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Abstract

In a large multi-center sample of cognitively normal subjects, as a function of age, gender and APOE genotype, we studied the frequency of abnormal cerebrospinal fluid levels of Alzheimer disease biomarkers including: total tau, phosphorylated tau and Aβ_{1-42}.

A total of 15 cohorts from 12 different centers with either enzyme linked immunosorbent assays or Luminex measurements were selected for this study. Each center sent nine new cerebrospinal fluid aliquots that were used to measure total tau, phosphorylated tau and Aβ_{1-42} in the Gothenburg laboratory. Seven centers showed a high correlation with the new Gothenburg measurements, therefore 10 cohorts from these centers were included in the analyses here (1,233 healthy controls, 40 to 84 years old). Aβ amyloid status (negative or positive) and neurodegeneration status (negative or positive) was established based on the pathological cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer disease cut-off values for cerebrospinal fluid Aβ_{1-42} and total tau, respectively.

While gender did not affect these biomarker values, APOE genotype modified the age-associated changes in cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers such that APOE ε4 carriers showed stronger age-related changes in cerebrospinal fluid phosphorylated tau, total tau and Aβ_{1-42} values and APOE ε2 carriers showed the opposite effect. At 40 years of age, 76% of the subjects were classified as amyloid negative, neurodegeneration negative and their frequency decreased to 32% at 85 years. The amyloid positive-neurodegeneration negative group remained stable. The amyloid negative-neurodegeneration positive group frequency increased slowly from 1% at 44 years to 16% at 85 years, but its frequency was not affected by APOE genotype. The amyloid positive-neurodegeneration positive frequency increased from 1% at 53 years to 28% at 85 years.

Abnormally low cerebrospinal fluid Aβ_{1-42} levels are already frequent in midlife and APOE genotype strongly affects the levels of cerebrospinal fluid Aβ_{1-42}, phosphorylated tau and total tau across the lifespan without influencing the frequency of subjects with suspected non-amyloid pathology.
Introduction

Alzheimer disease is characterized by the deposition of intracellular tau proteins into neurofibrillary tangles and Aβ peptides into extracellular amyloid plaques. However, these pathologies also are present in cognitively normal subjects with advancing age (Hyman et al., 2012) and neurofibrillary tangles can appear even before the fourth decade of life (Braak and Del Tredici, 2011), although this early changes might be below the biomarker diagnostic threshold (Jack et al., 2014). Tau and Aβ can be measured in the cerebrospinal fluid. Cerebrospinal fluid tau levels correlate with the number of neurofibrillary tangles in the brain, whereas Aβ1-42 amyloid levels show an inverse correlation with brain amyloid plaques (Strozyk et al., 2003, Tapiola et al., 2009, Toledo et al., 2012) which makes them informative as Alzheimer disease biomarkers. Changes in cerebrospinal fluid tau and Aβ biomarker levels appear between one and two decades before the expected time of onset of dementia in subjects who develop Alzheimer disease due to autosomal dominant mutations (Bateman et al., 2012, Reiman et al., 2012, Fagan et al., 2014). Similarly population-based studies have shown that low CSF Aβ1-42 levels in cognitively normal elderly predict future Alzheimer disease dementia up to 8 years in advance (Skoog et al., 2003, Gustafson et al., 2007), while approximately one third of elderly cognitively normal subjects have an Alzheimer disease-like profile of tau and Aβ CSF biomarker levels (Shaw et al., 2009, De Meyer et al., 2010) and similarly pathological amyloid burden as measured by PET has been found in cognitively normal subjects (Aizenstein et al., 2008). Taken together with data on Alzheimer disease imaging biomarkers, these findings have led to a model that predicts successive appearance of abnormal biomarker values prior to the onset of cognitive changes which leads at a later stage to dementia and impairments in activities of daily living (Jack et al., 2013). Recently, a study that used Pittsburg compound B (PIB) PET as biomarker for Aβ load as well as fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET and hippocampal MRI volume as biomarkers for neurodegeneration described how changes started at the end of the sixth decade and differed based on gender and APOE genotype in a population-based sample of aging (Jack et al., 2014). In the current study, Aβ amyloid status [negative (A-) or positive (A+)] and neurodegeneration status [negative (N-) or
positive (N+) were established based on pathological cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer disease cut-off values for cerebrospinal fluid Aβ1-42 and t-tau, respectively, and the goal of this study was to describe the association of these CSF biomarkers with aging, gender and APOE genotype in a large multicenter cohort of healthy controls.

Methods

Cohorts

All the subjects included in the current study were healthy controls although some of the subjects presented with a diagnosis of subjective cognitive decline. The subjective cognitive decline group included subjects who indicated that they presented cognitive decline, but did not show any impairment on the applied neuropsychological battery, i.e. did not test below a score of 1.5 SDs or more below the mean of healthy controls. Subjects belong to the Alzheimer disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (Weiner et al., 2013), the Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI) (Kang et al., 2013), the University of Pennsylvania Penn Memory Center/Alzheimer disease Center Core (Toledo et al., 2014), Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (van Harten et al., 2013, van der Flier et al., 2014), NYU Center for Brain Health, CITA Alzheimer, IRCCS Centro San Giovanni di Dio, Brescia, Italy (Paternico et al., 2012), Lund University (Stomrud et al., 2007), University Hospital of Alicante (Berenguer et al., 2014), IDIBAPS-Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, DZNE Rostock (Teipel et al., 2014), Emory University and BIOCARD (Moghedar et al., 2013). ADNI and PPMI measurements were performed at the University of Pennsylvania and the NYU Center for Brain Health samples were measured in the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory at Gothenburg University (supplementary data).

CSF measurements were performed in the different cohorts either by a single analyte enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; INNOTEST for Research- Use Only reagents; Fujirebio Europe) or the
multiplex Luminex assay format (INNO-BIA Alz Bio3 for Research-Use Only reagents; Fujirebio Europe). The monoclonal antibodies that were used in the assays for capture and reporting for detection of Aβ₁₋₄₂, t-tau and p-tau are described in Supplementary Table 1 and have been previously described in more detail (Vanderstichele et al., 2008, Kang et al., 2013). Supplementary Table 2 summarizes the CSF collection and storage procedures in the different centers. Each center sent nine aliquots to the Gothenburg University laboratory; three aliquots were selected to represent the cerebrospinal fluid Aβ₁₋₄₂ range of values, three aliquots were selected to represent the cerebrospinal fluid t-tau range of values and the last three aliquots were selected to represent the cerebrospinal fluid p-tau range of values. Each of the aliquots represented the 1st, 2nd and 3rd tertile of the biomarker values. The ELISA method to measure cerebrospinal fluid tau and Aβ₁₋₄₂ levels in all the nine aliquots sent by each center for this study was performed as described previously (Palmqvist et al., 2014). In addition, the Luminex method was also used to measure the CSF samples if enough cerebrospinal fluid volume was left after the ELISA measurements.

Statistics

Comparisons of quantitative and qualitative variables between the different cohorts were performed using an ANOVA and Fisher exact test, respectively. Correlations between the original cerebrospinal fluid tau and Aβ₁₋₄₂ values that were obtained in each of the centers and the reference values generated by the Gothenburg laboratory were tested using Spearman rank correlation. Centers whose data showed a correlation coefficient >0.7 when compared to the ELISA values obtained by the Gothenburg University laboratory were included in the analyses. To transform values from each center into a common scale a robust linear regression was applied, using the values of each of the shipping centers as a predictor and the values obtained by the Gothenburg laboratory as an outcome. Supplementary tables 3 and 4 summarize Spearman rank correlation rho values and the results of the robust regression including the intercept and slope that were used to transform the data from each center.
In all of these analyses, *APOE* genotypes were grouped into three categories: A) ε2 carriers (ε2/ε2 and ε2/ε3), B) ε3/ε3 genotype and C) ε4 carriers (ε3/ε4 and ε4/ε4). ε2/ε4 subjects were not included due to small sample size. To test which variables were associated with the cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers studied here, we tested linear models that included *APOE* genotype, gender and age and squared age as predictors. Power transformations were applied as necessary to achieve a normal distribution of the data. A backward stepwise procedure was applied to select the predictors. In all models, squared age and gender were excluded as predictors. We then modeled the biomarker changes across the different ages of the subjects included here by applying multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS) to the data, analyzing each of the *APOE* genotype groups separately to better capture biomarker dynamics as a function of age across the lifespan. A multinomial regression model that included age, gender and *APOE* groups (see above), was used to estimate the frequencies associated with each of the groups of cerebrospinal fluid tau and Aβ results for the range of ages of these subjects from 45 to 85 years old, including three cubic restricted splines at 55, 65 and 75 years to allow age-dependent trends. Mean values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated applying a parametric bootstrap using 1000 multivariable normal deviates as previously described (Jack *et al.*, 2014). This method was also applied to estimate frequency differences between groups and the corresponding 95% CIs. Differences were deemed significant if 0 was not included in the CI. Analyses were performed using R version 3.0.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

**Cohorts**

The study includes data from 15 different cohorts whose samples were measured in 10 different centers, each one composed of 9 to 270 subjects (*Table 1*). Cohorts differed in gender (p<0.0001) and age (p<0.0001) of the subjects, but not with respect to the presence of their *APOE* ε4 alleles (p=0.15).
Comparison of cerebrospinal fluid tau and Aβ values to data generated by the Gothenburg laboratory

Cerebrospinal fluid t-tau, p-tau and Aβ₁₋₄₂ measurements for the different cohorts were performed in 12 centers, one of them being the University of Gothenburg laboratory that also generated reference values to perform the transformations in this study. Ten of the centers that had performed the measurements sent CSF aliquots of participants included in this study to the University of Gothenburg in order to be able to transform values across the different cohorts. Two laboratories did not include aliquots for this analysis: the first laboratory had performed a previous adjustment run in a larger sample and the second one was the Gothenburg laboratory that measured t-tau, p-tau and Aβ₁₋₄₂ in all these cerebrospinal fluid aliquots. In most cases, there was enough cerebrospinal fluid available to perform ELISA and Luminex measurements for each of the aliquots. **Supplementary Figure 1** presents the values for each of the three analytes measured in the reference laboratory using both platforms on the same samples. Aβ₁₋₄₂ and t-tau values were highly correlated across platforms (r=0.91 and r=0.98, respectively), whereas p-tau values showed a lower correlation (r=0.66).

Notably, when the values obtained at the Gothenburg laboratory were compared with the original values obtained in the different centers that shipped the samples, we observed that correlations varied across centers (**Supplementary Tables 3 and 4 and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3**). For the following analyses, we selected centers that showed a spearman rank correlation ≥0.70, which correspond to cohorts C, D, E, F, G, H, L, M, N and O, which included a total of 1,233 subjects and transformed CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂, t-tau and p-tau values according to the results of the robust regression (**Supplementary tables 3 and 4**).

Subjects with ages 40 to 84 were included in the following analyses to avoid extreme age ranges with small number of subjects.

**Association of Aβ₁₋₄₂ and tau with age and APOE groups**
Age and APOE genotype, but not gender, were associated with cerebrospinal fluid biomarker values (Table 2). When we compared cerebrospinal fluid values in young (50-64 years) and old participants (65-80 years) in an analysis adjusted for APOE, t-tau (p<0.0001) and p-tau (p<0.0001) were increased in the group composed of older subject, whereas there were no differences in Aβ1-42 values (p=0.07) between both age-define groups.

We then analyzed the changes in the cerebrospinal fluid biomarker values across different ages stratified by APOE genotype (Figure 1). We included gender, in addition to age, in all the MARS models, but gender was not selected as a predictor in any of the models.

Subjects with APOE ε4 carriers showed higher cerebrospinal fluid tau and lower Aβ values than APOE ε3/ε3 subjects. The largest effect was observed for Aβ1-42 values; whereas Aβ1-42 values remained stable up to the beginning of the 7th decade in the healthy controls without any ε4 alleles, Aβ1-42 levels of healthy controls with one or two ε4 alleles showed a decrease starting during the 5th decade of life until a plateau was reached at the middle of the 8th decade. APOE ε2 carriers showed a similar pattern of Aβ1-42 changes levels as APOE ε3/ε3 subjects although APOE ε2 carriers presented overall higher values. On the other hand, t-tau and p-tau levels remained stable until the beginning of the 7th decade in subjects with APOE ε3/ε3 and ε4 carriers and it was in this age range that these groups differed in the rate of increase in their values. T-tau and p-tau value changes were similar in APOE ε2 carriers as subjects with APOE ε3/ε3 genotype.

In order to study possible differences between the cognitively normal and subjective memory decline subjects, there were three cohorts that were included both groups of participants (cohorts G, H and L), however cohort L was excluded because it mainly consisted of subjective memory decline subjects. Analysis was limited to the APOE ε3/ε3 due to sample size (84 cognitively normal and 52 subjective memory decline participants). There were no differences between the two groups (Supplementary table 5).
When we transformed the Luminex CSF Aβ_{1-42} cut-off defined by Shaw et al (Shaw et al., 2009) into ELISA reference values using the transformation formula obtained from the robust regression applied to the University of Pennsylvania values, we obtained a value of 543.5 pg/mL, which is very close to the one applied in the Gothenburg laboratory (550 pg/mL) determined following IFCC guidelines (IFCC., 1987). Conversely, the transformed t-tau cut-off value was higher than the one described by the Gothenburg laboratory, namely 616 pg/mL compared to 400 pg/mL. In our study we selected the mean value of the cut-offs from the two aforementioned cohorts to define pathological Aβ_{1-42} (546.7 pg/mL) and t-tau (508 pg/mL) levels.

**Amyloid and neurodegeneration positive groups based on CSF Aβ_{1-42} and t-tau values**

For these analyses, amyloid status [negative (A-) or positive (A+)] and neurodegeneration status [negative (N-) or positive (N+)] was established based on CSF Alzheimer disease cut-off values for CSF Aβ_{1-42} and t-tau, respectively. In all groups, the frequency of subjects without abnormal biomarkers was lower in elder subjects, whereas the frequency of A+N- group showed only slightly higher frequency. Both, the frequency of the A-N+ and A+N+ was higher in elder subjects, but the former reached a plateau whereas the latter showed a stable increase (Figure 2). At 45 years of age, 76% were classified as A-N- whereas their frequency was only 32% at 85 years. Whereas A+N- frequency showed small differences during the same period (22% versus 24%). A-N+ and A+N+ groups showed larger age-related differences: 1% at 45 years versus 16% at 85 years and 1% at 54 years versus 28% at 85 years, respectively. Male and female subjects showed similar frequencies for the different groups. On the other hand APOE genotype strongly influenced the frequency of the different groups. Already in the youngest included participants ε4 carriers presented a higher frequency of the A+ group than the ε3/ε3 (absolute 17% difference) and the ε2 carrier (absolute 26% difference) groups that was larger in the eldest subjects (absolute 21.2% for the ε3/ε3 participants and 41.6% for the ε2 carriers). On the other hand, there were no differences in the frequency of N+ subjects in the different groups defined by APOE genotype and even if the frequency difference became larger in the older participants there was a significant overlap which was a result of the complete
overlap in the A-N+ group and the larger differences observed in the eldest participants in the A+N+ group (Figure 3). A more detailed analysis of the effect of APOE genotypes on the frequency of each of the four groups is presented in Figure 4, where the frequency of each group is compared based on the APOE genotype and the APOE ε3/ε3 genotype is selected as the reference and compared to the ε2 and ε4 carriers. Therefore values above 0 represent a higher frequency in the carrier groups (either APOE ε2 or ε4) compared to the APOE ε3/ε3 group and values below 0 represent the opposite finding. In the A-N- groups, the frequency difference between APOE ε3/ε3 subjects and APOE ε4 carriers remained largely similar indicating that differences between groups appeared mainly at earlier ages. On the other hand, older APOE ε2 carriers showed a larger difference compared to the older APOE ε3/ε3 subjects, indicating that the protective effect of these alleles acted throughout the age span studied here. Older APOE ε2 carriers showed a larger difference in the A+N- group frequency compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects, whereas APOE ε4 carriers showed similar differences independently of age. However, APOE ε4 carriers showed a smaller A+N- frequency difference compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects with increasing age. This decrease in the A+N- frequency difference was accompanied by a larger A+N+ frequency difference in APOE ε4 carriers. Conversely, APOE ε2 carriers showed a lower frequency of A+N+ that showed a larger difference in older ages when compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects. Finally, the different APOE genotype groups showed no difference and overlapped with each other for the A-N+ category, indicating that only age was associated with changes in this group. Although female subjects showed increased frequency of A+N- subjects and decreased frequency of A-N- across the studied ages, differences were small and included the 0 value, therefore lacking statistical significance.

Discussion

In this large cohort of healthy control subjects covering a wide age range over the life span we found that already starting in the fifth decade of life there is a significant number of healthy control subjects who
show evidence of abnormal CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂ values, and that APOE genotypes significantly modified CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂ values with the ε4 allele strongly associated with the lower of Aβ₁₋₄₂ values at younger ages and the ε2 allele associated with overall lower values at older ages. The APOE ε4 allele also associated with the age at which CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂ began declining (A+N- group) and additionally, in subjects with abnormal CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂, associated with the age at which t-tau started changing (A+N+). Conversely, we did not observe any APOE genotype effects on t-tau levels in subjects without pathological Aβ₁₋₄₂ values (A-N+ group).

The availability of longitudinal studies and their combination with Alzheimer disease biomarkers findings has led to a deeper understanding of the long preclinical stages of Alzheimer disease (Jack et al., 2013) and this is corroborated by the finding of Alzheimer disease pathology in autopsies of elderly cognitively subjects (Montine et al., 2012). Recently, results from studies that included cognitively normal subjects with Alzheimer disease autosomal dominant mutations and a well characterized expected age of onset of dementia have shown that several Alzheimer disease biomarkers show changes already one to two decades before the onset of cognitive decline (Bateman et al., 2012, Reiman et al., 2012, Fagan et al., 2014). Models based on longitudinal CSF and PET amyloid measures have shown that changes in these Alzheimer disease biomarkers take place more than one decade before clinical disease onset (Skoog et al., 2003, Gustafson et al., 2007, Jack et al., 2013, Toledo et al., 2013, Villemagne et al., 2013).

However, the modeling of these changes also has included stable cognitively normal subjects therefore altering the timeframes of these changes as well as probably underestimating the real rate of biomarker changes (Toledo et al., 2013).

In our study we found that already by the fifth decade of life more than 20% of subjects show abnormal CSF Aβ₁₋₄₂ values and that the frequency of A+N- subjects remained relatively stable across the different ages, whereas the A-N+ and A+N+ categories increased their frequencies starting early in the sixth decade. However, these two categories differed at the end of the eighth decade, with A-N+ group reaching a plateau and the A+N+ group still showing an exponential increase. We also observed that
while the difference in tau biomarker values in middle aged and elderly healthy controls was significant this was not the case for $\text{A}\beta_{1-42}$.

The stable frequency of the A+N- can be explained by the fact that this is a transitory category of subjects who were A-N- and later progress to A+N+ and later on to mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. This would indicate that there is equilibrium in the rate of subjects entering and leaving this category. Another factor is the increasing frequency of this category in the $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$ subjects that is accompanied by a decrease in the subjects with $\varepsilon 4$ alleles. Nevertheless the overall frequency of A+ participants (independently of neurodegeneration status) was higher with increasing age. The increase in A-N+ frequency antecedes overall the A+N+ frequency increase but reaches an early plateau. The underlying pathologies and longitudinal prognosis of the A-N+ is still largely unknown, but vascular pathology, frontotemporal lobar degeneration or primary age-related tauopathy (Crary et al., 2014, Jellinger et al., 2015). It has been proposed that it can represent non-Alzheimer disease pathologies and also precede the A+N+ category (Jack et al., 2014). The fact that besides Alzheimer disease, pathologies associated with increased CSF t-tau values are mainly the less frequent acute head trauma and stroke, and prion diseases, would indicate that the latter hypothesis is more plausible. Both of these hypotheses explain a plateau of the frequency with aging either due to a transition to A+N+ with an exhausted pool of A-N- subjects in aged individuals or due to an earlier age of onset and later decrease of incidence in non-Alzheimer disease pathologies. A third explanation is the high prevalence of coincident neurodegenerative and non-neurodegenerative diseases that cause dementia in elderly individuals (Kovacs et al., 2013, Toledo et al., 2013, Jellinger and Attems, 2014, Rahimi and Kovacs, 2014) that cannot be accurately predicted by the current biomarkers (Toledo et al., 2012, Toledo et al., 2013) and therefore it can be expected that these subjects are classified in the A+N+ group. It is interesting that the exponential increase in the frequency of healthy controls in the A+N+ category mirrors the exponential prevalence observed for Alzheimer disease only differing by an earlier onset in the middle of the sixth decade instead of in the middle of the seventh decade.
APOE genotype showed an important but differential effect on the frequency of the different groups across ages. APOE ε4 carriers showed relatively stable difference in A-N- frequency across ages when compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects, approximately 18% lower, but APOE ε2 carriers showed an increasingly larger percentage of subjects in the A-N- category compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects with aging (the frequency went from 10% higher to 19% higher than ε3/ε3 subjects) (Figure 4). Nevertheless, for the oldest subjects, the difference in A+N- frequency between APOE ε3/ε3 subjects and APOE ε4 carriers was smaller due to a slightly higher percentage of A+N- in APOE ε3/ε3 subjects and a smaller percentage of A+N- in APOE ε4 carriers (Figure 2). This most likely is linked to the fact that APOE ε4 carriers start to progress to A+N- and A+N+ at a younger age followed by progression to mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease which leads to a depletion of the A-N- category and acts as a survival bias.

Interestingly, the strongest effect of the APOE genotype was observed for the A+N+ group. Whereas in the A-N- and A+N- only one of the APOE-defined groups showed changes in differences compared to the ε3/ε3 group (and the other showed stable differences parallel to the x-axis) and no differences were found in the A-N+ group, in the A+N+ group APOE ε2 and ε4 carriers showed opposite changes when compared to subjects with ε3/ε3 genotype. With aging there was a higher frequency of the A+N+ group in APOE ε4 carriers compared to APOE ε3/ε3 subjects whereas there was a decreasing frequency of A+N+ subjects in APOE ε2 carriers. This indicates that APOE genotype is a strong modifier for the transition from A+N- to A+N+ and of t-tau changes in subjects with pathological Aβ1-42 levels.

It is also noteworthy that APOE genotype status did not affect the frequency of the A-N+ group, which emphasizes that these subjects, who would fit the suspected non-amyloid pathology category (SNAP) (Jack et al., 2012), represent mostly subjects who do not have underlying Alzheimer disease pathology. This result is important for modeling t-tau changes because APOE genotype might differentially affect CSF t-tau values depending upon the presence or absence of pathological Alzheimer disease-like CSF Aβ1-42 levels. Nevertheless, it has been described that this category might later transition
to A+N+ (Jack et al., 2013) as discussed above. **Our results would indicate that the presence of significant amyloid pathology, estimated in our study by CSF Aβ_{1-42} values below the cutpoint, should be present in order to present a significant APOE genotype-related increase of tau pathology as measured by CSF tau levels.** This finding agrees with a previous neuropathological study that estimated that the increase in tau pathology associated to the presence of APOE ε4 alleles was mainly indirectly mediated through an increase in amyloid pathology (Mungas et al., 2014), although a lesser direct effect was also present. Nonetheless, in this study we are classifying subjects as having normal and abnormal values and a detailed analysis with cerebrospinal fluid or tau PET measurements would be needed to evaluate the presence of a direct effect on tau pathology as described in previous cell and animal models (Huang et al., 2001, Harris et al., 2003). However it must be taken into account that significant increases of CSF t-tau and p-tau values are only seen in two neurodegenerative disease, namely Alzheimer disease and prion diseases, and therefore cerebrospinal fluid tau values are not representative of tau burden present in frontotemporal lobar degeneration due to tau pathology, which we cannot estimate with the current biomarkers (Toledo et al., 2012).

One previous study performed a similar analysis to the one we present here, but this study was carried out in a population-based cohort (Jack et al., 2014) and presented additional differences. First, in the Jack et al study (Jack et al., 2014), younger subjects were almost entirely classified as A-N- and there was an increase in the frequency of A+N- subjects that reached a plateau followed by a decrease in aged subjects. This difference between our study and the Jack et al study could be due to differences in CSF and PET amyloid measures. Recently it was shown that CSF and amyloid PET measures are associated for a limited mid-range values that includes the cut-offs that are used for diagnostic purposes and that the association between both measures is modified by the APOE genotype (Toledo et al., 2015). **Therefore the cut-offs for abnormal Aβ values offer consistent results across platforms (CSF immunoassays and PET scans) and methodologies (different PET scan processing pipelines) to establish the cut-offs(Toledo et al., 2015).** The difference between these two measures of Aβ pathology might explain why, despite
There is a very significant agreement between both measures (Landau et al., 2013, Toledo et al., 2015), there is a significant number of subjects who are classified discordantly for each biomarker measure with most discordant subjects being classified as having abnormal CSF Aβ1-42 levels while having normal Aβ amyloid PET scans. The disagreement decreases as subjects become more cognitively impaired (Mattsson et al., 2015) and this could indicate that cerebrospinal fluid biomarker changes precede amyloid PET changes at least in a subset of subjects. One potential limitation of the study is the lack of Aβ1-40 measurements to calculate the cerebrospinal fluid Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40 ratio, which could classify some participants as A- even if their CSF Aβ1-42 values are below the cut-off, due to the constitutively low values for the Aβ peptides. However, it has been described that value of the Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40 ratio might be related to the immunoassay method (Hertz et al., 2010) and the assay we used in this study did not seem to be affected. In addition, the diagnostic performance of the Aβ42/tau ratio was not improved when the Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40 ratio was used instead of Aβ1-42 values (Spies et al., 2010). Therefore we favor the hypothesis that cerebrospinal fluid amyloid biomarker changes precede PET amyloid biomarker changes. Longitudinal follow-up of these subjects will be needed to ascertain the implication of low cerebrospinal fluid Aβ1-42 values in middle-aged healthy controls. On the other hand there is little agreement between the different neurodegeneration biomarkers (as opposed to amyloid biomarkers) (Toledo et al., 2014). However the overall frequency observed in the eldest subjects was similar in the Mayo clinic and our sample offering converging results on the prevalence of biomarker-based preclinical Alzheimer disease stages.

We found a non-significant higher percentage of A+N- participants and lower percentage of A-N- participants in women compared to men. This is consistent with previous results that also reported higher but not significant amyloid PET values in women (Jack et al., 2015) and the previously discussed study from the same group that reported higher frequency of A+N- participants in women compared to men, although the latter study did not indicate if differences were significant and did not perform a formal comparison (Jack et al., 2014).
Previously, the association between age, gender and CSF Alzheimer disease biomarkers has been studied in smaller studies using different analytical approaches. For example, Sjögren et al described a positive correlation between age and CSF t-tau levels without any association with CSF Aβ1-42 levels in a sample of 231 subjects and suggested age-adjusted cut-offs for t-tau levels (Sjögren et al., 2001). This most likely represents an increased frequency of preclinical Alzheimer disease associated with aging and therefore we consider that cut-offs should not be adjusted based on age. In another study with 81 subjects Paternico et al described the association with age and CSF t-tau, but they found no interaction with APOE and no association with age for CSF Aβ1-42 (Paternico et al., 2012). On the other hand, Peskind et al found an association between CSF Aβ1-42 levels and age and that this association was modified by APOE genotype, with APOE ε4 cognitively normal carriers showing an earlier change and lower Aβ1-42 levels in elder subjects, but the latter study did not include CSF tau measurements (Peskind et al., 2006). In an aging study by Glodzik-Sobanska et al an association between APOE genotype and CSF t-tau and p-tau values but not with Aβ1-42/ Aβ1-40 was described (Glodzik-Sobanska et al., 2009). The association between the APOE ε4 allele and low CSF Aβ1-42 levels has recently been shown to depend on APOE ε4 carriers having also increased cortical amyloid deposition as evaluated by PET scanning indicating a higher number of preclinical Alzheimer disease cases in APOE ε4 carriers (Lautner et al., 2014). In our study, we found an association between all three studied CSF biomarkers and age and APOE genotype as described above. The association of APOE genotype with all three CSF biomarkers can be explained by the large number of samples we studied across a large age span which allowed us to have a representative number of subjects in each of the APOE groups. In addition, most of the studies apply linear analyses which do not follow the biomarker dynamics that have been described in elderly individuals with longitudinal biomarker studies (Jack et al., 2013, Toledo et al., 2013, Villemagne et al., 2013) and we confirmed in the large analyses performed herein in a cross-sectional population encompassing a wider age range. It will be important to study longitudinal clinical changes in middle-aged individuals to confirm previous findings between baseline CSF Aβ1-42 values and memory decline (Li et al., 2014).
Our study has four main limitations: samples were not drawn from population based samples, measurements were performed in different laboratories using two different assays, CSF Aβ_{1-40} levels were not available and clinical and biomarker longitudinal data was not available. Thus, recruitment of cognitively normal subjects in specialized centers might lead to biased recruitment and not represent the general population. Notably, however, this bias can go in either direction as these subjects might have personal and familial reasons to be included in Alzheimer disease biomarker studies, but also the inclusion criteria might be stricter and therefore include healthier subjects like the ones included in clinical trials. In addition, these healthy controls tend to have a higher education level than the general population. Although two different platforms were used for the measurements of CSF Aβ_{1-42} and t-tau, the values obtained were highly correlated between both assays, as previously described (Fagan et al., 2011, Irwin et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2012, Le Bastard et al., 2013). Another important observation was the fact that there were inter-laboratory differences. In order to control for this we measured nine aliquots from each center in the Gothenburg laboratory and selected those subjects whose CSF tau and Aβ values were highly correlated for further study here and could therefore be transformed. This emphasizes the well-established fact that each laboratory must validate its own CSF tau and Aβ cut-offs and cannot adopt the ones described in other laboratories even using the same assay. A better solution is the availability of a common standard with associated cut-off values in all biomarker laboratories. Finally, the CSF Aβ_{1-42}/CSF Aβ_{1-40} ratio has been suggested as a method to account for subjects who constitutively have low values for the Aβ peptides in the CSF and therefore some of our cases might be false positives.

Our results indicate that Alzheimer disease-like CSF Aβ_{1-42} positivity appears already in the fifth decade of life in healthy controls which has important implications for clinical trials targeting prevention or elimination of Aβ deposits, but also indicates that there is a significant interval between the time A-N-subjects progress to the A+N+ category which represents an important therapeutic window for disease modifying therapies. This is because only the A+N+ category mimics the Alzheimer disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profile and t-tau reflects brain neurofibrillary tangle burden which is
closely associated with neurodegeneration, and shows a stronger correlation with cognitive symptoms than Aβ amyloid deposition (Toledo et al., 2013) thereby suggesting that there is time window that might span almost 10 years for intervening with Alzheimer disease prevention strategies. Finally APOE genotype strongly modifies the observed cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profile and classification into preclinical stages with ε2 alleles showing a lifetime protective effect.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank Dr. Steven Weigand for his helpful input on the analytical analysis. JBT is supported by P01 AG032953, PO1 AG017586, P30 AG010124 and P50 NS053488. JQT is the William Maul Measey-Truman G. Schnabel, Jr., Professor of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology. HZ: The Swedish Research Council, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and Swedish State Support for Clinical Research. The NYU CSF studies were supported by the following NIH grants to MdeL (PI): AG022374, AG13616, and AG1210. The Antwerp center was supported by the University of Antwerp Research Fund; the Alzheimer Research Foundation (SAO-FRA); the central Biobank facility of the Institute Born-Bunge / University Antwerp; the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO); the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology (IWT); the Belgian Science Policy Office Interuniversity Attraction Poles (IAP) program; the Flemish Government initiated Methusaleme excellence grant; the EU/EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (EMIF grant n° 115372) and this work is part of the BIOMARKAPD project within the EU Joint Programme for Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND). WTH is supported by K23 AG042856, R21 AG043885, and P50 AG016976. OH is supported by the European Research Council, the Swedish Research Council, the Swedish Brain Foundation, and the Swedish federal government under the ALF agreement. This publication is part of the Consolider-Ingenio 2010 (CSD 2010-00045 PI: José L Molinuevo), FIS-Fondo europeo de desarrollo regional, una manera de hacer Europa (PI11/01071 PI: Lorena Rami) and Miguel Servet program (CP 08/00147; PI: Lorena
Rami). H.H. is supported by the AXA Research Fund, the Fondation Université Pierre et Marie Curie and the “Fondation pour la Recherche sur Alzheimer”, Paris, France. The research leading to these results has received funding from the program “Investissements d’avenir” ANR-10-IAIHU-06. Data collection and sharing for this project was funded ADNI (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: Alzheimer’s Association; Alzheimer’s Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen Idec Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Medpace, Inc.; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Synarc Inc.; and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California. Supported by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson’s Research and funding partners: abbvie, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Biogen Idec, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Covance, GE Healthcare, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Piramal, La Roche Ltd, Pfizer Inc and UCB Pharma SA.

Disclosures
Dr. Shaw serves as consultant for Janssen AI R & D and Lilly, outside the submitted work. Dr. Trojanowski may accrue revenue in the future on patents submitted by the University of Pennsylvania wherein he is co-Inventor and he received revenue from the sale of Avid to Eli Lilly as co-inventor on imaging related patents submitted by the University of Pennsylvania. C. Prof. Dr. Scheltens serves/has served on the advisory boards of: Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Danone, Jansen AI, Baxter and Lundbeck. He has been a speaker at symposia organised by Lundbeck, Lilly, Merz, Pfizer, Jansen AI, Danone, and Roche. He is co-editor-in-chief of Alzheimer’s Research & Therapy. He is a member of the scientific advisory board of the EU Joint Programme on Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND) and the French National Plan Alzheimer. He acts as vice-chair of the Dutch Deltaplan Dementia. Dr de Leon has served on the advisory board of Roche and is a member of the scientific advisory board of the French National Plan Alzheimer and has patents with NYU in the area of brain imaging that have been licensed to Abient technologies. Prof. dr. Engelborghs serves / served on advisory boards of or received research funding from Innogenetics / Fujirebio Europe, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Lundbeck, UCB, Roche, Danone, Nutricia. Prof. Dr. P.P. De Deyn serves on advisory boards or received research funding from Janssen Pharmaceutica, Orion and Abbvie. MV is employee of Fujirebio-Europe nv. Dr. Scheltens receives no personal compensation for the activities mentioned above. Dr. Vanderstichele is a co-founder of ADx NeuroSciences and a founder of Biomarkable bvba. José L Molinuevo serves/has served on the advisory boards of: Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Lilly, Piramal, IBL, GE Healthcare and Lundbeck. He has been a speaker at symposia organised by Novartis, Lundbeck, Lilly, Merz, Pfizer, Piramal and GE Healthcare. Dr. Blennow has served on advisory boards for IBL International, Lilly, Pfizer, Roche, and Kyowa Kirin Pharma. Dr. Hu may accrue revenue in the future on patents submitted by Emory University wherein he is Inventor. Prof dr van der Flier receives research money from Boehringer Ingelheim and Piramal Neuroimaging, all funding is paid to her institution. H.H. declares no competing financial interests related to the present article. During the last 36 months H.H. has received lecture honoraria and/or research grants and/or travel funding and/or participated in scientific advisory boards and/or as a consultant to diagnostic, biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies involved in the manufacture and
marketing of biomarkers and/or diagnostics and/or drugs or medicinal products for cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease including Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Elan Corporation, Novartis, Eisai Inc., Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Roche Pharmaceuticals and Diagnostics, GE Healthcare, Avid, Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline-Biologicals, Jung-Diagnostics, Cytox, Takeda, Isis Pharmaceutical Inc. H.H. is co-inventor in pending patent submissions relating to biological markers and/or diagnostics and has not received any royalties. J.B. Toledo, H. Zetterberg, O. Hansson, A.C. van Harten, L. Bocchio-Chiavetto and W.M. van der Flier report no disclosures.

References


Figure 1. CSF Aβ1-42, t-tau and p-tau181 levels in association with aging in healthy controls stratified by APOE genotype. Dashed lines represent the cutpoints for the biomarkers.

Figure 2. Estimated frequency of pathological Aβ amyloid (A) and neurodegeneration (N) categories according to age of the subjects. Plots represent all subjects and subjects stratified by gender and APOE genotype. Due to smaller sample size subjects with ε2 alleles were not stratified by gender. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval.

Figure 3. Frequency of A+, N+, A+N- and A+N+ stratified by APOE-defined groups.

Figure 4. Differences in the frequency of the four biomarker groups in subjects with APOE ε3/ε3 genotype compared subjects who are ε2 or ε4 allele carriers. If the line lies above the black dashed line it indicates that the plotted group has a higher frequency of the studied biomarker category. For the gender plots values above the 0 represent a higher frequency for females, whereas values below 0 represent a higher frequency in males. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval.