony avulsion of the ALL (arrowhead). Proximal part of the ALL is intact (arrow). All patients had arthroscopically confirmed anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tear.
Radiologists must specifically assess the anterolateral knee structures, including the ALL, when reviewing MR images of the ACL-deficient knee (Figs. 5 and 6). ACL ruptures most often result from twisting noncontact injuries that have a pivot shift–like mechanism, consisting of anterior tibial subluxation and excess internal tibial rotation. Thus, given the previously mentioned association between the ALL and the pivot shift, one may hypothesize that an ACL injury might often be accompanied by a concomitant ALL injury.

To date, only one previous study, by Claes et al., described the appearance of the ALL on preoperative MRI in a large consecutive series of ACL reconstructed knees. They considered the ALL to be abnormal on MRI in case of complete disruption, if the contour of the ALL was irregular, if periligamentous edema existed, or a combination of these features was observed. Furthermore, abnormalities were classified as proximal (above the meniscus) or distal (below the meniscus). Of the 206 patients included, 44 (21.3%) were considered uninjured; 162 knees (78.8%) demonstrated radiologic ALL abnormalities. Most of the ALL abnormalities were situated in the distal part of the ligament (77.8%). These results, however, have not yet been confirmed by other
Therapeutic Implications

Recent advances in our understanding of the anatomical, biomechanical, and radiologic characteristics of the ALL have led to a resurgent interest in the reconstruction of this structure as part of the management of knee instability. The poor results of early isolated LET could now be explained by a combination of imperfectly anatomical ACL reconstruction, an empirical nonanatomical extra-articular reconstruction, and a postoperative protocol involving immobilization of the knee and a slow rehabilitation program.\textsuperscript{34} In a recent meta-analysis of LET techniques, Hewison et al.\textsuperscript{35} showed a statistically significant reduction in pivot shift in favor of the combined ACL and LET procedure.

With recent studies having characterized the anatomy of the ALL as a distinct ligamentous structure, an additional anatomical reconstruction of the ALL, concomitant to the ACL, is gaining popularity among knee surgeons.\textsuperscript{36–38} Indications for a combined procedure are grade 3 pivot shift, associated Segond fracture amendable for primary refixation, chronic ACL lesion, high level of sporting activity, pivoting sports, and revision ACL surgery cases, especially those without an apparent cause of failure.\textsuperscript{22,36–38} ALL reconstruction can be performed with hamstring tendons or \textit{InternalBrace} (Arthrex, Naples, FL, USA) augmentation, fixed with anchors while respecting the bony landmarks of the ALL on radiographic images\textsuperscript{39} (Fig. 7).

Sonnenry-Cottet et al.\textsuperscript{36} recently reported on the outcome of a combined ACL and ALL reconstruction technique with a minimum 2-year follow-up in a total of 92 patients. They concluded that a combined reconstruction can be an effective procedure allowing good anteroposterior and rotational laxity control without specific complications such as stiffness or limited range of motion. However, longer term and comparative studies are required to determine whether these combined reconstructions improve the results of ACL surgical outcome.

Conclusion

1. Despite satisfactory clinical results, intra-articular ACL reconstructions often do not restore normal kinematics and biomechanics of the knee, and they particularly do not fully control knee rotational instability.
2. The anterolateral structures of the knee work in synergy with the ACL and are an important restraint to internal rotation of the lateral compartment. They are often injured during ACL rupture.
3. Historically, anatomical descriptions of the anterolateral knee structures have been vague and confusing. This has led to confusion and difficulty in achieving an anatomical reconstruction of these structures.
4. The ALL was recently identified as a distinct ligamentous structure on the anterolateral aspect of the knee.

Biomechanical studies have shown a high correlation between the pivot shift and an injury to the ALL.
5. Radiologists should assess the anterolateral knee structures, including the ALL, when reviewing MR images of the ACL-deficient knee. Further research is needed to optimize current clinical MR protocols to assess the ALL.
6. Although the first clinical results of combined anatomical ACL and ALL reconstructions are encouraging, long-term follow-up studies are necessary to determine whether these combined reconstructions improve the results of surgical ACL treatment.
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